

Apocryphal and Literary Influences on Galway Diasporic History

Apocryphal and Literary Influences
on Galway Diasporic History

By

Gay Lynch

**CAMBRIDGE
SCHOLARS**

P U B L I S H I N G

Apocryphal and Literary Influences on Galway Diasporic History,
by Gay Lynch

This book first published 2010

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

12 Back Chapman Street, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2XX, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2010 by Gay Lynch

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN (10): 1-4438-2560-3, ISBN (13): 978-1-4438-2560-3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| Summary | ix |
| Explanatory Notes | xi |
| Epigraph | xv |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Chapter One..... | 11 |
| The Magistrate of Galway | |
| Introduction | |
| Section A: Hidden Stories, Religious Manipulation | |
| Section B: Economic Manipulation | |
| Section C: Historical Unreliability | |
| Section D: Archetypal Stories | |
| Section E: Speculation, New Hypothesis | |
| Section F: Enduring Common Elements | |
| Section G: Literary Transformations | |
| <i>The Warden of Galway</i> by Reverend Edward Groves (1831) | |
| <i>Unsettled</i> | |
| Conclusion | |
| Chapter Two | 23 |
| Edward Geoghegan and <i>The Hibernian Father</i> (1844) | |
| Introduction | |
| Section A: Edward Geoghegan, Apocryphal Figure | |
| Section B: Edward Geoghegan, Found | |
| Section C: Edward Geoghegan, Creative Writer | |
| Section D: Edward Geoghegan, Copyist/Plagiarist | |
| Section E: Hidden Stories | |
| Section F: Enduring Common Elements | |
| Section G: Irish Archetypal Sons | |
| Section H: Historical Unreliability | |
| Section I: Writing Against the Canon, Melodrama | |
| Section J: Literary Transformations: <i>Unsettled</i> | |

| | |
|--|----|
| Section K: Speculation | |
| Section L: Economic and Political Manipulation | |
| Conclusion | |
| Chapter Three | 47 |
| Apocryphal Stories Generated by the Wreck of the <i>Admella</i> (1859) | |
| Introduction | |
| Section A: A Diasporic Apocryphal Story—South-east Irish (1847–59) | |
| Historical Settler-Lynches on the South-east Frontier | |
| An Irish Reading of the Wreck of the <i>Admella</i> | |
| Section B: A Postcolonial Apocryphal Story: <i>Booandik</i> Nation | |
| Historical Verification | |
| Writing ‘Other’, Against the Canon as Metatext— <i>Unsettled</i> | |
| Section C: Archetypal Exiled Sons, Apocryphal Story: Adam Lindsay Gordon | |
| Section D: Lynch Family Apocryphal Story: Martin Lynch and the <i>Admella</i> | |
| Literary Transformation: <i>Unsettled</i> | |
| Conclusion | |
| Chapter Four | 75 |
| Apocryphal Stories in Historical Fiction: Kate Grenville’s <i>The Secret River</i> (2005) and <i>Searching for the Secret River</i> (2006) | |
| Introduction | |
| Part I: Apocryphal Story 1 - Solomon Wiseman, Murderer | |
| Section A: Hidden Story with Enduring Elements | |
| Section B: Acceptance in Popular Memory | |
| Section C: Archetypal Elements | |
| Section D: Refuses Historical Verification | |
| Section E: Speculation | |
| Section F: Literary Transformation | |
| Part II: Apocryphal Story 2 - An Alternative History of the Hawkesbury River | |
| Section A: Writing against the Canon | |
| Section B: Hidden Story | |
| Section C: Speculation | |
| Section D: Enduring Common Elements, Archetypal Drama | |
| Section E: Acceptance in Popular Memory | |
| Section F: Refuses Historical Verification | |
| Section G: Political Manipulation | |
| Section H: Literary Transformation | |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Section I: Establishment in Australia's National Collective Memory | |
| Section J: Economic Manipulation | |
| Conclusion | |
| Chapter Five | 93 |
| Architextuality, Genre, the Australian Fiction Tradition | |
| Introduction | |
| Section A: Literary Fiction | |
| Section B: Popular Historical Fiction | |
| Treatment of Sex and Gender | |
| Language Style | |
| Racial Discourse | |
| Section C: Historical Fiction | |
| Historical Verification | |
| Enduring Common Elements in Fiction | |
| Speculation and Collective Memory | |
| Political Manipulation | |
| Conclusion..... | 115 |
| Appendix A | 121 |
| Attributed Works of Edward Geoghegan | |
| Appendix B..... | 125 |
| Dramatis Personae, <i>The Hibernian Father</i> (1844) | |
| Appendix C..... | 127 |
| <i>Booandik</i> Custodian, Ken Jones | |
| Appendix D | 131 |
| <i>Booandik</i> Language | |
| Appendix E..... | 133 |
| Gaelic Language | |
| Appendix F | 137 |
| Acknowledgements, Lynch Family, Oral Family Anecdotes | |

Appendix G 143

Historian and Archivist

 Pam O’Connor, South East Historian

 Janette Pelosi, Archivist

 Peter Kuch, Irish Studies

Notes..... 147

Works Cited..... 201

 Primary Documents

 Reference

 Secondary Documents

 Maps and Signs

Index 231

SUMMARY

This manuscript documents previously unpublished primary material, including apocryphal stories told by the descendents of South-east South Australian settlers, Martin and Maria Lynch, and *The Hibernian Father* (1844), a play by Irish convict, Edward Geoghegan.¹ It puts forward new hypotheses: that the Irish hero Cuchulain may have provided a template for the apocryphal story of the Magistrate of Galway; that working-class South-east Irish families were marginalised in South Australian historical records; that oral apocryphal Lynch stories may be true; and that Kate Grenville's *The Secret River* (2006) offers an alternative history of the Hawkesbury River settlement, by some definitions apocryphal.² The mystery of Geoghegan's disappearance has been solved, knowledge about his life increased, and his unpublished play *The Hibernian Father* has been explicated in my novel. While Irish and Indigenous Australian subjects have been previously depicted as victims of British colonialism, my use of metaphor to link their migrations in times of turmoil with the migrations of bats offers a new inflection.

Identified characteristics of apocryphal stories frame their analysis: irreducible and enduring elements, often, embedded in archetypal drama; lack of historical verification; establishment in collective memory; revivals after periods of dormancy; subjection to political and economic manipulation; implicit speculation; and literary transformations.

French theorist Gerard Genette's notion, advanced in *Palimpsests: Literature in the Second Degree* (1997), of all novels being transtextual, provides a model for the analysis of relationships between key apocryphal texts.³ Chapter One of this exegesis establishes The Magistrate of Galway as hypotext for all the hypertexts which follow. Chapter Two promotes *The Hibernian Father* as both hypertextual and intertextual. Not only does the play retell the story of the magistrate, but my novel quotes directly from Geoghegan's playscript. Apocryphal narratives of the wreck of the *Admella* (1859), analysed in Chapter Three, cluster around the climax of *Unsettled*, and work as metatext for narratives of dispossession and the so-called extinction of *Booandik* traditional landowners. Sections incorporating metatext can be read literally and for alternative meanings. The 2009 sesqui-centenary of the wreck makes this research timely.

Genette's work on prefaces and their paratextual functions, support Chapter Four's analysis of Kate Grenville's discarding of an apocryphal tale in *Searching for The Secret River* (2006) and her creation of an alternative history which might one day be construed as apocryphal.⁴ Discussions about architextuality and, in particular, genre, in Chapter Five, place *Unsettled* within the Australian fiction tradition, demonstrating how this new historical fiction narrative works in a discursive and dialectical way, reframing and transforming apocryphal stories. A contemporary novel, *Unsettled* aspires to literary historical fiction with a popular plot anchored by family stories.

Each chapter of this manuscript explicates the way particular apocryphal stories contribute to the fictional world that is *Unsettled* and therefore augment the collective memories of people linked with South-east South Australia: in particular, descendents of Lynch Irish settlers of 1852, Gambierton.

EXPLANATORY NOTES

For discussion about the nomenclature of Mount Gambier, see The Manning Index, Place Names, ‘Gambier, Mount,’ State Library of South Australia, <http://www.slsa.sa.gov.au/manning/> or *Mount Gambier: the City around the Cave* (1972), by Les Hill, pp. 11-21. In various nineteenth-century documents, Mount Gambier is referred to as ‘Gambierton’/ ‘Gambier-Town’/ ‘Gambier Town’/ ‘Gambier’ and ‘Mount Gambier.’ When settler Lynches arrived in 1852, the town of Gambierton and *Mount Gambier*, were discretely named, and for this reason I have used the former in my novel, until Chapter XXXVI, Coda, dated 1863. Chapter Three of this exegesis follows the lead of newspapers at the time of the *Admella* wreck in using ‘Mount Gambier,’ although the name was not recognised until an Act of the South Australian Parliament, dated 29 November 1861.

‘South-east’ or ‘South-eastern District’ refers to the nineteenth-century region of South East South Australia in deference to this usage in *Geological Observations of South Australia: Principally in the District South-East of Adelaide* by Father Tenison Woods.⁵ Margaret Allen, writer Catherine Martin’s biographer, also uses ‘South-east’ when writing about this location during this period, thus suggesting it as correct nomenclature.⁶ In contemporary applications ‘South East’ is used. The term ‘southeast’ denotes geographical direction or is used in direct quotation from other texts.

Similarly, I have used Woods’s spelling for local flora including ‘banksia’, ‘grass-tree’, ‘honeysuckle’, ‘shea oak’ [sheoak], ‘stringy bark’ and ‘tea-tree’ assuming it to be common usage at the time of the primary setting of *Unsettled*. He also occasionally substitutes ‘mimosa’ for ‘wattle’. Variations occur in the spelling of Mount Schank (modern usage). In the novel I have used Woods’s spelling: ‘Mount Schanck’.

Booandik and Irish words have been conventionally italicised as languages other than English. I am aware that some contemporary orthographers and the *Encyclopedia of Aboriginal Australia* (2006) render *Booandik* as *Buandig*.⁷ Norman Tindale’s *Aboriginal Tribes of Australia* (1974) catalogues twenty-four names but prefers *Bunganditj* for these people at the time of European contact.⁸ This may have been phonetic. In

using *Booandik*, I have followed the lead of missionary Christina Smith, who recorded their language at the time of my novel's setting.

Disavowing glossaries of words, footnotes, and pedagogic intrusions into the novel, I hope that the reader, swept along by the narrative, will understand *Booandik* word choices by their repetition or by context. Occasionally, reiterations of English equivalents, after the use of an Irish or *Booandik* word, offer further support.

Settler accounts variously refer to *Booandik* people as 'natives', 'black-fellows', 'blacks' and 'Blacks'. In colloquial contexts, in the novel, I have used 'old people' or 'Blacks'. In the exegesis, the word 'Indigenous' is used in most cases, with courteous initial upper case because it has become conventional, apart from in direct quotes and nineteenth-century contexts, where 'Aboriginal', 'Aborigines', 'black-fellows', 'Blacks/ blacks' or 'natives' would be more likely to be used.

While some variation can be seen between *Connaught* and *Connought*, I have abided by the former, unless in direct quotation. To distinguish between the numerous Lynches and their stories I have commonly referred to the historical Lynch family as 'settler-Lynches', or 'real-life Lynches' and to their fictional counterparts by name, with regular references to their relationship to Rosanna, the novel's protagonist. The Magistrate of Galway Lynches have been referred to by name or as characters in the version of the apocryphal narrative being discussed. For brevity, The Magistrate of Galway apocryphal story is sometimes referred to as 'the magistrate's story' or 'the Lynch story'.

Copying Anthony Trollope's and Maria Edgeworth's use of ellipses to indicate missing letters constituting a profanity, for example, d...d attracted criticism from some twenty-first century readers who interpreted this as coy. My defence that it had been a nineteenth-century convention was dismissed. When I encountered blasphemy set out in the same way—H... M... of G...—I made the decision to remove ellipses from swearing and blasphemy. Of course, it is likely that sexually-explicit profanities were employed in 1852, particularly by working men and women enjoying the hospitality of inns, but I found no textual evidence of them. To have my characters swearing like colonial Australian bullockies would have introduced a third confusing vernacular to the narrative. Instances in which Skelly describes his brother and sister screaming at each other in the 'worst Irish' is a literary device, I hope admissible in a novel, reminding the reader of reality, rather than reflecting it.

As an unpublished manuscript *Unsettled* is not entitled to italicisation, however, I have abandoned this conventional prohibition in the interests of

simplicity, mainly, to facilitate the use of possessive apostrophes. Thus, the novel will be referred to, as *Unsettled*.

EPIGRAPH

When my father had danced his white bear backwards and forwards through a half a dozen pages, he closed the book for good an' all,—and in a kind of triumph redelivered it into *Trim*'s hand, with a nod to lay it upon the 'scrutoire where he found it. —Tristram, said he, shall be made to conjugate every word in the dictionary, Yorick, by this means, you see, is converted into a thesis or hypothesis;—every thesis and hypothesis have an offspring of propositions;—and each proposition has its own consequences and conclusions; every one of which leads the mind on again, into fresh tracks of enquiries and doubtings.

Laurence Sterne, *The Life and Opinions of Tristram Shandy* (1759-67; London: Penguin Books, 2003), ed., Melvyn New and Joan New, essay, Christopher Ricks, intro., Melvyn New, p. 370.

INTRODUCTION

Stories resonate through diasporic families, shaping conscious and unconscious beliefs about identity. As the author of *Unsettled*, an Irish-settler novel, I am interested not only in the complex psychological baggage carried by a family of Galway Lynches to frontier South-east South Australia (1852) but in the etiology of the apocryphal tales that coloured their life-journeys. Packed tightly amongst eidetic Lynch memories of landscape, home and belonging, these tales retain essential elements which have continued to convey meaning and have informed my characters.⁹ Research focuses on each tale's genesis, its motility in its historical context, its interpolation and extrapolation in key texts including mine, and its transformations. When history and story collide apocryphal narratives wither away or renew themselves, constructing and validating particular identities.

This manuscript argues in its creative praxis and historical analysis that apocryphal tales purposefully revived, as oral stories or in literary transformations, re-depict and frame collective memories. Sociologist Maurice Halbwach argues in his influential book that collective memory is a social construction informed by the temporal concerns of its stakeholders including family.¹⁰ While not the primary subject of this manuscript, collective memory acts as a receptacle for memories bound up in apocryphal stories and is therefore relevant.¹¹ Fiction offers a way of recuperating memory. Apocryphal stories and collective memory can be shaped and renewed, and subsumed by individual memories. They can be associated with nations and with groups and sites of memory connect them.

Etymologically the word apocrypha derives from a Greek word, *apokryphos*, from *apokrupto*—‘to hide away’—and ecclesiastical Latin, *apocrypha (scripta)*—‘hidden (writings)’.¹² Apocryphal stories cluster around two meanings: those which fall outside canonical stories accepted by the Judaic-Christian tradition and, therefore by extension, texts offering alternatives to canonical literature and history; and stories of doubtful authenticity. Those examined in this manuscript fall mainly into the latter group whereby ‘apocryphal’ signifies popular but contested stories that thus far have avoided historical verification. Is it because apocryphal texts have been contested that they develop surprising resilience, or despite it?

The first meaning of ‘apocrypha’ encompasses sacred and esoteric Gnostic Christian texts inspired by spiritual enlightenment. Often ranked higher than books produced by rational thought, and frequently prophetic, these works were considered literature rather than scripture.¹³ Biblical scholars classify the *Book of Judith* as an apocryphal text, a parable, and an early historical novel containing real-life historical figures and anachronisms.¹⁴ Like the apocryphal tale of the Magistrate of Galway—the subject of Chapter One and the hypotext of *Unsettled*—Judith has been employed as an exemplar of moral courage. She stands up against her country’s subjugation by a foreign power. Her story challenged the canon and yet remained outside it—absent from the Hebrew Bible. Interestingly, Halbwachs considers stories about the childhood of Jesus to be apocryphal, imaginative localisations consolidating the occupation of holy places during the Crusades.¹⁵

Critics, who nominate non-canonical narratives as alternative or apocryphal, have expanded on this interpretation of apocryphal stories to create another.¹⁶ For example, Joseph Ungo applies this meaning to describe several of William Faulkner’s novels, vigorously arguing that he [Faulkner] ‘employs the term in its etymological and biblical sense: that is to designate a subversive (thus “hidden”) narrative form that challenges and refutes traditional, commonly accepted (“canonical”) ideas about history and literature, particularly in *Intruder in the Dust* (1948) and *The Reivers* (1962)’.¹⁷ A case can be made for Kate Grenville’s attempting something like this in *The Secret River* (2006), wherein she purposely revives a hidden story of white settlement of the Hawkesbury River.¹⁸ At the time of writing, she challenged views present in national collective memory about the dispossession of traditional landowners. Only time will tell whether her book becomes a watershed for the way Australians view their history.

The same interpretation of apocryphal could also be usefully applied to *Waterland* (1983), a novel by Graeme Swift, frequently described as apocryphal, in which he interrogates the idea of history as progress. Using the fens as setting and its stubborn tides and rivers as metaphors for history, he suggests that human endeavour can be as unpredictable, forceful and regressive as tidal water. History, built on a flimsy base of family secrets, local custom and natural disasters, cycles rather than advances. He intersperses the main narrative with meta-fictional discourse about history—‘a yarn’, ‘the Grand Narrative, the filler of vacuums, the dispeller of fears of the dark’, an apocryphal tale, no less—conducted by his protagonist: a history teacher addressing his class.¹⁹ Swift’s alternative view challenges and refutes western canonical views that history is

progressive.²⁰ A case can be made for *Unsettled* as a polyphonic and alternative history of the South-east, which brings to the foreground hidden stories of dubious authenticity and, therefore, apocryphal.

Stories that fit the second meaning of apocryphal manifest themselves in every culture. This category covers unauthentic stories like Parson Weems' invented stories about George Washington, including one about him hacking down a cherry tree, and the Magistrate of Galway discussed in Chapter One. Such stories remain in collective memory and gain increasing credibility over time. Such a story in Grenville's family provided the catalyst for the writing of *The Secret River*. The dearth of historical evidence to support it does not mean that it is not true. But until substantiated, it remains apocryphal and contextual. It remains an apocryphal story because of its factual unreliability and because it shares some of the characteristics of apocryphal stories: irreducible and enduring elements, for instance, often archetypal; acceptance in collective memory; revival after periods of dormancy; political and economic manipulation; implicit speculation; and literary transformations. These characteristics frame investigations in this exegesis of key stories informing *Unsettled*.

Apocryphal stories should be distinguished from myth—the terms are often used interchangeably because of their common features. Both derive from archetypal dramas.²¹ Both transform themselves over time, particularly in literature, and both function as instructive or allegorical tools. Both suffer political, economic and religious manipulation, revive and resume after periods of apparent dormancy, and show fidelity to enduring elements which refuse reduction. But according to the literary critic Theodore Gaster, myth is more than story. Myth theory has come to incorporate ritual and it can not, therefore, be studied 'merely as a branch of literature or art'.²² Apocryphal stories do not employ ritual, and while they manifest in visual art, primarily exist in oral and written narrative.

Myth plays with parallel or archetypal worlds, and fantasy. 'There was initially no ontological gulf between the world of the gods and the world of men and women,' explains Karen Armstrong in *A Short History of Myth* (2005).²³ Thus, the feats of the god-like heroes and heroines of myth are supra-human and unbelievable. Gaster defines mythic idea as 'the concept of an intrinsic parallelism between the real and the ideal.'²⁴ Imbued with secondary meaning, myths showcase rules for living rather than factual information, explaining natural phenomena in a non-scientific way.²⁵ Myth frequently springs from de-historicised stories closely linked to place.

Apocryphal stories, however, utilise everyday tools and historical events. Their heroes and anti-heroes *are* believable—capable of great deeds, but grounded in their humanity and their temporality. The

historically unverifiable story of Lady Godiva in which a naked woman makes her stand against high taxes, without losing her modesty, is considered to be apocryphal because of its competent plot and feasible denouement. The Arthurian romances, despite some allusions to medieval magic, play out in a generalised English setting during the Middle Ages, and valorise heroic traditions. Distinctions between mythical and apocryphal stories crystallise around their relationship with history and fiction, apocryphal stories being more closely aligned with history. Recent Australian contretemps over the credibility of historical fiction make timely an investigation of apocryphal stories.

Two motivations led me to an historical-fiction project involving apocryphal stories: fascinating Lynch narrative material discovered whilst travelling in Ireland, and a desire to bequeath to my children stories about their paternal family, including its maternal line—thus balancing the oral and written family histories of my family.²⁶ Apocryphal stories feature speculation and create discourse; they have the potential for endless interpretation and thus, thriving on renovation, lend themselves to creative writing. My pleasure in conveying in a novel the boisterous camaraderie between my Lynch children went hand in hand with another wish to portray the darker side of family personalities, which I attributed partly to their experience of traumatic historical events and migration, and partly to the same atavistic Irish energy evident in apocryphal stories. Replicating the Irish history of South-east South Australia, for the most part missing from the records of the dominant settler classes, allowed me to tell half-forgotten unsubstantiated family tales, and incorporate the apocryphal tale of the Magistrate of Galway. Critical reading of 1850s histories and literatures of two villages, each about three hundred strong—Woodford, County Galway and Mt Gambier, South Australia—scaffolded my fictional recreations.

Reading the French theorist Gerard Genette's work on narratology encouraged me to think self-reflexively about how apocryphal texts and the relationships between them would change the structure of my historical novel.²⁷ How would Irish and Australian apocryphal stories get along in an 1850s frontier setting? Would some dominate and others fade away? Genette deconstructs literature at formidable macro and micro levels, seeing criticism as dialectical and closely linked with creativity: '[W]hat would theory be worth if it were not also good for *inventing practice*?'²⁸ How useful his ideas proved to be as I moved back and forth between creative and theoretical writing. How helpful to have a model when constructing a novel narrative burgeoning with intertexts, hypertexts and metatexts. Criticism from self and others fed my re-writing.

I tried to beat back the urge to be seduced by his language: *bricoleur*, for instance; I was using old stories to make something new. In so far as I was writing over writing, was my novel palimpsestuous? Genette was interested in transtextual relationships—that is the relationships between texts in literature. In *Palimpsests: Literature in the Second Degree* (1997), he discusses five types of transtextual relationships. Hypertextuality defines a relationship between a text (hypertext) and an earlier text (hypotext) ‘upon which it is grafted in a way that is not commentary’.²⁹ Genette breaks down examples of hypertextuality into forms of textual imitation—pastiche, caricature, and forgery—and transformation—parody, travesty and transposition.³⁰ Citing examples of imitation and transformation in the text of *Unsettled* is beyond the scope of this exegesis, although some examples will be mentioned.

Genette defines intertextuality as the ‘relationship of co-presence between two texts or among several...typically as the actual presence of one text within another’.³¹ Paratextuality includes all secondary signals on the threshold of the book’s actual text—titles, prefaces, book covers and exegeses like this one, and Kate Grenville’s *Searching for The Secret River*. Metatextuality, ‘often, labelled commentary’, Genette claims, ‘unites a given text with another without necessarily citing it’.³² This exegesis broadly interprets *Unsettled*’s referentiality to postcolonial sub-themes including race, class and gender, as metatext—these hidden texts being accessible only to an interested reader. Architextuality, ‘a relationship that is completely silent’, connects with title, and of ‘taxonomic nature’ concerns the book’s generic quality.³³ Locating my novel within an Australian fiction tradition calls upon this relationship.

Delineating textual relationships in *Unsettled* helped clarify my thinking about how apocryphal narratives worked within other texts. Genette offers a model—tools perhaps—that allows me to break into research that includes creative practice. There I was engaged in writing paratext, unsure about my novel’s architextual qualities—especially genre—and weighed down by a multitude of hypotexts, hypertexts and intertexts, including Irish and *Booandik* apocryphal stories. Readers of *Unsettled* need to make links between the Red Branch of Ulster Cycle, dialogue from a play by Edward Geoghegan, and new national stories set against hegemonic colonial models, in Ireland and Australia. Gerald Prince, foreword-writer for *Palimpsests*, summarises Genette’s thesis:

...any text is hypertext, grafting itself onto a hypotext, an earlier text that it imitates or transforms; any writing is rewriting; and literature is always in the second degree. Now though all texts are hypertextual, some are more hypertextual than others, more massively and explicitly palimpsestuous.³⁴

If the narrative of my novel *Unsettled* seems more palimpsestuous than some I hope that it is coherent. Genette has been criticised for his analysis of fragments, rather than the structural whole, and for his focus on narrative information and signification, but novels can be read in diverse and heuristic ways. This exegesis argues that applying Genette's analysis of fluid and overlapping transtextual relationships in fictional narratives assists an understanding of the way that apocryphal tales work within my novel.

Unsettled, fictionalises several 1850s Lynch family stories that seek to validate ordinary people and the complexity of their lives.³⁵ It relates how an Irish boundary rider's daughter, Rosanna, succumbs to the charms of an actor visiting the pastoral station where she works. He brings to her attention the story of the Magistrate of Galway transformed in Edward Geoghegan's play and wins her heart and her horse. Rosanna's brother Skelly's blood symbolises the Lynch tribe's precarious survival and the wreck of the *Admella* becomes a twist of fate. Rosanna, based on a real-life Lynch daughter whose historical records have been erased or simply never existed in the first place, has lived on the South-east South Australian frontier for seven years, engaging in archetypal battles against nature—fire and flood, shipwreck and drought—initially eating only what her family can catch and kill.³⁶ The novel speculates about why she never married or left home and whether she knew *Booandik* people.³⁷

Chapter One of this exegesis argues that oral and literary narratives of the Magistrate of Galway—my novel's hypotext—encapsulate archetypal tensions between fathers and sons which, with no historical substantiation, re-enter collective memory in various historical periods and settings. The story transforms into many hypertexts including mine. I bring another layer to an argument about the genesis of the tale, adding the narratives of Cuchulain of the Red Branch of Ulster, to the list of its possible antecedents. Genette's assertion that true hypertext precludes commentary—for then it would become a metatext—makes the application of the notion of hypertext to *Unsettled* difficult but nonetheless productive. In the novel the magistrate's story is the subject of metafictional dialogue.

The magistrate would rather kill his son than have the Lynch name and any subsequent issue impugned, the primary function of this story being the irony of male hubris that ensures lines and tribes survive yet, at the same time, places them at risk. Presented as religious parable, or folk-story manipulated for economic gain, and popularly accepted, the Magistrate of Galway only feebly resists its apocryphal framing. How much longer it can be integrated in Galway history remains to be seen. Its nineteenth-century recasting as tourist spiel retaining central elements, allowed space

for further embellishment and the nineteenth-century production of physical artefacts for the town's economic benefit.³⁸ This positioning, continued and elaborated on into the twenty-first century, overshadows its literary transformations.

Making the convicted medical student and successful Irish playwright Edward Geoghegan the subject of Chapter Two serves two purposes. Firstly, his crafting of the play *The Hibernian Father* (1844) reinscribed the apocryphal story of the magistrate in an Irish Australian and colonial consciousness. For reasons of scope I am not able to analyse Geoghegan's corpus. The play works intertextually in *Unsettled*, allowing its protagonist to act out the dark Lynch event and link family experiences with Galway history. Secondly, Geoghegan's disappearance in 1861 and, for 114 years the loss of all copies of his play, shifted his life story into apocryphal territory. Everything changed, however, with the 2008 discovery of his death certificate demanding that he be re-imagined as an historical rather than an apocryphal figure.³⁹

Chapter Three explicates apocryphal narratives connected with the wreck of the *Admella*: the invisibility of pre-1859 South-east Irish; the discovery of the wreck by Indigenous people; a heroic wild horse-riding yarn about raising the alarm; and a Lynch story handed down through several generations. Roland Barthes's *Mythologies* (1957) links signs and metaphors with ideology. His ideas can be extrapolated to include apocryphal stories. He suggests that in certain situations people willingly believe things that may not be true.⁴⁰ Such engagements spring from an instinctive understanding of narrative limitations put aside for local and collective gain: tourism, for instance, hagiography or resistance to authority. The presence of Lynch family members at the *Admella* site is speculative, prompted by an apocryphal story about Martin Lynch, the first Australian settler-Lynch. It may well be true.

Chapter Four hitches my argument to Kate Grenville, who discards an apocryphal story, inadvertently perhaps, creating another in *The Secret River*. *Searching for The Secret River* acts as preface to her novel. If its cultural context is university postgraduate, it is difficult to discern academic traces, now that it has been edited for trade publication. Her novel narrative plays out in dangerous territory at a particular time—during the 'Australian History Wars'.⁴¹ The frontier, where events often occur without witness or record, lends itself to apocryphal stories growing with each retelling, or being lost; it remains a contested site demanding historicity. *Searching for The Secret River* explicates the two meanings of apocryphal as potentially unauthentic, and as alternative history. Genette's work on paratexts allowed me to consider *The Secret River*'s cultural

context in relation to common criticisms of historical fiction writing.⁴² Literary historical fiction increasingly places itself in the line of fire by attracting reviews and the investment of public money—government grants and prizes, for instance.⁴³ Did Grenville intend to disrupt the complacency of historians, in creating an alternative history of white settlement at Wiseman's Landing? As a consequence will her novel damage the credibility of her family's apocryphal tale?

Chapter Five interrogates the architextuality of *Unsettled* in relation to genre. Where does the novel fit within the Australian fiction tradition? The twenty-first-century collapsing of boundaries between commercial literary genres weighs against trade publishers' push for brand signifiers and makes defining fiction by genre challenging. *Unsettled* borrows from conventions and narrative strategies typical of literary fiction, popular fiction and historical fiction. Its preoccupation with apocryphal stories should not make any of these labels chafe. While its style leans towards literary historical fiction, the plot, apart from its denouement, is akin to that of popular historical romance. Genette's view that 'the text itself is not supposed to know, and consequently not meant to declare, its generic quality... one might even say that determining the generic status of the text is not the business of the text but that of the reader, or the critic, or the public', cautions me against consigning *Unsettled* to one genre or another; indeed, it may be cross-genre.⁴⁴ Whether Rosanna's historical prototype can now be separated from her relationship with *Booandik* land and people or from the apocryphal story of the magistrate, her father from his pride and hunger for security, her eldest brother from his Irish luck, has become more than a matter of genre. Architextuality is but one of the unstable transtextual relationships in *Unsettled*.

Genette, Gerald Prince suggests, delineates the subtle and not so subtle ways fictional texts link with others.⁴⁵ It is on this assumption that the work of this exegesis rests: that an understanding of *Unsettled's* transtexts, riven though they might be with constructions of gender, race and class, will inform its reading. That these texts belonged to the collective memory of settler-Lynches is a speculative leap. My novel opens the way for the creation of further Lynch apocryphal texts, demonstrating their inexhaustibility. Apocryphal stories provide a stopgap measure preventing loss and closure. They open up new spaces in which other unreliable and unstable fictions (history and memory) can be tested. Paul Ricoeur argues that 'real and symbolic wounds are stored in the archives of collective memory'.⁴⁶ Perhaps like families of the holocaust, Lynch survivors of Ireland's Great Famine suppressed their memories and never passed them on. *Unsettled* builds on traces and is therefore transgressive.

Tensions arise from stories told years after the events they describe.⁴⁷ Synthesising family narratives can be redemptive, embracing present-day people who have lost touch with their history but may have the opposite effect, precipitating new conflict. Imagination overriding memory to create new apocryphal stories can signal the loss of an 'actual' story now considered irretrievable. But 'history does not limit itself to reproducing a tale told by people contemporary with events of the past, but rather refashions it from period to period', says Halbwach.⁴⁸ It is to be hoped that readers engage with *Unsettled*, a story which borrows from rather than imitates key apocryphal texts, creating anew, and that they find research about its transtextuality relevant to Lynch family and South-east collective memory.

CHAPTER ONE

THE MAGISTRATE OF GALWAY

Introduction

Section A: Hidden Stories

Section B: Economic Manipulation

Section C: Historical Unreliability

Section D: Archetypal Stories

Section E: Speculation, New Hypothesis

Section F: Enduring Common Elements

Section G: Literary Transformations, *The Warden of Galway*, *Unsettled*

Conclusion

Introduction

In 1493 a young Galway man defrauded and murdered his rival in love, the son of his father's Spanish trading partner. Nothing is known of the object of their affections. Unwilling to compromise a local jury, his father—Magistrate Lynch of Galway—executed his son; then, in some versions, after a period of depressive reflection, hanged himself from an upstairs window. This apocryphal story, hypotext for *Unsettled*, tests fictional Lynch family psychodynamics. Characters act out the narrative and analyse its relevance to contemporary and historical family events.

Inevitably, contemporary Galway Lynches visiting their ancestral city will be confronted by the tale and, by popular extension, the metonym 'lynch': a term in common usage.⁴⁹ This story belongs to their collective imaginaries, as members of a proud tribe. In 1652 Cromwellian soldiers persecuted Lynches—the magistrate's tale precedes this—alongside members of thirteen other prominent Galway mercantile families that became known as 'The Tribes of Galway'.⁵⁰ But it is now well attested that popular use of the word 'lynch' for hanging sprang from the activities of eighteenth-century Lynches in Virginia, South Carolina, U.S.A.⁵¹ Before embarking for Australia, Galway Lynches may have felt beleaguered by the morbid interest of travellers and tourists, gabby drinkers and theatre-goers, in the story of the Magistrate of Galway. Alternatively, they may have been pleased to imagine some connection

with a long line of successful Galway Lynches including eighty-four mayors.⁵²

As well as deconstructing the story of the Lynch magistrate, as apocryphal tale and hypotext for my novel, this chapter argues that scholars have overlooked the oral story of Cuchulain, supreme hero of the Red Branch of Ulster, who kills his son by Aoife, as a likely antecedent. The magistrate's story exemplifies common characteristics of apocryphal stories, outlined in the introduction to this exegesis.⁵³

Section A: Hidden Stories, Religious Manipulation

According to an Italian newspaper article written by James Joyce (1912) the new Pope of the magistrate's day, Alexander VI (1492-1503), rewarded him with a rosary for his rectitude.⁵⁴ That the story was sanctioned by the church has never been substantiated although secular examples of its application as moral exemplar can be found. A Lynch asked to complete an 1815 questionnaire on Lynch history suggests:

It was this James Lynch fitz Stephen in the Year of his Mayoralty actuated by a pure Love of Justice and overcoming the natural Feelings of a Parent had his Own Son hanged out of the window of his house the Mayoralty House in Lombard Street for having murdered [sic] a young Spaniard and breaking Trust with a stranger. And as an example of Fidelity to all Posterity.⁵⁵

Historian James Hardiman affirms this, claiming that in 1484 when Galway was released from the jurisdiction of the Archbishop of Tuam and subsequently governed by a warden and vicars elected by the town, the inhabitants of the town were noted for 'strict adherence to truth and love of impartial justice', and that the tale of James Lynch Fitz-Stephen provided them with 'an appalling instance of inflexible virtue'.⁵⁶ Apart from revenue-raising from tourism, by St Nicholas's church where the magistrate is supposedly interred, I found no evidence of religious manipulation in modern transformations.

Section B: Economic Manipulation

Common enough with apocryphal stories, the Lynch magistrate's tale was promulgated for economic purpose. The story became a nineteenth-century tourist draw card. In 1844, the Lombard Street Lynch house was in a dangerous state of disrepair and subsequently demolished. Galway City Council then commenced budgeting for a commemoration of the

magistrate's story and a replica façade was erected, the Lynch Memorial Window, in 1854, referred to in a book by Peadar O'Dowd as 'the world's first official tourist trap'.⁵⁷ Historian James Mitchell has also referred to the window as a 'tourist trap'.⁵⁸

Visitors flocked to the ghoulish monument, making the story well known in Irish and English narrative repertoires. Travel writing brought about by 'the tour of Ireland' was in great vogue in the eighteenth and nineteenth century. 'Ethnographic tropes defined the Irish as outside modern historical time altogether, and travel-texts ... routinely relegated the Irish to "savage" and "barbarous" states of society...' Ina Ferris asserts.⁵⁹ Irish (and Australian) travel tales took the English reader into *Unsettled* areas, beginning a literary construction of triumphant British imperialism, soon reinforced in novels and in histories. Ferris saw travel writing as a 'frontier discourse, a quasi-genre on the edge of the settled literary field' manifesting in 'assorted modes—picturesque, sentimental, scientific, philosophic, agricultural, antiquarian...'.⁶⁰

While most nineteenth-century travel writing focused on the cliché of the Irish cabin and rural unrest, the barbarity of the Magistrate of Galway story shocked and intrigued several travel writers. Travel writing enabled Europeans to view Irish excess at a safe distance; it mediated the Act of Union (1800), which the Irish had ostensibly brought upon themselves by parliamentary incompetence. The magistrate narratives falsely established Irish law as obsessive and barbaric enough to kill its own children.

Galway historian, James Mitchell, who wrote two definitive articles on the Lynch magistrate narratives, lists several travel-writers who wrote on the subject: a clergyman named Pococke (1752, published 1891)⁶¹; Reverend Daniel Beaufort (1787); Prince Hermann von Puckler-Muskau, of Silesia (1832)⁶²; and John Murray (1864, republished 1866, 71, 78).⁶³ Puckler-Muskau's book was read 'in Europe, America and the Near East'.⁶⁴

W. M. Thackeray's *The Irish Sketchbook* (1843) includes a retelling of the story, which he had earlier read in James Hardiman's *A History of Galway* (1820), and a description of the 'wild, fierce and most original old town' of Galway.⁶⁵ He quotes from a poem in which Galway is named as 'Connaught's Rome', and footnotes a list of the seven tribes of Galway, including Blakes and Lynches. He mentions the Collegiate Church which 'looks to be something between a church and a castle', Lombard Street, 'otherwise called Deadman's Lane...where the dreadful tragedy of the Lynches was enacted in 1493', and a play, 'The Warden of Galway' which had been acted a few nights before his arrival.⁶⁶ The play, written by Reverend Edward Groves on the subject of the magistrate's dilemma, was

first performed in 1831, in Dublin, later in the provinces and perhaps in London, and was successful at the box office. The discovery that Groves's play had been performed in Galway offered exciting possibilities for my novel, reaffirming my belief that the settler Lynches might know it.

Irish writer Maria Edgeworth knew the story when visiting Galway in 1834 and was sufficiently interested to relate the tale in a letter to her youngest brother, whom she assumes will know the story because of Groves's play:

...and above all to the old mayoralty house of that mayor of Galway who hung his own son; and we had the satisfaction of seeing the very window from which the father with his own hands hung his own son, and the black marble marrowbones and death's head, and inscription and date, 1493. I dare say you know the story; it formed the groundwork very lately of a tragedy [Reverend Groves, *The Warden of Galway*]. The son had—from jealousy as the tragedy has it, from avarice according to the vulgar version—killed a Spanish friend; and the father, a modern Brutus, condemns him, and then goes to comfort him. I really thought it worthwhile to wade through mud to see these awful old relics of other times and other manners.⁶⁷

She accepts the municipal construction of the window as legitimate and considers that she has enjoyed a peculiar tourist experience that is on the one hand 'worthwhile', on the other 'awful.' Her reference to a 'vulgar version' indicates the fluidity of the tale, a feature of its apocryphal status. Like many writers, before and since, Edgeworth links the magistrate with Lucius Junius Brutus, Roman Consul (509 BC), who, according to another apocryphal tale, executed his sons for treason; not Shakespeare's Brutus, derived from Plutarch's account of Marcus Junius Brutus (85 BC- 42 BC) in *Lives of Noble Grecians and Romans* (0100CE).

For over five hundred years the tale of the magistrate ignited public imagination and was regularly rekindled. Tourists flocked to the medieval precinct of Galway City to see Lynches' Castle, historic residence of Galway mayors, and now a bank; to the Lynch window, a memorial to the magistrate, and to an unmarked tomb, in the south transept of St Nicholas's Church, advertised by tour guides as that of the magistrate. James Joyce uses the Lynch name in his early twentieth-century canonical Irish novels, after the story caught his imagination.⁶⁸ He first visited the Lynch Memorial, situated a stone's throw from Norah Barnacle's family home, and on a subsequent visit exploited the story in an article for a newspaper in Trieste because he needed the money.⁶⁹ My 2005 visit to the church cast no doubt on the veracity of the tale. 'He is buried there,' the church official told me.