

# The Human Factors of Thermal Comfort, with Implications for Energy Efficiency



# The Human Factors of Thermal Comfort, with Implications for Energy Efficiency

By  
Edgar Segovia

**Cambridge  
Scholars  
Publishing**



The Human Factors of Thermal Comfort, with Implications for Energy Efficiency

By Edgar Segovia This book first published 2025 Cambridge Scholars

Publishing Lady Stephenson Library, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2PA,

UK British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2025 by Edgar Segovia All rights for this book reserved.

No part of this book may be reproduced,  
stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any  
means,  
electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without  
the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN: 978-1-0364-1797-0

ISBN (Ebook): 978-1-0364-1798-7

# Contents

|  |              |
|--|--------------|
| <b>List of Figures</b>   | <b>viii</b>  |
| <b>List of Tables</b>  | <b>xiii</b>  |
| <b>Acknowledgements</b>  | <b>xviii</b> |
| <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>xix</b>   |
| <b>List of Abbreviations</b>   | <b>xxii</b>  |
| <b>Overview</b>  | <b>1</b>     |
| <b>1 Foundations</b>   | <b>3</b>     |
| 1.1 Chapter overview . . . . .   | 3            |
| 1.2 Navigating the Book: A Guide for Readers . . . . .                 | 4            |
| 1.3 The Spark of Inquiry: Why This Matters . . . . .                   | 5            |
| 1.4 The Research in Focus: Its Value and Impact . . . . .              | 6            |
| 1.5 Clarifying Concepts: Key Definitions . . . . .                     | 13           |
| 1.6 The Framework: Assumptions and Scope . . . . .                     | 20           |
| 1.7 Collaborations . . . . .   | 22           |
| 1.8 The structure of this book . . . . .                               | 24           |
| <b>2 A Panorama of Perspectives</b>                                    | <b>25</b>    |
| 2.1 Chapter overview . . . . .   | 25           |
| 2.2 Traversing the Literature: A Comprehensive Survey . . . . .        | 27           |
| 2.3 Bibliometric analysis tool: a literature review . . . . .          | 27           |
| 2.4 Thematic analysis . . . . .  | 28           |
| 2.5 Energy baseline tools: A literature review . . . . .               | 65           |
| 2.6 Energy consumption simulations tool: A literature review . . . . . | 66           |
| 2.7 Thermal comfort base thermostat: A literature review . . . . .     | 68           |

|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| <b>3</b> | <b>Crafting the Inquiry</b>   | <b>73</b>  |
| 3.1      | Chapter overview . . . . .  | 73         |
| 3.2      | Designing the Discovery Process . . . . .   | 74         |
| 3.3      | Questions at the Heart of Research . . . . .  | 75         |
| 3.4      | Assembling the Participants . . . . .   | 79         |
| 3.5      | Instrumentation . . . . .   | 83         |
| 3.6      | Gathering Data: Data Collection and data management . . . . .   | 86         |
| 3.7      | Navigating Variance . . . . .   | 93         |
| 3.8      | Preparing the Data: Preprocessing . . . . .   | 95         |
| 3.9      | The Analytical Process: From Data to Insights . . . . .   | 97         |
| 3.10     | Summary . . . . .   | 100        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Digital Tools</b>  | <b>102</b> |
| 4.1      | Chapter overview . . . . .  | 102        |
| 4.2      | Bibliometric Analysis Tool . . . . .  | 103        |
| 4.3      | Energy Baseline tool . . . . .  | 105        |
| 4.4      | Energy consumption simulations . . . . .  | 109        |
| 4.5      | Thermal comfort based thermostat algorithm . . . . .  | 114        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Insights into the Human Aspect of Thermal Comfort</b>  | <b>122</b> |
| 5.1      | Chapter overview . . . . .  | 122        |
| 5.2      | Exploratory analysis . . . . .  | 124        |
| 5.3      | RQ1 How does the Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) model correspond as a predictor for the perceived indoor thermal sensation in the United Kingdom during the winter and summer seasons, and how does this association compare to the warmer conditions (in Spain summer)? . . . . . | 156        |
| 5.4      | RQ2: How does the Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) model perform as a predictor for singular-person thermal sensation? . . . . .   | 167        |
| 5.5      | RQ3: How accurate is the PPD equation in predicting the percentage of discomfort people indoors? . . . . .  | 177        |
| 5.6      | RQ4: What is the relationship between energy consumption and thermal comfort in winter . . . . .  | 181        |
| 5.7      | RQ5:Clustering based on thermal preferences? . . . . .  | 204        |
| 5.8      | RQ6: Can thermal preferences be combined to improve general thermal sensation and reduce energy consumption? . . . . .  | 211        |
| 5.9      | RQ7: What is the relationship between thermal comfort and performance in offices? . . . . .   | 222        |

## *Contents*

|                       |  |            |
|-----------------------|--|------------|
| <b>6</b>              | <b>Synthesis and Conclusion</b>                          | <b>238</b> |
| 6.1                   | Chapter Overview . . . . .                               | 238        |
| 6.2                   | Discussion Around Findings . . . . .                     | 238        |
| 6.3                   | The Knowledge Contribution: What We've Learned . . . . . | 252        |
| 6.4                   | Future Pathways: Directions for Research . . . . .       | 257        |
| 6.5                   | Closing Reflections: The Broader Implications . . . . .  | 258        |
| 6.6                   | Executive Summary . . . . .                              | 261        |
| <br><b>Appendices</b> |  |            |
| <b>A</b>              | <b>The First Appendix</b>                                | <b>264</b> |
| <b>B</b>              | <b>The second Appendix</b>                               | <b>267</b> |
| <b>C</b>              | <b>The third Appendix</b>                                | <b>275</b> |
| C.1                   | temeprature humidity logger. . . . .                     | 276        |
| C.2                   | electricity meeter logger. . . . .                       | 276        |
| <b>D</b>              | <b>The fourth Appendix</b>                               | <b>278</b> |
| D.1                   | PMV code. . . . .  | 279        |
| D.2                   | PMV variance code . . . . .                              | 282        |
| <b>E</b>              | <b>The fifth Appendix</b>                                | <b>284</b> |
| <b>F</b>              | <b>The sixth Appendix</b>                                | <b>286</b> |
| <b>G</b>              | <b>The seventh Appendix</b>                              | <b>288</b> |
|                       | <b>References</b>  | <b>297</b> |

# List of Figures

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 2.1  | Flowchart Illustrating the Methodology Employed for the Literature Review Conducted Utilising Bibliometric Analysis Tools. . . . .                          | 29  |
| 2.2  | Example of Literature Review Conducted Utilising Bibliometric Analysis Tools. . . . .   | 33  |
| 3.1  | Data collection graphical representation. . . . .   | 74  |
| 3.2  | Data collection graphical representation. . . . .   | 88  |
| 4.1  | Schematic design . . . . .  | 116 |
| 4.2  | Schematic design . . . . .  | 117 |
| 4.3  | Schematic design . . . . .  | 118 |
| 4.4  | Thermal sensation survey answer comparison, neutral thermal sensation (A), and a slight degree of discomfort (B) . . . . .                                  | 120 |
| 5.1  | Distribution of Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) Values Across ES.S, UK.S, and UK.W Groups with Normal Distribution Overlays, and mean distribution line . . . . . | 125 |
| 5.2  | Quantile Quantile (QQ) Plot for PMV Distributions Across Groups   | 127 |
| 5.3  | Box Plots of Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) for ES.S, UK.S, and UK.W Groups, Showcasing Quartiles and Mean Values. . . . .                                       | 129 |
| 5.4  | Distribution of Thermal Sensation (TS) Across ES.S, UK.S, and UK.W Groups, with Standard Normal Distribution Overlays . . . .                               | 130 |
| 5.5  | Quantile-Quantile (QQ) Plots for Thermal Sensation Across Groups.   | 132 |
| 5.6  | Box Plots Representing Thermal Sensation Across Groups. . . . .   | 133 |
| 5.7  | Distribution of Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) Values Across Gender Subsets. . . . .   | 134 |
| 5.8  | Quantile-Quantile (QQ) Plots for PMV Values, Segregated by Gender   | 136 |
| 5.9  | Box-and-Whisker Plots for PMV Values, Divided According to Gender . . . . .   | 136 |
| 5.10 | Distribution of Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) Values for Male Participants Across ES.S, UK.S, and UK.W Groups . . . . .   | 139 |



## List of Figures

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.11 | Distribution of Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) Values for Female Participants Across ES.S, UK.S, and UK.W Groups . . . . .  | 140 |
| 5.12 | Distribution of Thermal Sensation Among Males Across Spain's Summer (ES.S), UK's Summer (UK.S), and UK's Winter (UK.W) Groups. The figure includes overlays of normal distributions and mean value lines . . . . . | 144 |
| 5.13 | Distribution of Thermal Sensation Among Males Across Spain's Summer (ES.S), UK's Summer (UK.S), and UK's Winter (UK.W) Groups. The figure includes overlays of normal distributions and mean value lines . . . . . | 145 |
| 5.14 | Distribution of Differential Values Between Thermal Sensation and Predicted Mean Vote ( $TD = \text{Thermal Sensation} - \text{PMV}$ ), Serving as a Discrepancy Indicator for Perceived Thermal Comfort . . . . . | 147 |
| 5.15 | Distribution of Thermal Deviation (TD) for Male Participants Across Designated Groups . . . . .  | 150 |
| 5.16 | Distribution of Thermal Deviation (TD) for Female Participants Across Designated Groups . . . . .  | 151 |
| 5.17 | Quantile-Quantile Plots and Residual Distributions for Models with ES.S Dataset . . . . .  | 162 |
| 5.18 | Quantile-Quantile Plots and Residual Distributions for Models with UK.S Dataset . . . . .  | 162 |
| 5.19 | Quantile-Quantile Plots and Residual Distributions for Models with UK.S Dataset . . . . .  | 163 |
| 5.20 | Quantile-Quantile Plots and Residual Distributions for Models with Combined ES.S+UK.S Dataset . . . . .  | 164 |
| 5.21 | Quantile-Quantile Plots and Residual Distributions for Models with Combined UK.S+UK.W Dataset . . . . .  | 165 |
| 5.22 | Quantile-Quantile Plots and Residual Distributions for Models with Combined ES.S+UK.S+UK.W Dataset . . . . .   | 165 |
| 5.23 | Quantile-Quantile Plots and Residual Distributions for RQ2 Models with Combined ES.S+UK.S Dataset . . . . .  | 170 |
| 5.24 | Comparison of Observed Proportions and Theoretical Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfaction (PPD), Defining Discomfort as $\text{abs}(\text{PMV}) > 2$  | 178 |
| 5.25 | Comparison of Observed Proportions and Theoretical Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfaction (PPD), Defining Discomfort as $\text{abs}(\text{PMV}) > 1$  | 179 |
| 5.26 | Contrast of Energy Consumption and Thermal Sensation Within the DC3 Residential Dataset . . . . .  | 196 |

## List of Figures

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 5.27 Contrast of Energy Consumption and Thermal Sensation Within the DC3 Residential Dataset . . . . .  | 197 |
| 5.28 Contrast of Energy Consumption and temperature difereence (HDD.E) Within the DC3 Residential Dataset . . . . .   | 197 |
| 5.29 Contrast of Energy Consumption and Thermal Sensation Within the DC4 office Dataset . . . . .   | 199 |
| 5.30 Contrast of Energy Consumption and Thermal Sensation Within the DC4 office Dataset . . . . .   | 200 |
| 5.31 Contrast of Energy Consumption and temperature difference (HDD.E) Within the DC4 office Dataset . . . . .  | 201 |
| 5.32 Elbow Graph Derived from Data Collections 1 and 2, Indicating Optimal Number of Clusters for Variance Reduction . . . . .  | 205 |
| 5.33 Chromatic Codification of Data Dispersion, Amongst Four Discernible Clusters . . . . .   | 206 |
| 5.34 Data Distribution Across Identified Clusters Within Each Group, Enriching Understanding of Intra-Cluster Dynamics . . . . .  | 207 |
| 5.35 Distribution of Participants Based on Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Mean Squared Error (MSE) Metrics Within a Tripartite Clustering System, Evaluating Congruence Between PMV Prediction and Thermal Sensation . . . . . | 209 |
| 5.36 Distribution of Participants from UK.W, UK.S, and ES.S Across Clusters, Highlighting the Complexity of Thermal Sensation Perception  | 210 |
| 5.37 Discomfort as an Indicator for Both Groups Pre and Post Implementation of Controller, Accompanied by Confidence Intervals . . . . .  | 215 |
| 5.38 Level of Discomfort as an Indicator for Both Groups Pre and Post Implementation of Controller, Accompanied by Confidence Intervals   | 216 |
| 5.39 Weighting Factor (WF) as a Discomfort Indicator for Periods Pre and Post-Intervention, Accompanied by Confidence Intervals . . . . .   | 217 |
| 5.40 Change in Number of Individuals Experiencing Discomfort. Accompanied by Confidence Intervals . . . . .   | 218 |
| 5.41 Verbal Points' for Trial and Control Groups, Accompanied by Confidence Intervals. . . . .  | 228 |
| 5.42 'Points Per Word' (PPW) for Both Groups, Accompanied by Confidence Intervals . . . . .   | 229 |
| 5.43 Graphical Basis for Investigating Variability in the Relationship Between Thermal Comfort and Energy Efficiency, Accompanied by Confidence Intervals . . . . .   | 230 |

## List of Figures

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 5.44 Graphical Representation of 'Mathematical Points' (MP) Variable, Accompanied by Confidence Intervals. . . . .        | 235 |
| 5.45 Trajectory of the 'Points Per Minute' (PPM) Variable, Accompanied by Confidence Intervals. . . . .                   | 236 |
| B.1 Bibliometric Distribution on Applications of Fuzzy Logic to Thermal Comfort . . . . .                                 | 268 |
| B.2 Word Cloud Illustrating Key Terms in Applications of Fuzzy Logic to Thermal Comfort . . . . .                         | 268 |
| B.3 Word Cloud Displaying Dominant Themes in Human Behaviour and Energy Efficiency . . . . .                              | 269 |
| B.4 Yearly Distribution of Papers on Human Behaviour and Energy Efficiency . . . . .                                      | 269 |
| B.5 Word Cloud Showing Prominent Concepts in Energy Consumption and Building Modelling . . . . .                          | 270 |
| B.6 Bibliometric Analysis of Papers Focusing on Energy Consumption and Building Modelling . . . . .                       | 270 |
| B.7 Key Terminologies in Smart Energy Consumption Visualised Through a Word Cloud . . . . .                               | 271 |
| B.8 Bibliometric Analysis of Bibliometric Distribution of Papers Concerning Energy Efficiency and Human Factors . . . . . | 271 |
| B.9 Bibliometric Distribution of Papers Concerning Energy Efficiency and Human Factors . . . . .                          | 272 |
| B.10 Bibliometric Distribution of Papers Concerning Energy Efficiency and Human Factors . . . . .                         | 272 |
| B.11 Word Cloud Exhibiting Key Terms in Psychophysics and Thermal Comfort . . . . .                                       | 273 |
| B.12 Bibliometric Overview Psychophysics and Thermal Comfort . . . . .  | 273 |
| B.13 Word Cloud Illustrating Key Concepts in Ergonomics and Thermal Comfort . . . . .                                     | 273 |
| B.14 Word Cloud Illustrating Key Concepts in Ergonomics and Thermal Comfort . . . . .                                     | 274 |
| B.15 Bibliometric Overview of Ergonomics and Thermal Comfort Research   | 274 |
| B.16 Word Cloud Illustrating Key Concepts in Ergonomics and Thermal Comfort . . . . .                                     | 274 |
| G.1 age distribution . . . . .  | 289 |
| G.2 CLO distribution . . . . .  | 290 |

*List of Figures*

|     |                                   |     |
|-----|-----------------------------------|-----|
| G.3 | age distribution by PMV . . . . . | 291 |
| G.4 | TCL distribution . . . . .        | 292 |
| G.5 | HL1 distribution . . . . .        | 293 |
| G.6 | HL3 distribution . . . . .        | 294 |
| G.7 | HL4 distribution . . . . .        | 295 |
| G.8 | HL5 distribution . . . . .        | 296 |

# List of Tables

|     |  |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| 2.1 | Overview of Article Distribution Across Selected Databases for Thermal Comfort Research. . . . .   | 30  |
| 2.2 | Overview of Selected Studies on Emission Reduction Strategies in Various Settings. . . . .   | 66  |
| 2.3 | Relationship Between Predicted Mean Vote (PMV), Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied (PPD), and Weighting Factor. . . . .  | 69  |
| 2.4 | Influence of Building Mass on Free-Running and Air-Conditioned Temperature Ranges. . . . .   | 70  |
| 3.1 | Methodological Summary of Research Questions and Statistical Approaches, Including G*Power Analysis. . . . .   | 80  |
| 3.2 | Comprehensive Overview of Research Questions, Data Collections, and Statistical Analyses. . . . .  | 80  |
| 3.3 | Overview of Data Collection Specifics Across Different Groups; Group (G), Country (C), Season (S), Building type (B), Variables (V), Sample size (SS), Temperature (T), humidity (H), thermal sensation (TS), energy consumption (EC), productivity (P). . . . . | 89  |
| 3.4 | Overview of the R packages utilised for data processing and preprocessing. . . . .   | 99  |
| 3.5 | Overview of MATLAB Simulink Models Used in the Book. . . . .   | 100 |
| 5.1 | Summary of Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Results Across Datasets ES.S, UK.S, UK.W, and Combined ES.S+UK.S+UK.W. . . . .  | 126 |
| 5.2 | Summary of ANOVA Test Results, Displaying Degrees of Freedom, Sum of Squares, Mean Squares, F-Value, and p-Value for Group and Residual Sources. . . . .   | 126 |
| 5.3 | Summary of Pairwise Comparisons for Datasets UK.S, UK.W, and ES.S, Indicating Z-Scores and Both Unadjusted and Adjusted p-Values. . . . .  | 127 |
| 5.4 | Summary of Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Results for Unified Dataset and Individual Datasets ES.S, UK.S, and UK.W, Indicating D-Values, p-Values, and Alternative Hypothesis Employed . . . . .  | 130 |

## List of Tables

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.5  | Summary of Chi-Squared Test Results for Unified Data and Individual Datasets ES.S, UK.S, and UK.W . . . . .  | 130 |
| 5.6  | Summary of Pairwise Comparisons Between Datasets ES.S, UK.S, and UK.W, Indicating Z-Scores and Both Unadjusted and Adjusted p-Values . . . . .   | 131 |
| 5.7  | Summary of Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test Results for Unified, Male, and Female Datasets, Indicating D-Values, p-Values, and Alternative Hypotheses Employed . . . . .                                    | 135 |
| 5.8  | Summary of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Assessing the Effect of Gender on the Dependent Variable, Indicating Degrees of Freedom, Sum of Squares, Mean Squares, F-Value, and Significance Level . . | 135 |
| 5.9  | Summary of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the UK.W Dataset Evaluating the Influence of Gender, Indicating Degrees of Freedom, Sum of Squares, Mean Squares, F-Value, and Significance Level. . . | 137 |
| 5.10 | Summary of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the UK.S Dataset Evaluating the Influence of Gender, Indicating Degrees of Freedom, Sum of Squares, Mean Squares, F-Value, and Significance Level. . . | 137 |
| 5.11 | Summary of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the ES.S Dataset Evaluating the Influence of Gender, Indicating Degrees of Freedom, Sum of Squares, Mean Squares, F-Value, and Significance Level. . . | 137 |
| 5.12 | Summary of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Male Subset Assessing the Influence of Group, Detailing Degrees of Freedom, Sum of Squares, Mean Squares, F-Value, and Significance Level. . . . . | 138 |
| 5.13 | Summary of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Female Subset Assessing the Impact of Group, Detailing Degrees of Freedom, Sum of Squares, Mean Squares, F-Value, and Significance Level. . . . .  | 138 |
| 5.14 | Pairwise Comparisons Assessing Statistical Significance across Gender and Geographical Groups, Represented by Z-Value and Adjusted and Unadjusted P-Values. . . . .                                | 138 |
| 5.15 | Statistical Evaluation of D-Values and Corresponding p-Values for Various Test Datasets, Including Gender and Geographical Subsets, in Assessing the Alternative Hypothesis. . . . .               | 140 |
| 5.16 | Statistical Summary of t-Values, Degrees of Freedom, and Corresponding p-Values for Various Test Datasets, Evaluating Gender-Based Thermal Comfort Differences. . . . .                            | 141 |
| 5.17 | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Summary for Evaluating the Impact of Gender on Thermal Comfort, Specifically in the UK.W Dataset. .   | 141 |

## List of Tables

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.18 | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Summary for Evaluating the Impact of Gender on Thermal Comfort, Specifically in the UK.S Dataset. . .                           | 141 |
| 5.19 | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Summary for Evaluating the Impact of Gender on Thermal Comfort, Specifically in the ES.S Dataset caption. . . . .               | 141 |
| 5.20 | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Summary for Evaluating the Impact of Gender on Thermal Comfort, Specifically in the ES.S+UK.S Dataset.                          | 141 |
| 5.21 | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Summary for Evaluating the Impact of Gender on Thermal Comfort, Specifically in the UK.S+UK.W Dataset. . . . .                  | 142 |
| 5.22 | Pairwise Comparisons for Gender Disparities in PMV across Diverse Climatic Groups: ES.S, UK.S, and UK.W, Utilising Z-Values and Associated P-Values. . . . . | 143 |
| 5.23 | Chi-Squared Test Results for Thermal Comfort Across Gender and Geographical Groups. . . . .  | 145 |
| 5.24 | Pairwise Comparison of Thermal Sensation (TS) between Genders Across Different Groups. . . . .   | 146 |
| 5.25 | Comprehensive Statistical Evaluation of Thermal Deviation (TD) Metrics: Gender Disparities. . . . .  | 147 |
| 5.26 | Statistical Comparison of Thermal Deviation (TD) between Male and Female. . . . .  | 148 |
| 5.27 | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Thermal Deviation (TD) by Gender within the ES.S Group. . . . .   | 148 |
| 5.28 | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Thermal Deviation (TD) by Gender within the UK.S Group. . . . .   | 148 |
| 5.29 | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Thermal Deviation (TD) by Gender within the UK.W Group. . . . .   | 148 |
| 5.30 | Results of Kruskal-Wallis H-test for Pairwise Comparisons of Thermal Deviation (TD) across Groups. . . . .   | 149 |
| 5.31 | Kruskal-Wallis H-test Outcomes for Gender-Based Comparisons of Thermal Deviation (TD) within Groups. . . . .   | 151 |
| 5.32 | Kruskal-Wallis H-test Outcomes for Comparisons of heat loss Variables across Groups. . . . .   | 152 |
| 5.33 | Gender-based Comparisons of Thermal Variables (CLO, TCL, HL1, HL3, HL4, HL5) Across Different groups (UK.W, UK.S, ES.S).. . .                                | 155 |
| 5.34 | Comparative Analysis of Model Fit Across Different Groups (UK.W, UK.S, ES.S, UK.W+UK.S, UK.S+ES.S, Unified) Using Various Models . . . . .                   | 158 |

## List of Tables

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.35 | Linear Mixed Model Summary by Group with AIC, BIC, Chi-Square, DF, and Significance. . . . .                                    | 159 |
| 5.36 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov D-Statistics and P-values for Thermal Sensation Models Across Data Groups. . . . .                           | 163 |
| 5.37 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov D-Statistics and P-values for Combined Data Groups Across Multiple Models. . . . .                           | 166 |
| 5.38 | AIC, BIC, and Chi-Square Test Results for Combined UK and ES Data Groups Across Models. . . . .                                 | 168 |
| 5.39 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov D-Statistics and P-values for Combined UK and ES Data Groups Across Multiple Models. . . . .                 | 170 |
| 5.40 | Kolmogorov-Smirnov D-Statistics and P-values for Combined UK and ES Data Groups Across Base and Extended Models. . . . .        | 172 |
| 5.41 | Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Mean Squared Error (MSE) for Individual UK.W Participants in Comparison with PMV-Based Metrics.   | 173 |
| 5.42 | Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Mean Squared Error (MSE) for Individual UK.S Participants in Comparison with PMV-Based Metrics.   | 173 |
| 5.43 | Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Mean Squared Error (MSE) for Individual ES.S Participants in Comparison with PMV-Based Metrics.   | 174 |
| 5.44 | V-Statistic and P-values for Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test on MAE and MSE. . . . .  | 175 |
| 5.45 | Chi-Squared Test Results for Discomfort . . . . .   | 180 |
| 5.46 | Comparative Metrics for Thermal Sensation Models Including AIC, BIC, and Chi-Square Test Results. . . . .                       | 182 |
| 5.47 | Comparative Metrics for Models on DC3 Dataset with Energy Consumption, Including AIC, BIC, and Chi-Square Test Results. . . . . | 184 |
| 5.48 | Statistical Parameters for Simple Models, Including Coefficients and Model Fit Statistics. . . . .                              | 185 |
| 5.49 | Statistical Characteristics of Various Models. . . . .  | 186 |
| 5.50 | Comparison of Mixed-Effects Models with Varying Fixed and Random Effects, Evaluated by AIC, BIC, and Chi-Squared Tests. . . . . | 188 |
| 5.51 | Statistical Parameters for Dataset DC4: Model Comparisons Including AIC, BIC, and Chi-Squared Tests. . . . .                    | 190 |
| 5.52 | Statistical Parameters for Regression Models: Assessing the Impact of Various Predictors on the Response Variable. . . . .      | 191 |
| 5.53 | Statistical Summary of Regression Models: Evaluating the Influence of Thermal Sensation and Environmental Factors. . . . .      | 194 |



## List of Tables

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.54 | Comparison of D Statistics and P-values Across Multiple Models and Predictions in the DC3 Dataset. . . . .                | 201 |
| 5.55 | Comparative Analysis of D Statistics and P-values Across Diverse Models and Predictions in the DC4 Dataset. . . . .       | 202 |
| 5.56 | Comparative of T-Statistics and P-values Across Variables and Groups for Week 1 vs Week 2 Timeframe. . . . .              | 213 |
| 5.57 | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Different Variables and Conditions.  | 214 |
| 5.58 | Differences-in-Differences (DiD) Estimation for Various Thermal Comfort Variables. . . . .                                | 214 |
| 5.59 | Energy Consumption across Two Buildings During Different Working Hours. . . . .   | 219 |
| 5.60 | Statistical Comparison of Conditions Across Different Time Periods and Buildings: Week 1 vs Week 2. . . . .               | 220 |
| 5.61 | Statistical Assessment of Energy Consumption Across Different Time Periods and Buildings: Week 1 vs Week 2. . . . .       | 221 |
| 5.62 | Comparative Analysis of Energy Consumption Across Different Time Periods: The Difference-in-Differences Approach. . . . . | 221 |
| 5.63 | Analysis of Variance in Energy-Related Metrics Across Locations and Time Periods. . . . .                                 | 224 |
| 5.64 | Table Caption: Comparative Statistical Analysis of Energy-Related Metrics Across Weeks and Buildings. . . . .             | 224 |
| 5.65 | Table Caption: Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Key Energy Metrics Across Different Conditions. . . . .                   | 225 |
| 5.66 | Difference-in-Differences (DiD) Estimation for Energy Metrics VP, PPW, and PPM. . . . .                                   | 226 |
| 5.67 | Descriptive Statistics for MP and PPM Variables Across Locations and Time Periods. . . . .                                | 231 |
| 5.68 | Descriptive Statistics for MP and PPM Variables Across Different Locations and Time Periods. . . . .                      | 231 |
| 5.69 | Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for MathPoints and PointsPerMinute Variables Across Different Conditions. . . . .            | 232 |
| 5.70 | Difference-in-Differences (DiD) Estimation for MathPoints and PointsPerMinute Variables. . . . .                          | 234 |
| 6.1  | Summary of Research Questions, Conclusions, and Nature of Findings.   | 240 |

# Acknowledgements

Edgar Segovia  
September 2024

The fruition of this book owes a great deal to the concerted efforts and support of various individuals and institutions.

First and foremost, profound gratitude is extended to the supervisory team consisting of Prof. Dr. Paul van Schaik, Dr. Vladimir Vukovic , and Dr. Srdan Medimorec. Their invaluable guidance, both in the planning stage and throughout the doctoral process, has been instrumental in shaping this research.

Sincere appreciation is accorded to Teesside University for awarding the scholarship that made the realisation of this research project and doctoral thesis possible. The institution's commitment to fostering academic excellence has been a vital component in this scholarly journey.

Special recognition is given to the family members, particularly to the father and mother of the researcher. Their unwavering emotional support throughout the duration of the doctoral studies has been a source of immense strength.

Lastly, an expression of gratitude is made to a higher power, credited for providing the inner fortitude required to complete this challenging academic endeavour.

# Introduction

This book delves deeply into the complex field of thermal comfort, highlighting its critical importance for enhancing energy efficiency. Rather than viewing energy efficiency through the traditional lens, this study adopts a sophisticated perspective, focusing on the intricacies of energy use and its sustainability implications. The research extensively utilises data gathered from both the United Kingdom and Spain, benefitting from various projects financed by the European Union and the United Kingdom. This approach ensures a comprehensive understanding of thermal comfort's role in achieving greater energy efficiency across different climatic and cultural contexts.

A comprehensive methodological framework informs this study, addressing salient research questions and utilising a multi-stage data collection strategy. Each data collection phase, meticulously planned and executed, targeted specific environments—ranging from residential to office spaces—and climatic conditions primarily in the UK and Spain. These phases were instrumental in gathering a diverse array of data, from ambient temperature readings to participant feedback on thermal comfort, thereby ensuring a rich and comprehensive dataset. Across all data collection phases, 131 participants contributed, enriching the study with a broad spectrum of insights. The seven research questions, central to the book, probe into the accuracy of existing models for predicting thermal comfort, the relationship between energy consumption and thermal comfort, and the impact of thermal comfort on productivity in office settings. The methodological narrative in this chapter articulates the rationale behind the multi-stage data collection strategy, detailing the objectives and outcomes expected at each stage. The methodology serves to elucidate the intricate relationships between thermal comfort and energy efficiency.

This research introduces an innovative suite of digital tools designed to enhance the research's analytical capabilities across different dimensions of energy efficiency and thermal comfort. The Bibliometric Analysis Tool, developed using R, streamlines the literature review process, enabling a thorough analysis of existing academic works. The Energy Baseline Tool, through detailed simulations, offers insights into energy consumption patterns and potential efficiency improvements in various building types. Utilising MATLAB, the Energy Consumption Simulations tool delves into the complexities of building energy use, providing a dynamic

understanding of how different factors interact to affect energy efficiency. Finally, the Thermal Comfort-based Thermostat Algorithm, implemented in the latter stages of the research, optimizes indoor climate controls based on real-time thermal comfort assessments, bridging the gap between theoretical insights and practical energy management solutions. Together, these tools form a cohesive framework that significantly advances the study's aim to explore the nuanced relationship between thermal comfort and energy efficiency.

This study meticulously explores thermal comfort, scrutinising the efficacy of the Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) and Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied (PPD) models. It reveals nuanced gender differences in thermal perception and questions the universal applicability of the PMV model, while also pointing out the PPD model's limitations in accurately predicting discomfort across varied comfort levels. Further, the investigation into the relationship between energy consumption and thermal sensation highlights the complexity of this interaction, advocating for adaptive models that can accommodate individual differences. An innovative algorithm developed for setting temperature points showcases a potential strategy for enhancing thermal comfort while optimising energy efficiency, particularly in office environments. These findings underscore the importance of a more individualised approach to thermal comfort, suggesting adjustments to current standards to better reflect human performance and energy efficiency.

The book culminates in a comprehensive synthesis of findings and contributions across all explored research questions, offering a solid foundation for future research directions. By integrating insights from psychology and engineering, this work significantly enhances occupant well-being and promotes sustainable energy utilisation. A pioneering approach was adopted through the bibliometric analysis method that clusters abstract terms, enriching the depth of literature review insights. The introduction of the HDD.E concept emerges as a pivotal link between thermal sensation and energy modelling, advocating for a more nuanced incorporation of human factors into thermal comfort research. This book advances methodologies for evaluating improvements in building energy performance, while also spotlighting gender-based differences in thermal perception, thus making a case for adjustable temperature settings to accommodate diverse needs.

It critically assesses the Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied (PPD) model's efficacy in capturing the nuances of thermal discomfort, advocating for a more intricate understanding of comfort. Notably, a significant link between thermal comfort and productivity within office settings is established, underscoring the potential benefits of optimising indoor climates for enhanced work performance. Moreover, the introduction of a novel thermostat algorithm that provides real-time feedback marks a groundbreaking advancement in indoor climate control, recognised

for its innovative impact. These manifold contributions signify a considerable leap towards more sustainable, occupant-centred building practices and energy management. They guide future investigations and practical applications in the quest for creating indoor environments that are not only energy-efficient but also supremely comfortable for their occupants.

# List of Abbreviations

|               |   |
|---------------|---|
| <b>TU</b>     | Teesside University.  |
| <b>PMV</b>    | Predict Mean Vote.  |
| <b>SSSHL</b>  | School of Social Sciences, Humanities & Law.                                |
| <b>RQ</b>     | Research Question.  |
| <b>PPD</b>    | Predict Percentage Dissatisfied.  |
| <b>EU</b>     | European Union.   |
| <b>UK</b>     | United Kingdom.   |
| <b>UK.S</b>   | United Kingdom Summer.  |
| <b>UK.W</b>   | United Kingdom Winter.  |
| <b>ES</b>     | Spain.  |
| <b>ES.S</b>   | Spain Summer.   |
| <b>GHG</b>    | Green House Gases.  |
| <b>ASHRAE</b> | American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers. |
| <b>DR</b>     | Demand Response.  |
| <b>CSCC</b>   | Conference on Circuits, Systems, Communications and Computers.              |
| <b>IEEE</b>   | Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.                          |
| <b>ICESF</b>  | International Conference on Energy and Sustainable Futures.                 |
| <b>NNSS</b>   | Nudge nudge switch switch.  |
| <b>HVAC</b>   | Heating Ventilation Air Conditioning.                                       |
| <b>PD</b>     | Proportional Derivative controller .  |
| <b>PID</b>    | Proportional Integrative Derivative controller.                             |
| <b>SSL</b>    | Solid State Lighting.   |
| <b>DH</b>     | District Heating.   |

## *List of Abbreviations*

|               |  |
|---------------|--|
| <b>ACC</b>    | Adaptive Cruise Control.                             |
| <b>BIM</b>    | Building Information Modelling.                      |
| <b>DREAMS</b> | Data-driven Engine for Archetype Models of Schools . |
| <b>ELM</b>    | Extreme Learning Machine.                            |
| <b>ANN</b>    | Artificial neural network .                          |
| <b>HRV</b>    | Heart rate variation.                                |
| <b>EEG</b>    | Electroencephalograph.                               |
| <b>AC</b>     | Air conditioning.                                    |
| <b>MAE</b>    | Mean absolute error.                                 |
| <b>MSE</b>    | Mean squared error.                                  |
| <b>TCL</b>    | temperatureof the clothing .                         |
| <b>CLO</b>    | Clothing factor.                                     |
| <b>HL</b>     | Heating los.   |
| <b>HDD</b>    | Heating degree days.                                 |
| <b>HDD.E</b>  | Effective Heating degree days.                       |
| <b>TA</b>     | Air temperature.                                     |
| <b>DC</b>     | Data Collection.                                     |
| <b>RQ</b>     | Research question.                                   |
| <b>GBA</b>    | Government Buildings Agency.                         |
| <b>GTO</b>    | Weighted Temperature Exceeding Hours.                |
| <b>TO</b>     | Total hours.   |
| <b>WF</b>     | Weighted factor.                                     |
| <b>ATG</b>    | Adaptive temperature limits guidelines.              |
| <b>TS</b>     | thermal sensation.                                   |
| <b>TD</b>     | Thermal Deviation.                                   |





# Overview

This book offers an in-depth exploration into the multifaceted domain of thermal comfort, underscored by its paramount implications for energy efficiency. Central to this research is the notion of energy efficiency as a ‘hidden fuel’, a conceptual framework that enables a more nuanced approach to energy consumption and sustainability. This research predominantly draws upon data from the United Kingdom and Spain, supported by various projects funded by the European Union and the United Kingdom.

The underpinnings of this research are grounded in a Panorama of erspectives, spanning a year-long period and updated to encapsulate the most recent scholarly contributions. A bibliometric analysis tool aids in the thematic clustering of literature, illuminating nine key themes that range from engineering principles to psychological factors affecting thermal comfort and energy efficiency.

A comprehensive methodological framework informs this study, addressing salient research questions and utilising a multi-stage data collection strategy. Data are gathered from residential and office settings across different climatic conditions, focusing on the United Kingdom and Spain. The methodology elucidates the intricate relationships between thermal comfort and energy efficiency.

This research is further enriched by developing four novel digital tools tailored to specific research needs. These tools, from bibliometric analysis to energy consumption simulations, lay a robust foundation for data analysis and interpretation, exemplifying the study’s innovative approach.

A rigorous analytical survey of thermal comfort unfolds in this section, dissecting various research questions related to models like Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) and Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied (PPD). The analyses reveal existing models’

## *Overview*

limitations, propose optimisation avenues, and suggest that thermal comfort is interlinked with energy consumption and productivity.

The book culminates in synthesising findings and contributions, offering recommendations for future research and summarising Key themes and discoveries, providing the reader with a coherent understanding of the study's academic contributions and implications.

*Cogito, ergo sum*  
*"I think, therefore I am"*

— Descartes, R (1637).

# 1

## Foundations

### 1.1 Chapter overview

This chapter delineates an exposition of the research. It serves as the conceptual framework for exploring the motivations inciting the research, the importance of this endeavour, and its potential constraints. The chapter aims to familiarise the reader with constructs that relate directly or tangentially to the subject.

Governments worldwide, like the United States, the United Kingdom, and the European Union, are formulating policies to advocate for energy efficiency [Vavrek and Chovancová (2020)];Doris et al. (2009)], underlining its centrality in global discourse. One of the salient energy objectives within the European Union is the improvement of energy efficiency. This research reveals the latent potential of energy efficiency, regarded herein as the ‘hidden fuel’.

This research aids in quantifying actual fuel costs and promotes the conceptualisation of energy efficiency as a ‘hidden fuel’. Such an approach will facilitate the achievement of energy efficiency intervention targets with reduced power consumption. This, in turn, lessens the environmental footprint while enhancing thermal comfort within architectural constructs. The human element is integral to energy consumption; by comprehensively understanding this aspect, it becomes

## 1. Foundations

possible to improve human comfort and reduce energy usage by modifying the energy consumption profile.

A profound grasp of engineering principles and psychological underpinnings is indispensable for facilitating this research. This section elucidates terminologies that may bear different connotations within varying contexts. Aided by references and citations, this section provides the reader with an understanding of the terminological framework utilised within this book. Data aggregation and review of the extant literature were performed within the ambit of several energy efficiency projects funded by the European Union and the United Kingdom. This project predominantly uses data from the United Kingdom and Spain. This chapter offers an expansive insight into the pertinent concepts within this contextual structure.

## 1.2 Navigating the Book: A Guide for Readers

To facilitate a smooth reading experience and to assist in understanding the complex interplay of factors discussed, this book utilises specific typographical conventions.

- Chapter References: When referring to specific chapters that detail data collection methods or elaborate on research questions, the text will be highlighted like chapter 1.2. This is intended to draw attention to key areas where in-depth information can be found.
- Terminology: Terms that have been explicitly defined in the “Definition of Terms” section will appear in *italics*. This serves to remind the reader that these terms carry specific meanings within the context of this research.
- Statistical Significance: in chapter 5 results from statistical tests that are deemed to be statistically significant will be **bold**. This is to highlight the particular importance of these findings in the interpretation of the data and the implications for the research questions at hand. Thereby aiding the reader in the critical evaluation of the research outcomes.

## 1. Foundations

By adhering to these conventions, this document aims to offer a coherent and easily navigable structure. Readers are encouraged to refer to this section as needed to maximise their understanding and engagement with the material presented.

These guidelines aim to make the text more accessible, allowing readers to delve deeper into the research while knowing exactly where to find supplementary information.

### 1.3 The Spark of Inquiry: Why This Matters

The current research approaches energy efficiency from a multidisciplinary perspective, using programming, mechanical and electrical engineering, psychophysics, and psychology insights. This research investigates thermal sensation and its potential impact on energy efficiency.

The concept of energy efficiency is not new and has been gaining importance in recent years. This is because energy efficiency is valuable for addressing energy security, reducing energy costs, and mitigating climate change. The literature suggests that energy efficiency can be considered an ‘energy resource’ because it allows the same resource to be used more effectively [Thoyre (2015)]. This means energy efficiency reduces consumption and contributes to the energy mix. In other words, it is a way to increase the availability of energy resources without resorting to new energy sources.

People use energy to move around, heat homes, illuminate the streets, and many other things. Unfortunately, the indiscriminate use of accessible fuels is leaving a mark on our planet, contributing significantly to the production of greenhouse gases. Every day, humanity consumes 75 million barrels of oil, an increasing number [Brown and Huntington (2017)]. Several projects, such as the European Union 2020 goals [Backlund et al. (2012)], focus on preventing global warming.

The European Union 2020 policy is to increase energy generation by renewable sources by 20%, reduce greenhouse gas generation by 20% and increase energy efficiency by 20% [Backlund et al. (2012)]. The least understood may be the one

## *1. Foundations*

corresponding to energy efficiency, which still has significant power to develop and is synergistic with the other two. Indirectly increasing the energy can reduce the generation of greenhouse gases. Energy efficiency technology provides consumption flexibility, allowing renewable energy to be coupled to the existing grid.

One critical problem with renewable energy is that it is not programmable. Unfortunately, it is not possible to program the wind or the sun. However, with intelligent consumption and energy efficiency, it is possible to give the grid the necessary degree of flexibility so that renewable energies are more widely used in electrical grids. Still, research on the impacts of energy efficiency beyond energy savings has yet to be done.

Energy efficiency and the search for comfort should synergise and strengthen each other. For this reason, this research reflects the effect of comfort on energy efficiency. In this way, it aims to help develop energy efficiency policies and improve people's interaction with technologies. This research goal is to continuously enhance people's lives while minimising their environmental impact.

## **1.4 The Research in Focus: Its Value and Impact**

Throughout history, society has prioritised optimising the use of limited resources. By doing so, we can accomplish more with the same resources, which helps meet the needs of more people. This concept has been applied to many areas of life, particularly to material resources. People also strive to make the most of their time, utilising vehicles for transportation, computers and phones for quick access to information, and electric lights to artificially extend daylight hours [Matzinger et al. (1996)]. As a result, humans have achieved a level of comfort and productivity that was once unimaginable [Jaffe and Stavins (1994)]. However, the increased reliance on technology has led to a significant increase in the consumption of natural resources such as coal, natural gas, oil, and uranium.

The energy cost significantly impacts the economy, affecting various aspects such as manufacturing, transportation, and daily life. Several factors determine energy