

Intonational Meaning in Cameroon English Discourse

Intonational Meaning
in Cameroon English Discourse:
A Sociolinguistic Perspective

By

Yves Talla Sando Ouafeu

**CAMBRIDGE
SCHOLARS**

P U B L I S H I N G

Intonational Meaning in Cameroon English Discourse: A Sociolinguistic Perspective,
by Yves Talla Sando Ouafeu

This book first published 2010

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

12 Back Chapman Street, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2XX, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2010 by Yves Talla Sando Ouafeu

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN (10): 1-4438-1929-8, ISBN (13): 978-1-4438-1929-9

*To the memory of my late beloved mother, Thérèse Tchomgoui,
and to Ange Harold Talla Sando*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	x
List of Figures.....	xiii
List of Tables.....	xv
Tonetic and Intonational Symbols	xviii
Chapter One.....	1
Introduction	
Chapter Two	5
Intonational Models and Approaches to Intonation	
2.1 The British tradition of intonational analysis	
2.2 The American tradition of intonational analysis	
2.3 The Autosegmental-Metrical framework	
2.4 The Discourse Intonation framework	
2.5 Converging and diverging areas between Pierrehumbert & Hirschberg's (1990) description of the discursal meaning of tones and Discourse Intonation (DI)	
2.6 New and given information	
2.7 Paratone	
2.8 Listing intonation	
2.9 Intonation of compound and complex sentences	
2.10 Intonation of non-sentence adverbials	
2.11 Conclusion	
Chapter Three	58
Intonational Variation	
3.1 Intonational variation with reference to educational attainment	
3.2 Intonational variation with gender	
3.3 Intonational variation and speaking style	
3.4 Conclusion	

Chapter Four	79
Cameroon English Intonation	
4.1 Cameroon English	
4.2 Previous statements on the intonation of other NEs: similarities with and dissimilarities to CamE intonation	
4.3 Conclusion	
Chapter Five	93
The Study, Research Questions and Methodology	
5.1 Research questions	
5.2 Method	
5.3 Data collection	
5.4 Data analysis	
5.5 Conclusion	
Chapter Six	110
New and Given Information in Cameroon English	
6.1 Results of the analyses of the contrast new/given information in the Conversational Style (CS)	
6.2 Results of the analyses of the new/given information contrast in the Passage Reading Style (PRS)	
6.3 Comparison of the findings of the Conversational Style and of the Passage Reading Style	
6.4 Conclusion	
Chapter Seven.....	132
Tone in Cameroon English	
7.1 Conversational Style	
7.2 Passage Reading Style	
7.3 Comparison of the results of the Conversational Style and of the Passage Reading Style	
7.4 Conclusion	
Chapter Eight.....	153
Paratone in Cameroon English	
8.1 Overall results of minor paratone	
8.2 Minor paratone in CamE and level of education	
8.3 Minor paratone in CamE and gender	
8.4 Conclusion	

Chapter Nine.....	167
Discussion	
9.1 New and given information in CamE	
9.2 Tone in CamE intonation	
9.3 Paratone in CamE intonation	
9.4 Overall sociolinguistic variation of intonation in CamE	
9.5 Suggestions for further research and implications of the study	
References	179
Abbreviations and Acronyms Used.....	196
Appendix 1A	198
Sample Sets of Guide Questions for Fieldwork (Conversations of Interviews)	
Appendix 1B.....	200
Transcripts of Two Sample Conversations between Fieldworker and Two Speakers	
Appendix 2	204
Reading Passage	
Appendix 3	205
Intonational Transcriptions of Samples of the Reading Passage	
Appendix 4	207
Auditory Analysis of New and Given Information in the Conversational Style	
Appendix 5	215
Auditory Analysis of New and Given Information in the Passage Reading Style	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The thesis from which this book developed was written under the direction of Frau. Prof. Dr. Ulrike Gut, my supervisor, to whom I am much obliged for her unstinting support and her indefatigability in looking into the infinitesimal details of the study. Day in, day out, she monitored this study, knocked it into shape and I thank her abundantly for her meticulous guidance, her invaluable comments and illuminating suggestions which enormously contributed to giving the study a sense of direction and improving immeasurably on its quality. My avid interest in instrumental phonetics and my insight into intonational theories are a clear result of a new spirit she inspired me with. She also munificently provided me with the Phonetics Laboratory of the University of Freiburg without which I would have been hard put to it to analyse the data for this study. In short, she has been of inestimable help to me and I remain deeply indebted to her for all.

I am particularly appreciative of my other supervisor's, Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. Christian Mair, goodwill and supportiveness. He gave up most of his precious minutes to lend an ear to my many requests. I will never thank him enough for all he did to see that this work is fruitfully brought to completion.

I am very thankful to the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD 'Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst') for generously granting me a scholarship which enabled me to carry out research in the 'Englisches Seminar' of the University of Freiburg for the purpose of completing this work. In point of fact, I will never be grateful enough to the DAAD scholarship Board for the financial assistance without which I would certainly have been hard pressed to complete this thesis.

The author also wishes to thank the editors of the book *Intentions in Communication*, namely Philip R. Cohen, Jerry Morgan and Martha E. Pollack as well as the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Press for permission to reproduce a copyrighted material, namely a figure from Pierrehumbert and Hirschberg's paper "The meaning of intonational contours in the interpretation of discourse", pp. 271-311. The figure is "Anne spoken with seven pitch ranges" found on page 279.

During the research exercise, I also benefited from support from several other people, be it morally or academically.

I register my sincere appreciation to Paul Boersma of the Institute of Phonetic Sciences of the University of Amsterdam, one of the designers of the PRAAT software, who I did not meet physically, but whose numerous illuminating piles of correspondence with me via email helped me get acquainted with the rather at-first-daunting equipment of the Phonetics Laboratory of Freiburg. In fact, I must acknowledge that I somewhat literally besieged him with my many questions on various aspects of the PRAAT software to which he always diligently replied. I thank him again personally for his sense of generosity and altruism.

I am deeply indebted to Professor Robert Ladd of the University of Edinburgh for useful inputs and comments on sound files.

I thank in a very special way the participants in the various tasks of data collection who, despite their diverse duties, graciously accepted to converse with me for between 5 and 8 minutes. I must truly and somewhat contritely acknowledge that I ruthlessly took advantage of them for my own sake. I am in their debt for ever for providing the raw and most essential material for this study.

My father, Claude Sando and his wife, Lydienne Sando, are also thanked for the decent moral education they provided me with. My father in particular will never be thanked enough for moulding me into what I am today.

Special thanks go to Evguenia Slavianova, Reinhard Ocker and Thomas Franz in charge of computer maintenance in the Phonetics Laboratory of the University of Freiburg for always readily helping me solve technically-related problems.

Numberless other people, including family members and friends are not left out and are also blessed for their constant moral backing: my grand mum, Pauline Lago, Marlyse Dieuguie Fomenou, Mama Pauline Lago, Dr. Dagmar Deuber, Louis Bernard and Sylvie Nantcha, Oliver Jaff, Dr Iman Makeba Leversuch, Fabienne and Klaus Neuner, Hermine Mafo, Uncle Samuel Motchelaho and Auntie Elisabeth Motchelaho, Felicité Djomou, Finya Banbe, Dr Balbina Ebong, Ousmanou Moussa, Papa Claude Sando, Pius Akembo, Clarisse and Joel Defeu, Reverend Gabriel Menguele, Eric Nfor, Eroyine Ndam, Monique Nwos, Regine Kuisseu and William Payne Tizi. I say 'thank you' again to all of them for their unwavering moral support.

Last but not least, I will never find the most felicitous words to express my gratitude to the Supreme Being for allowing the process to run like clockwork.

Even after being at pains to eradicate all possible misprints and misspellings from this work, it would be an overstatement to claim that it

is flawless. While acknowledging the academic assistance of most of the above-cited people, I therefore remain solely responsible for the inadequacies and errors which obstinately remain.

—Yves Talla Sando Ouafeu
Canada, 15th January 2010

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2-1: The pitch accents, phrase accents and boundary tones
- Figure 2-2: 'Anne' produced with seven pitch ranges (Source: Pierrehumbert & Hirschberg, 1990:279)
- Figure 2-3: Structure of the tone unit after Brazil
- Figure 2-4: Tone choices within the DI framework (Based on Brazil, 1997:11)
- Figure 2-5: Selection (Based on Brazil, 1997:22)
- Figure 2-6: Simultaneous selection of prominence, key and termination (Based on Brazil, 1997:65)
- Figure 2-7: Speaker-hearer's mutual belief space (After Brazil, 1997:70)
- Figure 2-8: Simultaneous selection of sense and social dimension
- Figure 2-9: A major paratone (Source: Yule, 1980:40f)
- Figure 2-10: Major paratone with anaphoric expression (source: Yule, 1980: 40f)
- Figure 2-11: A paratone (Based on Tench, 1996a:24)
- Figure 2-12: Phonological transcription of a paratone (Adapted from Tench, 1996a:24)
- Figure 3-1: The accent continuum (Based on Honey, 1998:96)
- Figure 3-2: Post-Creole continuum (After Platt et al, 1984:8)
- Figure 5-1: Illustration of acoustic measurement of new/given information
- Figure 5-2a: Illustration of measurement procedures of minor paratones (First minor paratone)
- Figure 5-2b: Illustration of measurement procedures of minor paratones (Second minor paratone)
- Figure 5-2c: Illustration of measurement procedures of minor paratones (Third minor paratone)
- Figure 6-1: Fo and intensity traces of the phrase 'twelve teachers' uttered by a female speaker
- Figure 6-2: Pitch height and intensity coincidence on new and given information in the CS
- Figure 6-3: Cross-level comparison of pitch height and intensity coincidence on new and given information in the CS
- Figure 6-4: Cross-gender comparison of pitch height and intensity coincidence on new and given information in the CS
- Figure 6-5: Pitch height and intensity coincidence on new and given information in the PRS

Figure 6-6: Cross-level comparison of pitch height and intensity coincidence on new and given information in the PRS

Figure 6-7: Cross-gender comparison of pitch height and intensity coincidence on new and given information in the PRS

Figure 6-8: Cross-style comparison of pitch height and intensity coincidence on new and given information

Figure 7-1: Fo trace of a complex sentence (if-sentence) uttered by a male secondary level participant

Figure 7-2: Fo of the phrase ‘namely, Winter, Summer, Spring and Autumn’ spoken by a female secondary level participant

Figure 7-3: Fo trace of the sentence: ‘the rainy season usually starts from March and ends in October and witnesses the planting of crops’ spoken by a female

Figure 7-4: Fo trace of a sentence containing non-sentence adverbials spoken with rising tone in male secondary level data

Figure 7-5: Cross-style comparison of tone distribution on items in lists of teachers/subjects and seasons

Figure 7-6: Cross-style comparison of tone distribution on conjoined sentences

Figure 7-7: Cross-style comparison of tone distribution on non-sentence adverbials in sentence initial position

Figure 8-1a: Minor paratone within a major paratone (First minor paratone)

Figure 8-1b: Minor paratone within a major paratone (Second minor paratone)

Figure 8-1c: Minor paratone within a major paratone (Third minor paratone)

Figure 8-2a: Onset of first minor paratone within a major paratone

Figure 8-2b: Onset of second minor paratone within a major paratone

Figure 8-2c: Onset of third minor paratone within a major paratone

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 2-1: Correspondences between Pierrehumbert 1980 and British-style nuclear tones
- Table 2-2: Values of beginning and end of major tone groups 13 to 17 (Based on Mindt, 2001:194)
- Table 3-1: Continuum of English in Africa (Source: Angogo and Hancock, 1980: 69)
- Table 3-2: Prosodic composition of genres (Adapted from Tench, 1996:28)
- Table 5-1: Distribution of participants interviewed in formal contexts by education and gender
- Table 5-2: Distribution of participants by gender and education for the passage reading task
- Table 5-3: Questions put to participants to elicit new and given information and sample responses
- Table 5-4: Sample data involving compound and complex sentences and non-sentence adverbials
- Table 5-5: Sentence types analysed for tone in the PRS
- Table 6-1: Results of auditory analysis of new/given information in the CS
- Table 6-2: Average pitch height and intensity differences between new and given information for unclear cases in the CS
- Table 6-3: Pitch height on and average pitch height difference between new and given information in the CS
- Table 6-4: Intensity on and average intensity difference between new and given information in the CS
- Table 6-5: Cross-level comparison of average pitch height difference between new and given information in the CS
- Table 6-6: Cross-level comparison of the average intensity difference between new and given information in the CS
- Table 6-7: Cross-gender comparison of average pitch height difference between new and given information in the CS
- Table 6-8: Cross-gender comparison of the average of intensity difference between new and given information in the CS
- Table 6-9: Overall results of the auditory analysis of new/given information contrast in the PRS
- Table 6-10: Average pitch height difference between new and given information in the PRS

- Table 6-11: Average intensity difference between new and given information in the PRS
- Table 6-12: Cross-level comparison of the average pitch height difference between new and given information in the PRS
- Table 6-13: Cross-level comparison of the average intensity difference between new and given information in the PRS
- Table 6-14: Cross-gender comparison of the average pitch height difference between new and given information in the PRS
- Table 6-15: Cross-gender comparison of the average intensity difference between new and given information in the PRS
- Table 6-16: Cross-style comparison of average pitch height difference between new and given information
- Table 6-17: Cross-style comparison of average intensity difference between new and given information
- Table 7-1: Overall tone distribution on items in list of teachers/subjects in the CS
- Table 7-2: Overall tone distribution on compound and complex sentences and non-sentence adverbials in CS
- Table 7-3: Cross-level comparison of tone distribution on items in list of teachers or subjects in CS
- Table 7-4: Cross-level comparison of tone distribution on compound and complex sentences as well as on non-sentence adverbials in CS
- Table 7-5: Cross-gender comparison of tone distribution on items in list of teachers or subjects in CS
- Table 7-6: Cross-gender comparison of tone distribution on compound and complex sentences as well as on non-sentence adverbials in CS
- Table 7-7: Overall distribution of tone tokens on items in list of seasons, compound sentences and non-sentence adverbials in the PRS
- Table 7-8: Cross-level comparison of tone distribution on items in list of seasons in PRS
- Table 7-9: Cross-level comparison of tone distribution on compound sentences in PRS
- Table 7-10: Cross-level comparison of tone distribution on non-sentence adverbials in PRS
- Table 7-11: Cross-gender comparison of tone distribution on items in list of seasons in the PRS
- Table 7-12: Cross-gender comparison of tone distribution on compound sentences in PRS
- Table 7-13: Cross-gender comparison of tone distribution on non-sentence adverbials in PRS
- Table 8-1: Average pitch ranges in STs of minor paratones in overall data

Table 8-2: Average pitch height differences of onsets of minor paratones in overall data

Table 8-3: Cross-level comparison of results of average pitch range measurements of three minor paratones

Table 8-4: Cross-level comparison of average pitch height differences of onsets of minor paratones

Table 8-5: Cross-gender comparison of results of average pitch range measurements of three minor paratones

Table 8-6: Cross-gender comparison of average pitch height differences of onsets of minor paratones

TONETIC AND INTONATIONAL SYMBOLS

H*: High Pitch Accent

L*: Low Pitch Accent

H*H-L%: High Level

H*!H-L%: Level Slump Level

H*L L%: Falling Tone

H*H H%: High Rising Tone

H*!H-L%: Slump

L*H H%: Low Rising Tone

L*+HH-L%: Rise Level

L*+H!H-L%: Rise Slump level


L*+H!H-L%: Rise Level Slump


L*+H!H-L%: Rise Slump


L*+HL-H%: Rise Fall Rise


L*+HL-L%: Rise Fall Level


L*L-L%: Low Level

 : Rising Tone

 : Falling Tone

 : Falling-Rising Tone

 : Rising-Falling Tone

 : Level Tone

|| : Tone Unit Boundary or Intonational Unit Boundary

| : Intermediate Phrase Boundary

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

Intonation has been demonstrated to be one of the linguistic components which set the native speaker of a language like English apart from the non-native speaker or the learner of that language (Jilka, 1999, 2000). This clearly suggests that each non-native variety of English displays its intonation systems which make it somewhat *sui generis* and different from other varieties of English. A lot of research has been conducted on prosodic or intonational variation in both native varieties of English (e.g. Brend, 1972; Pellowe and Jones, 1978; Fletcher and Harrington, 2001) and non-native English varieties (e.g. Gut, 2003; Udofot, 2000, 2003) and has pictured the extent to which prosodic or intonational features vary with respect to independent social variables like education, age, gender, ethnic origin, social class as well as linguistic variables like speaking style. The present study, like those ones, draws on quantitative sociolinguistics or the Labovian sociolinguistic approach also referred to in the literature as secular linguistics (cf. Trudgill, 2003) to account for intonational variation in Cameroon English (hereinafter CamE).

The purpose of the study is to characterise some features of intonational meaning, namely, tone (Brazil, 1997) and paratone (Crystal, 1969; Brown, 1977; Yule, 1980; Brown and Yule, 1983; Couper-Kuhlen, 1983, 1986; Tench, 1996) as well as the intonational marking of new and given information in CamE and to assess the degree to which they vary in respect of extra-linguistic variables like educational attainment and gender and of the linguistic variable speaking style. Put differently, the study intends to categorise CamE speakers with reference to their use of the two above-mentioned intonational ‘morphemes’ (Wennerstrom, 1994, 1998), tone and paratone, and of the intonational marking of new and given information in discourse. Just as many sociolinguistic surveys on segmental phonology have examined the interface or interrelatedness between quantifiable linguistic variables like the famous post-vocalic /r/ (e.g. Labov, 1966; Trudgill, 1972, 1974) and independent social variables like social class, age and gender, the present study is quantitative in nature. The two intonational features are considered in this study as quantifiable

intonational variables and it will be examined to what extent they, together with the intonational marking of new and given information, vary with respect to the two social variables mentioned above, educational attainment and gender, and with regard to speaking style. Trudgill (1974:47) argues for example that prosodic features such as intonation or paralinguistic features like voice quality serve to signal telling class differences. This statement may be valid only when applied to Western societies where the phenomenon of discrete social class is strongly marked, a suspicion confirmed in a statement by Jibril (1986:70) who reports that the existence and crystallization of social classes assumed by Labov's sociolinguistic model have not yet developed in African societies.

The fundamental premise underpinning this study is that there exist patterns of co-variation between features of CamE intonation and the two above-mentioned social variables, education and gender and the linguistic variable speaking style. Similar patterns of co-variation have been reported in studies on native English and have led linguists to postulate such linguistic theories as the tendency by female speakers to be more prestige-conscious or to use less stigmatised and non-standard variants than their male counterparts, the tendency by less educated speakers to use linguistic features which carry social stigma in comparison with highly educated speakers who use more formal and less stigmatised linguistic features or again the general inclination by younger speakers in speech communities to use more stigmatised language features (e.g. Labov, 1966; Trudgill, 1972; Yule, 1996; Macaulay, 1997) than adult or older speakers. In short, these studies have arrived at the conclusions that highly educated people speak differently from less educated ones, that females speak differently from males or that younger speakers speak differently from older speakers. Note however that the primary aim of examining the co-variation between the intonational features mentioned above and the social and linguistic variables is not to find out whether one group of CamE speakers subsumed under a given variable is more prestige-conscious than, or outstrips another group. Rather, the purpose is to ascertain whether these groups differ at all in their use of the two intonational features and of the intonational marking of new and given information in discourse. The question of prestige-consciousness lies therefore outside the scope of the present study. One question that emerges from all these theories based on native English is to what extent they apply to non-native varieties of English as well, of which CamE is one. The study also seeks to answer this question and it may not be surprising to observe that some, if not all, of these theories do not apply to CamE or apply differently.

This study, unlike previous ones on CamE intonation, adopts a new methodological approach. The intonational features mentioned earlier and the intonational marking of new and given information are analysed within two descriptive frameworks, viz., the Discourse Intonation (Brazil, 1975, 1978, 1997) and Auto-Segmental Metrical (hereafter AM) (Pierrehumbert, 1980; Ladd, 1996) frameworks. More concretely, these intonational aspects are examined ‘within context’ and not ‘out of context’ (Brown, 1977) like in previous studies on CamE (e.g. Bafuh, 1988; Talla Sando Ouafeu, 1999) to find out, first, how CamE speakers use them in real contexts of interaction and, secondly, whether they vary with reference to the two independent social variables, education and gender, and the linguistic variable under study, namely speaking style.

With respect to its significance, the present study, interestingly, offers new insights into the way variationists look at language variation. The study intends to show, with Eckert (1989: 264), that generalisations about linguistic phenomena are “best deferred until more communities have been examined”. In other words, variationists could learn from the present study that if for example female speakers in Western societies differ in their speech from male speakers, those in non-native English-speaking communities may not necessarily behave likewise. The study will also be of interest to teachers of English as a Second Language (ESL) as well as teachers of English as a Foreign Language in making them aware of the need to design new pedagogical materials to make it possible for their students to “cross the final hurdle which the vast majority of speakers of ESL never manage to cross” (Jowitt 2000:63, quoting Banjo 1976). Lastly, the study will be a contribution to the evidence that CamE intonation has its specificities that make it different from other native varieties of English and other New Englishes (NEs).

This study resolves into nine chapters. The first is the introduction which states the purpose, the scope and the significance of the study. It also presents the outline of the study. The second chapter discusses intonational models relevant to the present study as well as the theoretical frameworks within which the data for the study are analysed. The concept of ‘paratone’ and the notions of new and given information are equally taken up in this chapter. Besides, studies on the intonation of lists, of compound and complex sentences as well as of non-sentence adverbials are critically reviewed. The third chapter is concerned with the concept of intonational variation and the factors that influence it. At this level, theoretical considerations and empirical surveys on such patterns of co-variation of intonation and extra-linguistic and linguistic variables as intonational variation and educational attainment, intonational variation

and gender and intonational variation and speaking style are critically reviewed. Note that these three patterns of co-variation of intonation and social as well as linguistic variables are those relevant to the present study. The fourth chapter concentrates on CamE and other NEs. The linguistic situation of Cameroon is presented and previous studies on CamE intonation are reviewed to point out their limitations and to highlight the originality of the present study. Studies on the intonation of other NEs are also reviewed to show their similarities with or dissimilarities to those done on CamE intonation. The fifth chapter takes up issues related to the methodology used in gathering the raw data for this study as well as that used in the treatment or analysis of these data. Two main methods of data analysis are described, namely, the auditory and acoustic or instrumental analyses. Chapter Six presents the findings obtained from the auditory and acoustic analyses of the new/given information contrast in CamE and describes the co-variation between these two concepts and the extra-linguistic variables educational attainment and gender as well as the linguistic variable speaking style. The seventh chapter summarises the results of the analyses of the intonational feature tone and discusses the co-variation between this feature and the two social variables under study as well as the variable speaking style. The eighth chapter deals with the findings from the analyses of the feature paratone and also presents the findings from the co-variation between the results and the two social variables under investigation, namely, educational attainment and gender. Chapter Nine is devoted to the discussion of the findings. The results of the analyses are discussed and possible explanations suggested to explain or account for some recurrent patterns. Suggestions for further research on the intonation of CamE are also put forward in this last chapter and the implications of the study are highlighted.

CHAPTER TWO

INTONATIONAL MODELS AND APPROACHES TO INTONATION

This chapter critically discusses intonational models and theories with special focus on their relevance to the present study and examines two intonational approaches in particular which will serve as analytic frameworks for the study. These two approaches are Discourse Intonation (hereinafter DI) based on Brazil (1975, 1978, 1997) and the AM approach built on influential works in the late 1970s and early 1980s by Liberman (1975), Bruce (1977) and Pierrhumbert (1980). In addition, the concepts of paratone (e.g. Brown, 1977; Yule, 1980; Couper-Kuhlen, 1983, 1986; Wennerstrom, 2001), of new and given information are reviewed. There are ultimately critical statements on previous studies on the intonation of compound and complex sentences, of non-sentence adverbials as well as on listing intonation.

2.1 The British tradition of intonational analysis

The approach which characterises the British tradition of intonational analysis is referred to in the literature as the contour approach in comparison to the phonemic or level approach which typifies the American tradition. This contour approach, which has enjoyed wide currency among British intonationists over the years, is broken down into two sub-groups, namely, the tune analysis or whole tune approach which goes back to Jones (1909, 1918) and Armstrong and Ward (1926) and the tonetic analysis, also termed the nuclear approach, which started with Palmer (1922). The former emphasises the overall shape of the tune while the latter focuses on local changes. Put differently, the tonetic approach differs from the tune approach in that the basic unit in the former, known as the tone group, is further subdivided in two, namely, the head and the nucleus whereas in the tune approach the whole tone group makes up a single tune.

O'Connor and Arnold (1973), whose classic survey of the intonation of colloquial British English somewhat epitomises the account of the intonation of this variety of English, fall within the tonetic approach to intonational analysis. In their characterisation of the intonation patterns of colloquial British English for example, they put forth three major premises as the key underpinnings of their analysis. They argue that intonation is significant, systematic and characteristic. As for the significance of intonation, they hold that utterances which differ with respect to their intonation also differ in meaning. For example, the same sentence may be spoken with a “downright, or reserved, or a questioning tone of voice” (p. 1). By systematic, they mean that there exists a limited number of pitch patterns in any one language and these pitch patterns are used to produce definite meaningful effects. By “intonation is characteristic”, lastly, they imply that the pitch patterns and tune inventory of English are by no means the same as those of other languages. For instance, the pitch patterns and tune inventory of French and German are not at all the same as those of English. A clear failing in this last premise lies in the fact that O'Connor and Arnold (1973) seem to refer exclusively to cross-linguistic differences in tune inventory, thereby ignoring to a large degree that cross-dialectal differences in tune inventory may as well be huge as evidenced by recent numerous cross-dialectal surveys on English intonation (Grabe, 2000; Fletcher et al, 2001). It would not be astonishing for example to find that the tune inventory in CamE, which can be considered a dialect of English spoken in a non-native setting, proves significantly different from that of Standard British English (SBE). Additionally, O'Connor and Arnold state that the pitch patterns of a given language cannot be applied to a different language, otherwise it would sound wrong. Many English speakers can be identified as having a French, an Italian or an Arab background just as many African English speakers can be recognised from their various backgrounds influenced by African indigenous languages. Note however that the question of whether CamE intonation is affected by Cameroonian local languages falls outside the scope of the present study.

With respect to the functions of intonation, O'Connor and Arnold (1973) maintain that one of its main functions is the division of longer utterances into relevant word groups while another is the use of varying tunes and different pitch patterns for grammatical purposes. ‘Word group’ here refers to a unit which has a nucleus and optional elements like pre-head and tail. The following example adduced by the authors

- (1) You may have beans| or cabbage||

may mean ‘there are beans and cabbage and nothing else’ or that ‘beans’ and ‘cabbage’ are just examples of available items, depending on whether the items are said on a rising-falling or rising-rising tune. One question which arises here is what pitch patterns will CamE speakers use for example to differentiate incomplete information from complete one.

Furthermore, O’Connor and Arnold (1973) argue that accent plays a crucial role in the meaning associated with a word group, variously called breath group (Lieberman, 1967), sense group (originally used by Klinghardt, 1920:32 and termed ‘intonatorischer Sinntakt’; Armstrong and Ward, 1926; Kingdon, 1958), phonemic group, tone group, tone unit (Halliday, 1967), intonation group or intonation chunk (Halliday, 1967). To O’Connor and Arnold, some words are more important than others in a tone group and this, they explain, is contingent on or determined by the context or situation in which the word group is said. As an illustration, they propose that if an utterance like ‘It was an unusually dark night’ (p. 6) were uttered as the beginning of a story told on the radio, the last three words would all be particularly important. Conversely, if the same sentence were uttered in response to a question like ‘What sort of night was it?’, the word ‘night’ in the reply would eventually lose “some of its force because the questioner is already in possession of the information that it might otherwise have given him”. This aspect of their approach is particularly relevant to the present study inasmuch as one of the main purposes of this study is to ascertain to what extent CamE speakers make a distinction between new and given information in the discourse structure. It is questionable whether CamE speakers will make use of acoustic signals to differentiate information that is already present in the discourse from that which is newly introduced in the discourse structure. This idea of deaccenting given information in the discourse structure is also central to the DI and the AM theories and will become clearer subsequently (Brazil, 1975, 1978, 1997; Pierrehumbert, 1980; Pierrehumbert and Hirschberg, 1990).

As for tunes, O’Connor and Arnold list, among others, the Low Fall, the High Fall, the Rise-Fall, the Low Rise, the High Rise, the Fall-Rise and the Mid-Level (pp. 8f) following Kingdon’s (1958) distinction between kinetic and static tones. Kingdon (1958) considers the first six of the above-listed tones as being kinetic by virtue of their involving a pitch movement either from low to high or from high to low and the mid-level as a static tone for it does not involve any change in pitch direction. It should be emphasised here again that this tune inventory in British English for example is not necessarily the same obtained in non-native varieties of English which have been impressionistically characterised as having very

limited tone inventories (Kouega, 1991 for CamE; Jowitt, 2000 for Nigerian English (NgE) for example). Reviewing previous studies on the tone inventory in the British tradition, Gut (2000:4) notes that there are simple nuclei which include falls, rises and level terminal pitch contour as well as complex nuclei like fall-rises, rise-falls and rise-fall-rises, the last three having been discussed as scarce or absent in non-native varieties of English (cf. Jowitt, 2000). Basically, O'Connor and Arnold (1973) make a distinction between a phonetic and a phonological level of analysis for intonation. The tunes, characterised according to a phonetic system, are grouped into phonological classes with respect to their functions. These classes are called tone groups which they define as “a grouping of tunes all conveying the same attitude on the part of the speaker” (p. 39). The major drawback to O'Connor and Arnold's (1973) approach to intonation and to the British tradition of intonational analysis in general is the sole reliance on auditory impressions which somewhat call into question the validity of some conclusions arrived at. O'Connor and Arnold's account of the distinction between high rise and low rise, to take an example, cannot be particularly attractive on the sole basis of auditory evidence. One of the merits of their model, however, lies in the fact that they underscore the ‘characteristic’ nature of intonation as well as the role of the context of interaction in the interpretation of intonational choices made by speakers and it follows from their remark that the tune inventory in British English may not necessarily coincide with that of CamE which is a non-native variety of English. A good approach to intonational analysis is one that can integrate auditory impressions with acoustic evidence.

2.2 The American tradition of intonational analysis

The American tradition of intonational analysis differs from the British approach outlined above. Within the American tradition, two further models can be distinguished, viz., Bolinger's pitch accent theory and the phonemic or levels approach. This further sub-division within the American tradition is based on the fact that Bolinger's approach bears striking similarities to the British approach.

Bolinger (1951) postulates for instance that pitch configurations are more important than pitch-level, thereby departing radically from the phonemic approach. Central to his pitch accent theory is the idea that prominence plays a vital role in the characterisation of intonation contours. He defines prominence as being “a rapid and relatively wide departure from a smooth undulating contour” (Bolinger, 1958). His proposal is that pitch or pitch prominence is the main cue to stress and that when used

alone, the term ‘stress’ should be construed as word stress and not sentence stress. Bolinger (1958:112) makes a distinction between three kinds of pitch accents for American English labelled A, B and C. In his later works (1986, 1989), he refers to his three types of pitch accents as Profile A, Profile B and Profile C respectively. He notes that “...shapes determined by how the pitch jump cueing the accent is realized, will be referred to as the profile of the accent” (Bolinger, 1986:139). Bolinger’s Profile A, which was originally Accent A, is “an intonational configuration whose (main) distinguishing feature is an abrupt fall in or from the syllable that is made to stand out by the fall” (Bolinger, 1989:3). Profile B, like Accent B, is “marked by a jump up to the syllable that is made to stand out by the jump, with any following unaccented syllables continuing with a gradual rise but often staying level or even falling slightly” (Bolinger, 1989:3). Lastly, Profile C, like Accent C, is “marked by down *to* rather than down *from*” (Bolinger, 1989:4). Bolinger’s Profile A is comparable to the British falling tone while his Profile B is similar to the British rising tone. The main difference between Bolinger’s approach and the British is that the theory propounded by the former considers stress directly interwoven with pitch. The pertinence of his approach is his emphasis on pitch accent which is also central to the AM approach and which is of particular relevance to the present study.

The phonemic approach, developed after the structural linguists’ phonemic theories of segmentals, analyses intonation in terms of four pitch levels (1 – 4) and three terminal junctures. Terminal junctures refer to the pitch direction or movement on the last syllable of an intonation group, namely, the falling, the rising and the level. One of the exponents of the phonemic approach is Pike (1945) whose theory is characterised by the use of pitch heights or pitch phonemes as the basic elements for describing intonation contours, the use of a set of functions belonging to speaker’s attitude and the acknowledgement of systems like stress, quantity, tempo, rhythm and voice quality which all influence intonation. Pike’s (1945) four levels include 1 = extra high, 2 = high, 3 = mid and 4 = low. The main disadvantage of this approach is that there are too many levels and these levels are defined relatively and not absolutely. This is one of the reasons why the AM approach described below reduces the four phonemic levels to two, and thereby solves to a considerable degree the past long-drawn-out levels versus configurations conflict.

2.3 The Autosegmental-Metrical framework

2.3.1 Basic tenets of the AM approach


The late 1970s witnessed the development of a phonological approach to intonation, based essentially on PhD theses by Liberman (1975), Bruce (1977) and Pierrehumbert (1980). This theory, called the Autosegmental-Metrical theory (AM), bases intonational analysis on tunes defined by Pierrehumbert (1980:2)

as structured strings of L (ow) and H (igh) tones generated by a finite-state grammar. [...]. The strings consist of one or more pitch accents, which are aligned with stressed syllables on the basis of the metrical pattern of the text, plus two additional tones which characterise the intonation of the end of the phrase.

Four basis tenets of this approach are elaborated on by Ladd (1996:42f) who builds essentially on works by Bruce (1977) and Pierrehumbert (1980) as follows:

- Linearity of tonal structure: he expatiates on this by arguing that tone structure is linear and is made up of a string of local events associated with certain points in the segmental string. He points to the phonologically unspecified nature of the pitch contour between such events which he maintains can be described in terms of transitions from one event to the next. In other words, the AM framework represents pitch contours phonologically as sequences of discrete intonational events. In English for example, pitch accents and edge tones are the most important events of the tonal string and the former are associated with prominent syllables in the segmental string while the latter are associated with the edges of prosodic domains of various sizes. Incidentally, Gussenhoven (2004:1) defines pitch as “the auditory sensation of tonal height”. In the notes to a chapter of his book, Ladd (1996:285f) defines edge tone as “a general term referring to any tone associated with the periphery of a prosodic domain”. This, he adds, covers Pierrehumbert’s (1980) phrase tone and boundary tone. These two concepts will be further expanded upon in subsequent discussion. Ladd (1996:44) proposes the following example to substantiate the linearity of tone structure:

(2) A: I hear Sue’s taking a course to become a driving instructor.

B: A  driving instructor?

He states that, disregarding the attitude conveyed by this response, the pitch contour is seen as being made up of a sequence of at least two discrete events, namely, an accent consisting of a rise through a prominent syllable (driv-) followed by a fall, and an edge tone consisting of a rise during the last few tenths of a second syllable. The low level stretch on the syllable '–ing instruct'–, he holds, is a transition between the two events. Pierrehumbert (1980) calls this transition 'sagging transition'.

- Distinction between pitch accent and stress: Ladd argues that pitch accents are different from stress in that they serve as “concrete perceptual cues to stress or prominence”. Note that the term ‘pitch accent’ was first proposed by Bolinger (1958) and was reintroduced by Pierrehumbert (1980). ‘Accent’ in the phrase ‘pitch accent’ is viewed primarily in Bolinger’s (1958) and Vanderlice and Ladefoged’s (1972) terms and is defined by Ladd (1980:17) as “[...] a binary feature [...] manifested by pitch obtrusion, i.e., deviation from a relatively constant pitch line”. Bolinger viewed stress as an abstract lexical property of individual syllables, while pitch accent is prominence in an utterance. Ladd (1996:45f) suggests that a pitch accent may be defined as “a local feature of a pitch contour – usually but not invariably a pitch change, and often involving a local maximum or minimum” which points to the fact that the syllable with which it is associated is prominent in the utterance. He further emphasises that stress in the AM framework can be glossed as acoustic salience, that is, it is a complex of attributes that can be associated with greater force of articulation. This tenet is particularly relevant to the present study in that one of the purposes of the work is to determine to what degree CamE speakers associate higher prominence with new information than given one in the discourse structure.

- Local sources for global trends: about this, Ladd (1996) recalls that since Pike (1945), it has been recognised that Fo or the frequency contour tends to decline over the course of phrases and utterances. This declination, he argues, has been hypothesised by Pierrehumbert (1990) as a result of downstep, that is the “stepwise lowering of pitch at specific pitch accents” (p. 74). Note that Pierrehumbert and Hirschberg (1990) view this step-wise lowering of pitch as being located at specific accents rather than as spanning the complete intonational phrase.

- Analysis of pitch accents in terms of level tone: Ladd (1996) claims that within the AM framework pitch accents and edge tones in intonational languages can be analysed as being made up of primitive level tones or pitch targets, High (H) and Low (L). Bruce (1977:131-143) makes some initial suggestions as regards the phonetic realisation of the H and L tones. His suggestion is that the phonetics of intonation might be represented

ideally in terms of four Fo levels, numbered from 1 (lowest) to 4 (highest). In this connection, he writes:

Fo- level 1 is considered to be the base level and is the true representative of the LOW pitch level [i.e. L tone]. The Fo movements can roughly be described as positive deviations... from this base level... In certain contexts, the LOW pitch level will also be specified as Fo-level 2 (and occasionally as Fo-level 3). The HIGH pitch level [i.e. H tone] can be specified as Fo-level 2, 3 or 4, depending on the context. This means that Fo-level 2 can represent both a HIGH and a LOW pitch level, which may seem paradoxical. But the pitch levels HIGH and LOW are to be conceived of as relative and contextually specified for each case as a particular Fo-level. (1977:137)

Figure 2-1 lists various types of accents and boundary tones of English with their notational symbols:

Pitch accents

L [*]	a low pitch accent
H [*]	a high pitch accent
H + L [*]	
H [*] + L	a high plus low (falling) pitch accent
L + H [*]	
L [*] + H	a low plus high (rising) pitch accent
!H [*]	a high pitch accent following another pitch accent and slightly lower than this (downstepped pitch accent)

Phrase accents

L-	a low phrase accent
H-	a high phrase accent
!H-	a downstepped phrase accent

Boundary tones

L%	a low boundary tone
H%	a high boundary tone

Figure 2-1: The pitch accents, phrase accents and boundary tones

(Adapted from Beckman & Pierrehumbert, 1986)

Ladd (1996:82) draws correspondences between Pierrehumbert's (1980) and the British-style nuclear tones as captured in Table 2-1: