Anatomy of a Terrorist Organisation

Anatomy of a Terrorist Organisation:

The Kurdistan Workers' Party

Edited by

Serhat A. Erkmen and Burak Güneş

Cambridge Scholars Publishing



Anatomy of a Terrorist Organisation: The Kurdistan Workers' Party

Edited by Serhat A. Erkmen and Burak Güneş

This book first published 2025

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

Lady Stephenson Library, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2PA, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2025 by Serhat A. Erkmen, Burak Güneş and contributors

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN: 978-1-0364-4488-4

ISBN (Ebook): 978-1-0364-4489-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ontributorsviii
troduction xix
erhat A. Erkmen & Burak Güneş
hapter I
hapter II
error, Fear of Partition and the Sacred Homeland: Re-Membering ollective Trauma through PKK Actions kif Bahadır Kaynak & Deniz Ülke Kaynak
hapter III
hapter IV
hapter V
hapter VI

Chapter VII	4
Chapter VIII	8
Chapter IX210	0
PKK Terrorist Organisation's Social Media Propaganda Erol Başaran Bural	
Chapter X	8
Chapter XI	9
Chapter XII	5
Chapter XIII	1
Chapter XIV	6
Chapter XV	1

Anatomy of a Terrorist Organisation: The Kurdistan Workers' Party	vii
Chapter XVI	389
Chapter XVII	109
Chapter XVIII	123
Chapter XIX	451

to Türkiye Özgür Uğurdan

CONTRIBUTORS

Serhat A. Erkmen PhD.

Erkmen was born in Istanbul in 1975. He completed his undergraduate studies at Ankara University, Faculty of Political Sciences, Department of International Relations. He obtained his Ph.D. from the same university in 2008. Between 2000 and 2017, he worked as a researcher and senior advisor in various think tanks, focusing particularly on the Middle East, with an emphasis on Iraq and Syria. From 2000 to 2008, he was associated with the Eurasian Strategic Studies Center, from 2009 to 2014 with ORSAM, and from 2014 to 2017, with the 21st Century Türkiye Institute. These roles allowed him to delve deeply into the complexities of the region, conducting research and providing strategic insights on various issues, particularly those pertaining to Iraq and Syria. From 2009 to 2017, he served as a faculty member in the Department of International Relations at Ahi Evran University in Kırsehir. Later, from 2018 to 2021, he worked at the Security Sciences Institute, Department of International Security and Terrorism at the Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy. After serving as the head of the Department of International Relations at Altınbaş University from 2022 to 2023, he left the university. While working at universities and think tanks, he conducted research and managed projects on security, terrorism, and migration issues. He also provided training and seminars on terrorism, intelligence analysis, Middle East issues, and foreign policy at numerous institutions. In 2024, Dr. Erkmen founded the analysis company Pros&Cons Security and Risk Assessment, of which he is currently the president.

Serhat Erkmen's main areas of expertise are security, terrorism, and intelligence analysis. In addition to these main themes, he has been conducting fieldwork on the political situation, social structure, and security issues in Iraq and Syria for nearly 20 years. The dozens of reports, articles, and analyses resulting from these studies have been published in Turkish, English, and Arabic. In recent years, Serhat Erkmen has focused on border security, cross-border military operations, and terrorist organizations such as ISIS/PKK. He frequently visits Iraq and Syria and prepares analyses based on the research he conducts in these regions.

Burak Güneş PhD, Kırşehir Ahi Evran University, Turkiye

Burak Günes obtained his degree from the Faculty of Political Sciences, Department of International Relations at Ankara University. Subsequently, he undertook postgraduate studies in the United Kingdom, where he was awarded a scholarship by the Ministry of National Education (YLSY) to pursue a master's degree in 2011. He undertook postgraduate studies in international law at the University of Sussex, where he completed his master's degree with a thesis examining the responsibility of states in relation to private military companies. Subsequently, Günes commenced his professional career as a research assistant in the International Relations Department at Kırsehir Ahi Evran University in 2013. In the same year, he commenced doctoral studies in International Relations at Middle East Technical University. He was awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in 2019 for his doctoral thesis, entitled "International Law on States Arming Non-State Groups in Other States". Since 2024, he has held the position of Associate Professor in the International Relations Department at Kırşehir Ahi Evran University.

Necmettin Celik PhD.

Necmettin Çelik is an Associate Professor at the Department of Economics at İzmir Katip Çelebi University. Çelik graduated from the Department of Economics and Department of International Relations (DMP) at Ege University and completed his master's and doctorate degrees at the Ege University Economics Programs. Çelik teaches courses such as Defense and Security Economics, Terrorism and Economics, Conflict and Terror Economics at Katip Çelebi University and the National Defense University (Turkish Military Academy) and has an associate professorship in the field of "Regional Studies". He works in the fields of security economics, terror economics and regional economics.

Yasin Yıldız PhD Candidate, National Police Academy, Turkiye

Yasin Yıldız, born in Ankara in 1982, completed his undergraduate studies at Ankara University, Faculty of Health Education, in 2005. In 2014, he earned a second bachelor's degree from Anadolu University, Department of Public Administration. In 2023, he obtained a master's degree from the Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy, specializing in Security Management. Yıldız is currently pursuing a Ph.D. in the International Security program at the National Police Academy and has been serving at the Ministry of Interior since 2007. His primary research interests include

x ContrIbutors

terrorism and intelligence, and he has made significant contributions to various publications in the field of medical intelligence, comprising articles and book chapters.

Hatice Varol PhD. (c)., Turkish Military Academy, Türkiye

In 2017, she graduated from Gazi University, Department of International Relations. In the last two years of her undergraduate life, she worked as a reporter and ran the social media programme with columnists at Hürriyet Newspaper. She started her master's degree in International Security and Terrorism at the Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy. She took part in PKK studies at SETA security department for 3 months. She works on radicalisation, terrorist organisations and border security. She is currently a PhD thesis student in the field of International Security and Terrorism at the National Defence University. E-mail: hvarol28@gmail.com. ORCID: 0000-0002-3668-3048

Alper Güneş PhD.

Alper GÜNEŞ completed his undergraduate educations at Anadolu University, Faculty of Economics, Department of Economics in 2010 and he got another bachelor degree at Selcuk University, Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences in Public Administration in 2011.

He was accepted to the master's programme in the field of Public Administration at Selcuk University Institute of Social Sciences, Department of Public Administration in 2011

He studied as a researcher in Poland-Poznań Adam Mickiewicz University with Erasmus student exchange programme between 2012 and 2013. He completed his master's degree with the title of thesis "Information Crimes and Legal Responsibility of the Administration" in 2014

He has 20 years of work experience in government, think tanks and academia, including in various departments of the Ministry of Interior and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. He served as Security Attaché at the Embassy of the Republic of Türkiye in London-United Kingdom under the Ministry of Foreign Affairs between 2015 and 2018.

He completed his PhD in International Security and Terrorism at the Alparslan Institute of Defence Sciences and National Security, University of National Defence (MSÜ) with the title of thesis: "The Effect of Change and Transformation in Security on Intelligence Understanding after 2001:

The cases of USA and UK" in 2024. During his PhD he was awarded the TÜBİTAK 2214-A International Doctoral Research Scholarship and conducted his doctoral thesis research as a visiting researcher at Loughborough University in the United Kingdom-England between 2022 and 2023. Since January 2025, he has been conducting postdoctoral research as an academic visitor at the Brunel Centre for Intelligence and Security Studies (BCISS), Brunel University London.

His fields of study are Security Studies, Intelligence Studies, Terrorism, and he focuses on these areas. He has authored articles and book chapters in the mentioned fields.

Arman Sert PhD. (c)., Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy, Türkiye

Since 2022, SERT has held a faculty position at the Terrorism Research Centre of the Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy. He completed his undergraduate education at the Turkish Military Academy in 2009. Subsequently, he obtained his Master's degree in International Security and Terrorism from the Institute of Security Sciences at the Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy, where he simultaneously served as a Research Assistant from 2018 to 2020. SERT is currently engaged in his doctoral studies in the field of International Security and Terrorism at the Alparslan Institute of Defense Sciences and National Security, National Defense University, a program he commenced in 2020. His principal areas of scholarly interest encompass international security, strategic studies, insurgencies, and terrorism.

Emre Cıtak Assoc. Prof., Hitit University, Turkiye

Emre Çıtak completed his bachelor degrees at Ankara University Department of English Language and Literature and at Anadolu University Department of Business Administration, undertook master's degrees at Hacettepe University Department of International Relations and and Hitit University Department of Forensic Sciences, got PhD at Gazi University Department of International Relations. As a result of subsequent academic studies, he received title of associate professorship in 2021. He specializes on intelligence, terrorism, international security, radicalization, migration-security relations, energy security, and criminology in the field of Security Studies. He has written several books, book chapters, articles, and conference papers on these subjects so far. Çıtak is currently a faculty member at Hitit University's Department of International Relations.

xii ContrIbutors

Selim Kurt PhD. Giresun University, Türkiye

Selim KURT was born in Giresun on January 1, 1981. He completed his primary, secondary and high school education in Giresun. He graduated from Istanbul University, Faculty of Economics, Department of International Relations in 2001. In 2003, he completed his military service. In 2006, he obtained his Master's degree from Karadeniz Technical University, Institute of Social Sciences, Department of International Relations. In 2013, he started his PhD education at Karadeniz Technical University Institute of Social Sciences, Department of International Relations and completed it in 2017. He is currently working as an Associate Professor at Giresun University, Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Department of International Relations. His academic studies focuses on Terrorism, International Security, Securitization Theory and Eurasia. He has total of twenty-nine articles published in peerreviewed journals, seven of which are indexed in Web of Science and five of which are indexed in Scopus. He also has fifteen books and book chapters and twenty papers presented at national and international symposiums. He speaks English fluently and is married with one child.

Mehmet Çağatay Abuşoğlu PhD.

He obtained his PhD from Political Science and International Relations at Anadolu University with his thesis titled "The US Grand Strategy: Its Historical Development and Effect on the Middle East and Türkiye" in 2022.

Gökhan İbrahim Öğünç Ph.D. Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy, Türkiye

A graduate of the Police College and Police Academy, Prof. Dr. Gökhan İbrahim ÖĞÜNÇ became a Ballistics Assistant at the Forensic Department of the Turkish National Police in 1999 and a Ballistics Expert in 2002. He completed the Physical Investigations and Criminalistics master's program at the Ankara University Institute of Forensic Sciences in 2003 and the Public Administration master's program at the Public Administration Institute of Türkiye and the Middle East in 2008. He completed the Criminalistics doctorate program at the Ankara University Institute of Forensic Sciences in 2010 and received the title of "Associate Professor" in Forensic Sciences in 2015. ÖĞÜNÇ, who was appointed as a faculty member at the Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy in 2018, received the title of "Professor" in 2022 and currently serves as the Director of the

Forensic Sciences Institute of the Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy.

Prof. Dr. Gökhan İbrahim ÖĞÜNÇ has academic studies on ballistics, wound ballistics, forensic shooting analysis, ballistic protective material standards and tests, combating Improvised explosive devices (IED) and post-explosion crime scene investigation.

Özgür Uğurdan PhD.

Dr. S. Özgür Uğurdan graduated from the Faculty of Political Science at Ankara University. He completed his master's degree at the Middle East Technical University. S. Özgür Uğurdan holds a PhD in Strategic Studies from Reading University/UK. His PhD examines 'The Explanatory Power Of Geopolitical Theory in American Foreign Policy Towards Kurdish Separatism in Iran, Iraq and Türkiye: 1942-2004' He is an assistant professor at National Intelligence Academy based in Ankara. He conducts research focusing on the US foreign policy, geopolitics, grand strategy and the role of intelligence in the making of strategic decisions.

Muhittin Imil Assoc, Prof. Dr.

IMIL was born in 1970. He graduated from Işıklar Military High School in 1984 and from the Military Academy in 1993. He retired in 2020 after serving in various units of the Turkish Armed Forces and in the Department of Sociology at the National Defense University Military Academy Dean's Office for the last three years. He completed his doctorate in 2016 with his thesis titled "The Relationship Between PKK And Religion In The Process Of Nation Building", which will later receive two different awards. The author of the books "PKK ve Din", "Propaganda ve Din", "Devşirme Asker ve Mürit", "Taştan Klavyeye Linç", the editor of the books "Propaganda ve Algı Yönetimi" and "Yeni Nesil Terörizm" continues to lecture at the National Defense University and Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy as a visiting professor. IMIL has published articles and book chapters in the fields of sociology of religion, sociology of religious groups and military sociology.

Özdemir Akbal Ph.D. Trakya University, Türkiye

Focusing on international political theories, Dr. Özdemir Akbal has published several books and articles on the Middle East and the Balkan States in the context of international political theories. Dr. Akbal is also a member of the International Political Science Association (IPSA), the

xiv ContrIbutors

Diplomatic Studies Association, and the Association of International Politics and Security Studies. Dr. Akbal, who continues his research on the alliance relations of structural realism in international political theories, the examination of these alliance relations in the context of intersubjectivity, and the effects of interregional structures on the systemic level in the light of these concepts, currently works at Trakya University.

Deniz Ülke Kaynak PhD., Üsküdar University, Türkiye

Dr. Kaynak received her bachelor's degree from Ankara University, Faculty of Political Sciences, International Relations Department and completed her master's and PhD at Istanbul University, Institute of Social Sciences. In 1995, Dr. Kaynak completed continuing education programs in "International Security and Terrorism" at St. Andrews University.

Dr. Kaynak taught Politics courses on international politics and security issues at various universities including Istanbul University, Air Force Academy and War College. From 2007 to 2010 she served as rector at Bahçeşehir University. During 2016- 2017 she continued her studies as visiting academic at Oxford University, St. Anthony's College. Kaynak is currently serving as the Dean of Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, at Üsküdar University, Istanbul. Kaynak is presently a senior fellow at the Centre for the Resolution of Intractable Conflict (CRIC), Harris Manchester College, Oxford. She is also a fellow of World Academy of Art and Science.

Focusing her most recent work on the examination of security issues from the perspective of political psychology and non-violent methods for resolving conflicts, Kaynak is a founding member/board member of the International Dialogue Initiative (IDI), an international and interdisciplinary work group founded to this end. In 2013 she was assigned as a member of Wiseman Committee for Turkish-Kurdish Peace Process by the government. She is still the dean of the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences and the director of the Political Psychology Center of Uskudar University while running projects on international security, immigration and its psychopolitical side effects over native communities.

Kaynak is a founding member of the Turkish Businesswomen Association (TIKAD), supporting projects geared towards the empowerment of women in Türkiye and worldwide. She is currently serving as a consultant/mentor on the 'Women on Executive Boards' project and a founding member of the Women in Technology Association (Wtech).

She has published or edited 14 books and numerous articles both within Türkiye and internationally. Among her books are "Actors of the Globalization Scenario", "Far East Asia in the Shadow of China", "The Map of the Future", "Theories of International Relations", "From the End of History to the End of Peace: Terrorism", "Seeing the Big Picture", "The Wall", "In the Shadow of Our Traumas", "The Psychopolitics of Pandemics"; "From Trauma to Victory: The psychopolitical construction of Turkish National Identity"

Sabir Askeroğlu PhD.

He completed his undergraduate education in the Department of International Relations at Ankara University, Faculty of Political Sciences. He completed his master's degree in the Department of International Relations at the same university. He received his doctorate from the Department of Political Science and International Relations at Istanbul University. He worked in various think tanks. Askeroğlu's research areas are Russian foreign policy and Eurasia. Askeroğlu is the author of the book Russia's Strategy to Become a Great Power.

Akif Bahadır Kaynak PhD. Altınbaş University, Türkiye

Kaynak was born in 1973 in Ankara. He received his undergraduate degree from Boğaziçi University Economics Department. After 13 years of service in different organizations in private sector he completed his PhD degree at Istanbul University International Relations program in 2012. The same year he started an academic career at Altınbaş University International Relations Department where he continues to work since then.

Kaynak gives lectures on international political economy, political history, Latin American politics. He also has a specially designed course on the history of Istanbul that enmeshes political developments with city's past.

Kaynak's research areas are energy politics and international political economy. He has also co-authored several book chapters on political psychology

Erol Başaran Bural Col (ret), Research Center for Defense Against Terrorism and Radicalization, Turkiye

Erol Başaran Bural was born in 1970. He graduated from Military Academy in 1992. He served for the Turkish Army for 24 years. He received his master's degrees from Gazi University Graduate School of

xvi ContrIbutors

Natural and Applied Science, Environmental and Technical Research of Accidents in 2001 and Security Sciences Faculty, International Security and Terrorism in 2021. Bural's primary research interests focus on terrorism, counter-terrorism, intelligence and psychological operations. In addition to his academic work, he is currently directing a think tank named, Research Center for Defense Against Terrorism and Radicalization Association –TERAM. Mr. Bural has published one book, numerous book chapters, academic articles, columns, analyses, opinion pieces and reports, mainly on the terrorism, counter-terrorism, intelligence and psychological operations."

Merve Önenli Güven PhD., National Intelligence Academy, Türkiye

Merve Önenli Güven, is an assistant professor at the National Intelligence Academy in Türkiye. She got her bachelor's degree from the International Relations department of Bilkent University. She undertook her master's degree from Conflict Analysis and Resolution department of Sabanci University with her thesis "Mirror Images of Terrorist Incidents in the News: News Coverage and Problem-Solving Attitudes Towards Conflicts". She obtained her PhD from the department of Political Science and Public Administration at the Middle East Technical University with her thesis "Construction and Re-Construction of Cultural Codes through Political Violence: The Case of Kurdish Nationalism". She has 20 years work experience, including in government, think-tanks and academia. Her research areas include political sociology and psychology with the analysis of the practice of politics on social life through cultural dimensions with conflict analysis and management, radicalization, de-radicalization, terrorism, countering terrorism and intelligence studies. On her research areas she has published book, book chapters, articles, and presentations at international and national platforms with the projects and field studies that she has carried out.

Fatma Anıl Öztop PhD, Kocaeli University, Türkiye

Fatma Anıl Öztop obtained her degree from the Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Department of International Relations at Sakarya University. Subsequently, she undertook postgraduate studies in the history of the Republic of Türkiye at the University of Fırat, where she completed her master's degree with a thesis examining Turkish-British Relations (1939-1945). In the same year, she commenced doctoral studies in International Relations at Sakarya University She was awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in 2016 for her doctoral thesis, entitled

"The Effects of Decision-Making Units on the Functioning of the Foreign Policy Making Process: The Case of Turkish Foreign Policy".

Öztop commenced her professional career as a specialist in the Department of International Relations at Fırat University in 2011. Subsequently, she was an Assistant Professor in the International Relations Department at Kocaeli University between 2016-2022. Since 2022, she has held the position of Associate Professor in the International Relations Department at Kocaeli University.

Bora İyiat Lect. Amasya University, Türkiye

Bora İyiat was born in Ankara in 1975. He started his professional career at the Department of Economic Relations and Research of the Aegean Region Chamber of Industry as a trainee expert while studying at Dokuz Eylül University Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, and later worked as a manager and consultant in many important institutions and organisations. During this period, İyiat conducted important research on terrorist organisations, the Middle East, Psychological Warfare and Intelligence, gave briefings, lectures and presented studies to important state institutions and security bureaucracy. From 2009 to 2017, İyiat worked in the media field with the title of TV Programmes Coordinator for a private production company. He has been working on programmes such as 'In the Footsteps of Sultans', 'Devlet-i Aliyye' and 'Diplomatic Fault Lines', where crises in foreign policy are explained, in different styles and concepts. He worked as a concept consultant and screenwriter in more than a dozen current and documentary programmes. Bora İYİAT, who has 15 published books, graduated from Anadolu University International Relations and Yıldırım Beyazıt University Oriental Studies Master's degree, is currently working as a lecturer at Amasya University and is the deputy director of the strategic-social research centre of the same university

Begüm Çardak PhD. Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy, Türkiye

Begüm Çardak was born in İstanbul in 1986.Begüm Çardak is a lecturer at the radicalisation desk of the Centre for the Study of Terrorism. She holds a BA in Sociology from Hacettepe University and a minor in History from Hacettepe University. She also holds a bachelor's degree in public administration from Anadolu University. He completed his master's degree in Crime Studies at the Institute of Defence Sciences of the Turkish Military Academy. She received his PhD degree from Yıldırım Beyazıd

xviii ContrIbutors

University in the International Security and Terrorism programme. Her research areas include radicalization, terrorism, countering terrorism. On her research areas she has published books, book chapters, articles, and presentations at international and national platforms with the projects and field studies that she has carried out.

Introduction

SERHAT ERKMEN & BURAK GÜNEŞ

The process of writing a book on the Kurdistan Workers' Party (Partiya Karkerên Kurdistanê / PKK) is not always as straightforward as one might assume. This is due to the fact that the PKK represents a significant phenomenon not only within Türkiye but also in the wider region, with ramifications that extend beyond the region's borders. The organisation in question cannot be considered a mere terrorist organisation; it has also been acting like an organised crime organisation for many years. The organisation, which is engaged in a multitude of illicit activities, seeks to establish a presence in a diverse array of domains, including drug trafficking. The PKK has not only retained its original designation but has also established new entities under different nomenclature in disparate jurisdictions. While it operates in Syria and Iraq under designations such as People's Defense Units (Yekînevên Parastina Gel / YPG) and Democratic Union Party (Partiva Yekîtiya Demokrat / PYD), it exists in Iran as Kurdistan Free Life Party (Partiya Jiyana Azad a Kurdistanê / PJAK). This circumstance illustrates that PKK terrorism possesses a dimension that merits particular attention.

At its inception in Türkiye, the PKK was characterised by an identity aligned with the Cold War era. Accordingly, the organisation was structured along Marxist-Leninist lines at its inception. This approach has evolved over time, taking on different forms. In the first instance, the PKK established itself on the basis of Kurdish ethnicity, and all of its subsequent policies were shaped in accordance with this identity. The organisation, which initially claimed to adhere to Marxist-Leninist principles, subsequently espoused racist ideologies as it pursued policies based on identity politics. This racist line of thinking gave rise to an ethnically homogeneous vision, including the notion that a state exclusively for Kurds should be established. The objective of creating a nation that is as homogeneous as possible, based on ethnic identity, led to an increase and expansion of terrorist activities by the organisation. However, the organisation was not averse to perpetrating a range of criminal activities; including the use of weapons, drug trafficking and

xx IntroductIon

human trafficking, among others. In this sense, the organisation has come to be regarded as an organised crime entity in addition to its status as a terrorist organisation. Following over four decades of terrorist activities, the organisation has attained the capability to undertake operations in regional states beyond Türkiye, bolstered by the international support it has amassed.

In particular, the organisation, which served as a front structure for the major powers in the international system, was utilised as a valuable instrument for advancing the interests of these powers at the regional level. In particular, in the context of the fight against the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL), the organisation, with the public support it received from some states, was able to present itself as an innocent and freedom-fighting entity. The organisation has utilised its media outlets, including magazines, newspapers and television channels, to disseminate a range of propaganda activities that it believes will advance its interests. The objective of this book is to provide an overview of the PKK, an organisation with intricate structures, and to ascertain its identity across all its dimensions. The book is comprised of 19 chapters in total. An expert in the relevant field authors each chapter.

Serhat Erkmen and Hatice Varol's chapter concentrates on the structure of the PKK in general. This chapter addresses the organisational structure of the organisation in Iraq, Türkiye, Syria, and Iran, its activities, and the support it receives in carrying out these activities.

In their chapter, Bahadır Kaynak and Deniz Ülke Kaynak employ a psychosocial perspective to analyse the PKK phenomenon, the reflexes of Turkish society against PKK terrorism and the re-emerging traumas.

In contrast, Arman Sert employs a historical narrative approach to evaluate the processes undergone by the organisation. The objective of his study is to examine the continuity and transformation of the PKK from a historical vantage point, with a particular emphasis on pivotal occurrences and discourses, rather than on analysing them in isolation through the lens of cause-and-effect relationships.

In her chapter, Merve Önenli Güven presents a discussion of the formation, development and activist processes of the organisation in the context of radicalisation processes. In her chapter, the processes by which radicalisation with violence in the PKK terrorist organisation is established

are investigated through the identification of the indoctrination methods employed by the PKK terrorist organisation.

In his chapter, Muhittin Imil analyses the relationship between the PKK's religious and Marxist identities, examining the differences and similarities between them. In this way, he aims to identify the extent to which ideological influences have shaped Kurdish society.

Selim Kurt employs the theory of learning organisations to analyse the PKK. The objective of his chapter was to analyse the transformation in the PKK's actions within the framework of the theory of learning organisations, with the drone example serving as a case in point.

Similarly, Gökhan İbrahim Öğünç employs the learning organisation approach to analyse the similarities between the PKK and ISIS. The PKK has primarily adopted tactics and techniques from ISIS at the tactical and technical levels. The following chapter presents a detailed analysis of these processes.

In his chapter, Bora İyiat provides an analysis of the propaganda techniques employed by the PKK since 1984. Such techniques are employed by terrorist organisations with the objective of achieving the highest level of visibility with the least effort. This chapter presents a discussion of the aforementioned techniques.

Additionally, Erol Başaran Bural directs attention towards the propaganda activities of the PKK. In this regard, he analyses the utilisation of social media. The objective of this chapter is to examine the propaganda activities of those who support the PKK terrorist organisation through the lens of social media.

In this study, Fatma Anil Öztop employs a feminist lens to examine the phenomenon of female terrorists within the PKK. This study addresses the question of whether PKK women are viewed as sexual objects or passive victims manipulated by men. It does so by examining motivations, roles and reasons for joining the organisation, with the aim of contributing to the existing literature on terrorism.

Begüm Çardak is also implicated in the issue of child abuse within the PKK. The abuse in question is consistent with the definition of "child soldier" as presented in the relevant literature. This framework allows for a detailed analysis of the problematic ways in which the PKK exploits and uses children.

xxii IntroductIon

Necmettin Çelik's analysis is primarily concerned with the economic aspects of the issue. He provides a comprehensive analysis of the mounting direct and indirect financial costs of the 40-year-long PKK terrorist activities on the Turkish economy, as well as the significant loss of life that has resulted.

In his study, Yasin Yıldız examines the relationship between the PKK and other leftist organisations. The primary question he addresses is whether there is continuity or a rupture in the relationship with these organisations.

Additionally, Alper Güneş provides an analysis of the PKK's youth organisation. The PKK is engaged in efforts to establish a presence on university campuses and within civil society in Türkiye. Such activities are conducted in these locations by the organisation's youth wing. Alper Güneş raises questions about the operational principles of this organisation.

Emre Çıtak, on the other hand, aims to examine the activities of the PKK in Syria. The PKK is not a structure that is confined to Türkiye. Furthermore, it operates in various states under different names. The activities conducted in Syria under the designation of PYD/YPG constitute the focal point of Emre Çıtak's analysis.

Similarly, Mehmet Abuşoğlu aims to investigate the Iranian organisation of the PKK, with a particular focus on the security implications for Türkiye-Iran diplomatic relations. This chapter will examine the activities and objectives of the Iranian branch of the PKK, known as PJAK.

Özdemir Akbal, in contrast, intends to address the matter from the standpoint of "grant theory." The chapter thus seeks to answer the following question: do states with a significant capacity to act at the international political level attempt to maintain the status quo through the use of terrorist organisations?

In this article, Sabir Askeroğlu examines the relationship between the PKK and Russia. In adopting a historical narrative, the author discusses the relationship between Russia's active presence in the Middle East and the PKK.

In conclusion, Özgür Uğurdan analyses the role and position of the PKK within the context of the US "grant strategy". To achieve this, the author makes use of the theoretical space provided by the disciplines of geography and strategy.

This book has been prepared with the objective of addressing a significant gap in the existing literature on the subject. It is our hope that this work will prove a valuable contribution to the academic literature on the subject, and that it will be well received by the reading public.

Serhat A. Erkmen & Burak Güneş

CHAPTER I

DESCRIBING THE PKK: THE ANATOMY OF A TERRORIST ORGANISATION IN TERMS OF ORGANISATION, IDEOLOGY AND LEADERSHIP

SERHAT A. ERKMEN* & HATICE VAROL DAĞDELEN**

Introduction

One of the most common topics of discussion in terrorism studies has been the definition of terrorism. Starting from terrorism as a strategy and terrorism as an action, the debate on what terrorism is has occasionally extended to discussions on who is a terrorist and who is a terrorist organisation. For this reason, subjective assessments have been made about many organisations in the world or grey areas have emerged about the nature of organisations. However, there is an indisputable consensus on the nature of some terrorist organisations. Along with organisations such as the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL), Al Qaeda, Shining Path, Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam, Aum Shinrikyo, it can be said that there is a general acceptance worldwide that the PKK (The Kurdistan Workers' Party / Partiya Karkerên Kurdistanê) is a terrorist organisation. Today, in addition to international organisations such as NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization), UN (United Nations) and EU (European Union), many states, including the USA (United States of America), the UK, EU countries, Australia and New Zealand, recognise the PKK as a terrorist organisation.

^{*} Prof. Dr., Independent Researcher, ORCID: 0000-0002-0470-5257

^{**} PhD Candidate at National Defence University, International Security and Terrorism, ORCID: 0000-0002-3668-3048

2 Chapter I

When the literature on terrorism studies in the world is analysed, it would not be wrong to say that the number of comprehensive studies on the PKK, which was founded nearly 50 years ago, is less than its counterparts. Of course, there are some important books and articles that examine different aspects of the organisation. However, examining the comparison of an organisation that has been in existence for such a long time with its counterparts in the world from different perspectives would also contribute to terrorism studies. Such an endeavour would require many long and detailed articles and studies. On the other hand, the first step needed before making comprehensive comparisons of a large number of different dimensions is to understand how the PKK has been structured since its establishment. This will make it easier to understand where the organisation has come from and where it is going. The main purpose of this study is to evaluate the stages the PKK has gone through since its establishment from a holistic perspective.

Of course, a holistic perspective sometimes has to include generalisations and evaluations that are far from detail. The most important dilemma of this study in terms of its scope and limits is that it has to include generalisations that are far from detailed case assessments. However, as long as it does not lead to oversimplification and does not contain a lack of information that would change the essence of the phenomenon, including generalisations in social science texts is one of the basic methods of drawing a framework. In this framework, the study will not use methods such as discursive analysis of primary sources, statistical analyses based on international databases on the activities of the organisation, content analysis of the organisation's publications or the statements of its leaders. The methodological approach of the study is simpler. In this study, the structure of the PKK, its development processes, internal dynamics, its relations with the region, and how it responds to the transformation in the world will be analysed from a historical perspective. In this way, it is aimed to produce a benefit beyond the interests of those who are only interested in learning about the PKK. Because studying the PKK is not only instructive for academics in Turkey, which is the main area of activity of the organisation. The PKK has similar characteristics with terrorist organisations in many countries around the world.

A holistic and historical examination of the PKK would create a unique opportunity not only for those analysing the organisation, but also for scholars producing general information on terrorism research. The PKK has been in existence long enough to have seen some of Rapaport's famous "four waves", and its ideology, organisation and adaptation to regional

conditions in a changing world provide a useful basis for many terrorism researchers to understand the behaviour of terrorist organisations. For this reason, the study will examine the phases of the PKK from its establishment to the present day in terms of the concepts of continuity, change and adaptation, and will attempt to provide the reader with a panoramic photograph of the organisation.

Defining the PKK

In the terrorism literature, many classifications have been developed about terrorist organisations. These classifications include different categories according to their basic strategic objectives, ideological background, modes of action, forms of organisation and life spans. Going into the theoretical background of all these classifications goes beyond the scope and limitations of this study. For this reason, classifications based on previous studies will be taken as a basis.

Attempting a general definition of the PKK, the most comprehensive generalisation can be made as follows: "The PKK is a classical terrorist organisation with a long-life span, which has constantly differentiated its strategic objectives and ideological background over its long life span, yet has not changed radically since its establishment in terms of its leadership cadre, organisational form and modes of action." When we unpack the elements of this definition, which contains many sub-assumptions and assertions, we can also reveal the main characteristics of the PKK.

The first element of the above definition deals with the lifespan of the organisation. Looking at the studies on the lifespan of organisations in terrorism studies, the PKK can be described as a long-lasting terrorist organisation. Rapoport argues that 90% of the terrorist organisations established in the 1970s, which he describes as the third wave of terrorism, disappeared within the first year after their establishment, while about half of the organisations that survived the first year disappeared within 10 years (Rapoport, 2004). Another more recent study on the subject evaluated more organisations with more data. Taking into account the lifespan of 586 organisations, the study revealed that 26% of terrorist organisations disappeared in their first year, while 68% of them lived less than 10 years. Accordingly, only 6% of all terrorist organisations can survive for more than 10 years. In addition, the average life expectancy of organisations that exceeded one year was found to be 13 years (Gaibulloev and Sandler, 2013). Another study examining the average life expectancy of organisations reveals that the average life expectancy of terrorist

4 Chapter I

organisations that manage to survive in their first year is approximately 3.5 years (Vittori, 2009). Finally, according to another study that classifies the life span of terrorist organisations as short, medium and long-lived, the life span of short-lived organisations is less than 5 years, the life span of medium-lived organisations is 5-15 years, and the life span of long-lived organisations is more than 15 years (Harmon, 2010).

When the above classifications are taken into consideration, it is seen that the PKK is one of the terrorist organisations that has been in existence for a long time in all categories, regardless of the perspective from which it is viewed. Although many studies consider the PKK's first terrorist acts in 1984 as the beginning of the organisation, this is not a correct approach. The PKK was founded in 1978. However, the founding cadre, some of whom are still in the top leadership of the organisation today, decided to develop the organisation in order to make it capable of taking action. The organisation, which had major deficiencies in terms of organisation, the number of militants, financial resources, armed training and ideological issues at the time of its establishment, entered a 5-6 year preparation phase in order to be able to operate on a systematic level. Therefore, it would be correct to say that the organisation has been in existence for 46 years as of the writing of this study. This makes the PKK one of the longest-standing terrorist organisations in modern history, along with the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de Colombia-FARC), the Basque Homeland and Liberty (Euskadi Ta Askatasuna - ETA) and the Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam (LTTE). Therefore, it can be easily argued that it is an organisation with a "long life span", which is the first element of the above definition.

At this point, we can move on to the second element of the definition. The second element of the definition underlines two main dimensions of organisational change and transformation: Differentiation of strategic goals and ideological background.

The years when the PKK was founded were the years when the Cold War was in full swing. During this period, the rivalry between the US and the USSR was in full swing in Turkey, where the PKK was founded. Even before the establishment of the PKK, other organisations similar to the PKK in terms of discourse and ideology were established in Turkey, but they could not survive for a long time. Moreover, at the time of its establishment, the PKK emerged out of a split within some factions of radical left-wing terrorist organisations in Turkey. Names such as Haki Karer and Kemal Pir, who were among the founding cadre of the

organisation, were members of the leading radical leftist organisations of the period, such as the THKP-C and THKO, before they took part in the establishment of the PKK. Names such as Abdullah Öcalan, Duran Kalkan and Cemil Bayık, some of whom are still among the top leadership cadres of the PKK, came together within the framework of the Ankara Democratic Higher Education Association, a student association founded by the Socialist Workers' Party of Turkey in the 1970s. Therefore, breaking away from radical left-wing terrorist organisations has been one of the determining elements of the PKK's strategic goals and ideological character. At the time of its establishment, the PKK, like its counterparts in Latin America, emerged as a revolutionary left-wing separatist ethnic nationalist movement, blending national liberation movement and socialist ideology.

The PKK's ideological background, starting from the founding text "The Path of Revolution in Kurdistan - Manifesto", is basically based on the goal of achieving socialism. Abdullah Öcalan, the founding leader of the organisation, argues that he has contributed to "scientific socialist theory". claiming that he has brought a new interpretation and contribution to socialism like Abemiel Guzman, the leader of the Shining Path in Peru. It is possible to find similarities of Guzman's strategic approach and ideological explanations based on anti-imperialism, colonialism and violence to counter them (Starn, 1995) based on Leninist and Maoist revolutionism in Öcalan's books written in the 1970s and 80s (Öcalan, 1982; Öcalan, 1987; Öcalan, n.y.). In addition to the aforementioned book, many texts, especially "The Role of Force in Kurdistan" written by Öcalan inspired by F. Engels, show parallels with the books of similar terrorist organisations of the period. Again, the themes covered in the text titled "Kurdistan National Liberation Problem and Solution Path", in which the ideology and goals of the organisation are discussed, are not very different from those in Latin America and Southeast Asia in the same period. Therefore, from the years of its foundation until the mid-1990s, the PKK had a "separatist-national liberationist ideology and strategic goal of socialist revolution". For this reason, it did not only aim to establish a state by separating from the Republic of Turkey, but also, within the framework of its claim of "struggle against imperialism and colonialism", it put forward the strategic goal of uniting the Kurdish areas in Iraq, Iran and Syria under the roof of a single state by breaking away from these states.

The end of the Cold War and the collapse of the USSR led to a change in the PKK's ideological background and strategic objectives. During the Cold War, the PKK was ideologically close to the USSR and received 6 Chapter I

direct organisational support since its establishment (Balcı, 2017). The collapse of the USSR and the loss of the ideological appeal of socialism were reflected in the ideological character of the PKK in the mid-1990s. At its 5th Congress in 1995, the organisation shifted from a Soviet-type definition of anti-imperialism to a criticism of the "new world order". Of course, the end of the bipolar order was not the only reason for this. The changing domestic political atmosphere in Turkey in the 1990s led the PKK to reorganise its political discourse (Criss, 1995). In addition, the PKK's settlement in the mountainous area in northern Iraq since the early 1990s led the organisation to take its relations with regional states to another level (Olson, 1996). The PKK developed a much closer relationship with Iran and Syria in particular, and the support it received from Turkey's neighbours, including Greece, increased significantly during this period (Sezer, 1999). However, the PKK, which was a focus of tension between Iran and Syria on the one hand and Turkey on the other, was also able to turn into an element of co-operation due to the fluctuating regional conditions. Having lost the support, it received from the USSR, the PKK's goal of finding a new strong external support for itself was not fully realised, and the support it received from Iran and Syria became dependent on the relations of these two countries with Turkey. In the end, Turkey's pressure on Syria vielded results and A. Öcalan was forced to leave Syria. which marked the beginning of a new era for the PKK. Öcalan was captured a few months after he was forced to leave Syria and the PKK was forced to reconsider its objectives.

The most obvious reason for the PKK's ideological differentiation and the parallel transformation in its strategic objectives after 1999 is undoubtedly the capture of the organisation's leader. However, it is not correct to say that this is the only reason. Signs of transformation started to emerge at the 7th Congress, the first congress held by the PKK after Öcalan's capture. The organisation has transformed its Marxist line, which it had been carrying since the 1970s, into a libertarian socialist framework, and transformed its ideology into an eclectic "quest for democracy" claim that combines the old ideological orientation with the concepts fashionable in the social sciences of the time. In the early 2000s, inspired by Murray Bookchin's concepts, Öcalan's process of redefining the PKK's ideology (Leezenberg, 2018) brought about a partial change in the organisation's structure and a radical change in the definition of its goals. This ideological transformation led to a shift from a separatist ethnic nationalist strategy that envisaged the disintegration of multiple countries and the unification of these parts under the roof of a new state to a strategy aiming to transform the structure of the state in which it is located. Thus, "a