

Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards in Theory and in Practice

Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign
Arbitral Awards in Theory and in Practice:
A Comparative Study in Common Law
and Civil Law Countries

By

Ihab Amro

**CAMBRIDGE
SCHOLARS**

P U B L I S H I N G

Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards in Theory
and in Practice: A Comparative Study in Common Law and Civil Law Countries
By Ihab Amro

This book first published 2013

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

12 Back Chapman Street, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2XX, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2013 by Ihab Amro

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN (10): 1-4438-5295-3, ISBN (13): 978-1-4438-5295-1

To the soul of my sister Rania who left this life early

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Cases	xi
Acknowledgements	xviii
Introductory Note	xix
List of Abbreviations	xxiii
Part I: The International Legal Framework for Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards.....	1
Chapter One.....	4
A Theoretical Approach on Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards	
A. The fundamentals of recognition and enforcement.....	5
I. The concept of recognition and enforcement of a foreign arbitral award	5
II. The place of recognition and enforcement of a foreign arbitral award	6
III. The time limits for the commencement of enforcement proceedings	7
IV. The applicable procedures on recognition and enforcement	8
V. The grounds for the refusal of recognition and enforcement of a foreign arbitral award	8
B. The institutional framework of recognition and enforcement (arbitration institutions)	9
I. The ICC International Court of Arbitration.....	10
II. The International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID).....	15
III. The World Intellectual Property Organization's Arbitration and Mediation Centre (WIPO Centre).....	17
IV. The London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA)	18
V. The International Centre for Dispute Resolution (ICDR) of the American Arbitration Association (AAA)	20
C. Conclusions.....	22

Chapter Two	23
Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards in Light of the International Arbitration Treaties and Conventions	
A. The International Treaties	24
I. The Geneva Protocol of 1923	25
II. The Geneva Convention of 1927	26
B. The International (Multilateral) Conventions	27
I. The New York Convention of 1958	28
1. The scope of the Convention (Article I)	29
2. Validity and Formality of the Arbitration Agreement (Article II)	31
3. The applicable Rules of procedure on recognition and enforcement (Article III)	32
a. The principle of procedural liberty	32
b. The principle of nondiscrimination procedures	33
4. The enforcement proceedings (Article IV)	34
5. Defences to recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award (Article V)	35
a. Defences to be raised by the losing party	36
b. Defences to be raised by the competent court	41
6. Adjourning the enforcement proceedings (Article VI)	43
7. Controlling recognition and enforcement (Article VII)	45
II. The Washington Convention of 1965 (the ICSID Convention)	46
C. Conclusions	48
Chapter Three	49
The Liberalization of National Laws of Arbitration in Respect to Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards	
A. The UNCITRAL Model Law of 1985	49
B. National arbitration laws in selected common law countries	51
I. The U.S. Federal Arbitration Act of 1925	52
II. The English Arbitration Act of 1996	55
C. National laws of arbitration in selected civil law countries	60
I. The French New Code of Civil Procedure of 1981	60
II. The German Arbitration Law of 1998	63
III. The Greek Law on International Commercial Arbitration No. 2735 of 1999 after the Legislative Decree No. 4220 of 1961	65
D. Conclusions	70

Part II: The Judicial Application of the United Nations Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958	73
Chapter One.....	75
A Practical Approach on the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958	
A. Preliminary provisions on the New York Convention.....	75
I. The Scope of the Convention's Application	75
II. The Convention's effect on other treaties and laws.....	77
III. The reciprocity reservation.....	82
IV. The commercial reservation	84
V. Jurisdiction and Venue in regards to recognition and enforcement	86
B. Validity of an arbitration agreement as a pre-condition for recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award	89
I. The form of agreement (Arbitration agreement in writing).....	90
II. Stay of litigation and referring the parties to arbitration	95
III. Null and Void arbitration agreement.....	99
IV. Inoperative arbitration agreement or incapable of being performed	101
C. Conclusions.....	104
Chapter Two	106
Review of a Foreign Arbitral Award by National Courts	
A. Recognition of a foreign arbitral award as binding.....	106
B. Copies of agreement and award (authentication/translation).....	109
C. Burden of proof.....	112
D. Discovery of evidence	115
E. Estoppel and waiver	117
F. Counterclaims and set-off against an award.....	120
G. Period of limitation for enforcement (time limits for enforcement proceedings).....	124
H. Pre-award attachment	127
I. Conclusions.....	130
Chapter Three	132
Refusal of Recognition and Enforcement of a Foreign Arbitral Award	
A. At the request of the opposing party	136
I. Incapacity of the parties or invalidity of an arbitration agreement.....	136
II. Violation of due process.....	138

III. Exceeding scope of submission to arbitration	143
IV. Improper composition of the arbitral tribunal or improper arbitral procedure	147
V. Setting aside or suspension of an arbitral award	150
B. Upon a decision of the competent authority in the enforcing country.....	154
I. Inarbitrability of the subject-matter of the dispute	154
II. Recognition and Enforcement contrary to public policy	157
C. Conclusions.....	164
Findings	165
Bibliography	170

TABLE OF CASES

United States

Al-Haddad Bros. Enterprises Inc. (nationality not indicated) v. M/S AGAPI and Diakan Love (USA), 635 F. Supp. 205 (D. Del. 1986), cited in YB, Vol. XII-1987	147
Audi-NSU Auto Union AG (Germany) v. Overseas Motors Inc. (USA), 418 F. Supp 982, cited in YB, Vol. III-1978	122
Aasma v. American S.S. Owners Mut. Prot. and Indem. Ass'n, Inc., 95 F.3d 400 (6th Cir. 1996)	99
BV Bureau Wijsmuller (Neth) v. United States of America, 487 F. Supp. 156 (D.C.N.Y. 1979), cited in YB, Vol. III-1978	100
Bautista v. Star Cruises, 396 F.3d 1289 (11th Cir. 2005), cited in YB, Vol.XXX-2005	84
Creighton Limited (nationality is not indicated) v. The Government of the State of Qatar, United States District Court (District of Columbia), 22 March 1995, Vol. YB XXI-1996	152
Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's et al. (nationality is not indicated) v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. et al (nationality is not indicated), 51 F. Supp.2d, 756-761(E.D. Tex. 1999), cited in YB, Vol. XXV-2000 ...	116
Deiulemar Compania di Navigazione, S.p.A (Italy) v. Transocean Coal Company Inc.(US), et al, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 23948, cited in YB, Vol. XXX-2005	144
Drexel Burnham Lambert Inc. (USA) v. H. and W. Ruebsamen (Germany), 139 A.D.2d 323 (1988), cited in YB, Vol. XIV- 1989.....	128
E.A.S.T. Inc. (USA) v. m/v Alaia et al. (nationalities are not indicated), 876 F.2d, 1168-1178 (5th Cir.1989), cited in YB, Vol. XV-1990	127
Encyclopaedia Universalis S.A. v. Encyclopaedia Britannica, Inc., 403 F.3d 85 (2005), cited in YB, Vol. XXX-2005	147
Fertilizer Corp. of India et al (India) v. IDI Management Inc. (USA), 517 F. Supp. 948 (D.C. Ohio 1981), cited in YB, Vol. VII-1982	121
Flatow v. Islamic Republic of Iran, 67 F. Supp. 2d 535(D.D.C. 1999), Also published in 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18957, cited in YB, Vol. XXV-2000	124
Gates Energy Products Inc. (USA) v. Yuasa Battery Co. Ltd. (Japan), 599 F. Supp. 368 (1983), cited in YB, Vol. XI-1986.....	77

Gould, Inc. et al. (USA) v. Ministry of Defence of Iran, United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, 23 October 1989, YB XV-1990.....	86
Guang Dong Light Headgear Factory Co. Ltd (PR China) v. ACI International Inc.(US), 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8810, cited in YB, Vol. XXXI-2006	139
Imperial Ethiopian Government v. Baruch Foster Corporation (USA), 535 F.2d 334 (5th Cir. 1976), cited in YB, Vol. II-197	116
International Paper Company (US) v. Schwabedissen Maschinen & Anlagen GmbH (Germany), 206 F.3d 411 (C.A.4 (W.Va.) 2000), cited in YB XXV-2000.....	117
Ipitrade International SA (France) v. Federal Republic of Nigeria, United States District Court (District of Columbia), 25 September 1977, YB IV-1979	137
Jadaca (Europe) Ltd. V. International Marketing Strategies Inc. (US), 401 F.3d, 701 (6th Cir 2005), cited in YB, Vol. XXXI- 2006	76
Jane Doe (Philippines) v. Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd (nationality is not indicated), 365 F. Supp.2d 1259 (2005), cited in YB, Vol. XXXI-2006	84
Jugometal (Yugoslavia) v. Samincorp Inc. (USA), 78 F.R.D. at 504, 06, 07; (D.C.N.Y. 1978), cited in YB, Vol. IV-1979.....	121
Kahn Lucas Lancaster Inc. (US) v. Lark International Ltd. (Hong Kong), 186 F.3d 210 (2d Cir. 1999), cited in YB, Vol. XXIVa- 1999	87
Liberty Re (Bermuda) Ltd. (Bermuda) v. Transamerica Occidental Life Insurance Company (US), 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 9774, cited in YB, Vol. XXXI-2006	132
Libyan American Oil Company (USA) v. Socialist People's Libyan Arab Republic Jamahiriya formerly Libyan Arab Republic, 482 F. Supp. 1175 (1980), cited in YB, Vol. VI-1981	155
Laminoirs-Trefileries-Cableries de Lens SA (France) v. Southwire Co. & International Southwire Corp. (USA), 484F. Supp. 1063 (1980), cited in YB, Vol. VI-1981	161
Mary D. Slaney v. International Amateur Athletic Federation, 244, F. 3d (7th Cir, 2001), pp. 580-601, cited in YB, Vol. XXVI-2001	118
Mitsubishi Motors Corporation (Japan) v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth Inc. (USA), 473 U.S. 614 (1985), cited in YB, Vol. XI-1986.....	133
Ocean Warehousing BV (Neth) v. Baron Metals and Alloys (US) et al, 157 F. Supp.2d 245 (S.D.N.Y. 2001) cited in YB, Vol. XXVI-2001	92
Publicis Communication et al (France) v. True North Communications Inc. (USA), F.3d 725 (7th Cir. 2000), cited in YB, Vol. XXV-2000..	82

Parsons & Whittemore Overseas Co. Inc. (USA) v. Société Général de l'Industrie du Papier RAKTA (Egypt) and Bank of America (USA), 508 F.2d 969 (2d Cir. 1974), cited in YB, Vol. I-1976 (US	141
Schlumberger Technology Corporation (US) v. U. S. A, 124 F 3d, 216-221 (5th Cir. 1999), cited in YB, Vol. XXV-2000.....	107
Scherk v. Alberto-Culver Co., 417 U.S. 506(1974), cited in YB, Vol. I-1976	76
Sigval Bergesen (Norway) v. Joseph Muller Corporation (Switzerland), 710 F.2d 928 (2nd Cir, 1983), cited in YB, Vol. IX-1984.....	75
Splosna Polovba of Piran (nationality is not indicated) v. Agretak Steamship Corporation (nationality is not indicated), F. Supp. 1368 (D.C.N.Y. 1974), cited in YB, Vol. I-1976.....	82
Sphere Drake Ins. PLC v. Marine Towing, Inc., 16 F.3d 666 (C.A.5 (La.) 1994), cited in YB, Vol. XX-1995.....	93
Telenor Mobile Communications AS (Norway) v. Storm LLC (Ukraine), 524 F. Supp. 2d 332 (2007), cited in YB, Vol. XXXIII- 2008	145
Termorio S.A. E.S.P. v. Electricadora Del Atlantico S.A. E.S.P., 421 F. Supp. 2d 87 (2006) cited in YB, Vol. XXXI-2006	150
US Titan Inc. (US) v. Guangzhou Zhen Hua Shipping Co. Ltd., (PR China), 241 F.3d 135 (2d Cir. 2001), cited in YB, Vol. XXVI-2001.....	89
Westbrook International LLC (US) v. Westbrook Technologies Inc. (Canada), 17 F. Supp. 2d 681-686 (E.D. Mich., 1998), cited in YB, Vol. XXV-2000	83
Zimmerman v. International Companies & Consulting Inc., 107 F.3d 344 (1997).....	97
Wilko v. Swan, 346 U.S. 427	90

United Kingdom

Capital Trust Investment Limited (nationality is not indicated) v. Radio Design AB, et al (nationality is not indicated), Court of Appeal (Civil Division), 15 February 2002, YB XXVII-2002.....	97
Deutsche Schachtbau-und Tiefbohrgesellschaft mbH (F.R. Germany) v. R'as Al Khaimah National Oil co. (Ras Al Khaimah, UAE) and Shell International Petroleum Co. Ltd. (UK), Court of Appeal, 24 March 1987, YB XIII-1988.....	136
Haendler&Natermann GmbH (Germany) v. Mr. Janos Paczy (nationality is not indicated), Court of Appeal, 4 December 1980, YB IX-1984. 103	

John Downing (UK) v. Al Tameer Establishment (Saudi Arabia) et al, Court of Appeal (Civil Division), 22 May 2002, YB XXVIII-2003	101
Mauritius Sugar Syndicate, Tate & Lyle Refineries Ltd (UK), Emcar Ltd. (UK) and Adam & Co. Ltd. (UK) v. Black Lion Shipping Co. SA (Panama) and London Steamship Owners Mutual Insurance Association (UK) (The RENA K), High Court, Queen's Bench Division (Admiralty Court), 13 January 1978, YB IV-1979	129
Minmetals Germany GmbH (Germany) v. Ferco Steel Ltd (UK), High Court, Queen's Bench Division (Commercial Court), 20 January 1999, YB XXIV-1999	114
Resseel NV (Belgium) v. Oriental Commercial Shipping (UK) Limited (UK) and others, High Court, Queen's Bench Division (Commercial Court), 16 November 1990, YB XVI-1991	113
Sir Frederick S. Snow & Partners et al. (UK) v. Minister of Public Works of the Government of the State of Kuwait, the House of Lords, 1 March 1984, YB X-1985	57
Svenska Petroleum Exploration AB (Sweden) v. Government of the Republic of Lithuania, et al, published at http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Civ/2006/1529.html , cited in YB, Vol. XXXII-2007	119
The Government of Kuwait v. Sir Frederick Snow & Partners and Others (U.K.), the Court of Appeal, 17 March 1983, YB IX-1984	125
Westacre Investment Inc. (Panama)v. Jugoimport SDPR Holding (F.R. Yugoslavia), Court of Appeal (Civil Division), 12 March 1999, YB XXIV-1999	134
Yukos Oil Company (Russian Federation) v. Dardana Limited (nationality is not indicated), Court of Appeal (Civil Division), 18 April 2002, YB XXVII-2002	110

France

Animalfeeds International Corp (USA) v. S. A. A. Becker & Cie (France), Revue de l'Arbitrage, no. 3-1970, pp. 166-173, cited in YB, Vol. II-1977	108
American Bureau of Shipping (US) v. Copropriété Maritime Jules Verne (France), Court of Appeal of Paris, 4 December 2002, YB XXIX-2004	81
Bargues Agro Industrie SA (France) v. Young Pecan Company (US), Revue de l'Arbitrage, 2006, N°. 1- JANVIER- MARS, pp. 155-160, cited in YB, Vol. XXX-2005	142

Creighton Limited (Cayman Islands) v. Minister of Finance and Minister of International Affairs and Agriculture of the Government of the State of Qatar, Supreme Court, 6 July 2000, YB XXV-2000	14
Compagnie de St Gobin – Point à Mousson (France) v. The Fertilizer Company of India Ltd, Revue de l'Arbitrage, no. 3-1971, pp. 108-115, cited in YB, Vol. I-1976	83
Denis Coakley Ltd. (UK) v. Ste Michel Reverdy (France), Revue de l'arbitrage-1982, pp. 303-309, cited in YB, Vol.IX-1984.....	161
HGL sas (France) v. Spanghero SA et al (New Zealand), published at www.courdecassation.fr , cited in YB, Vol. XXXIII-2008.....	98
Hilmarton Ltd. v. Omnium de Traitement et de Valorisation-OTV), Court of Appeal of Paris, 19 December 1991, YB XIX-1994	77
Hilmarton Ltd. v. Omnium de traitement et de valorisation-OTV), The Supreme Court, 23 March 1994, YB XX-1995	78
IAIGC – Inter – Arab Investment Guarantee Corporation (Kuwait) v. Ball – Banque Arabe et Internationale d'Investissements SA (France), Revue de l'Arbitrage, no. 1-1998, pp. 134-155, cited in YB, Vol. XXIII-1998	109
Legal Department of the Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Iraq v. Fincantieri – Cantieri, Navalitaliani, et al, Court of Appeal of Paris, 15 June 2006, YB XXXI-2006	102
Pabalk Ticaret Ltd. Sirketi (Turkey) v. Norsolor SA (France) Revue de l'Arbitrage, 1983, p.525, cited in YB, Vol. VIII-1983	77
P T Putrabali Adyamulia v. Rena Holding, Revue de l'Arbitrage, Vol. no. 3-2007(France), pp. 507-522, cited in YB, Vol. XXXII-2007.....	81
République du Congo v. SQ Total E&P Congo, Revue de l'arbitrage, 2005, No. 3	14
SNF sas (France) v. Cytec Industries BV (Netherlands), published at www.courdecassation.fr , cited in YB, Vol. XXXIII-2008.....	135
Société Thalès Air Defence v GIE Euromissile et Eads, Revue de l'arbitrage, 2004. No. 1	14

Germany

Buyer (P.R. China) v. Seller (Germany), Court of Appeal (Thuringia), 10 March 2004, published at http://www.dis-arb.de , cited in YB, Vol. XXXIII-2008	138
Buyer v. Seller (nationalities are not indicated), Court of Appeal (Celle), published at http://www.dis-arb.de , cited in YB, Vol. XXX-2005 ...	113
Creditor under the award (Taiwan) v. Debtor under the award (Germany), published at http://www.dis-arb.de , cited in YB, Vol. XXXIII-2008	119

Claimant v. Defendant, Court of Appeal (Schleswig), YB XXXI-2006 ..	91
Company A (Japan) v. Company S and Company X (Germany), Court of Appeal (Thuringia), 8 August 2007, published at http://www.dis-arb.de , cited in YB XXXIII-2008	159
Claimant v. Defendant, Hanseatic Court of Appeal (Bremen), 30 September 1999, YB XXXI-2006.....	163
Company A (Austria) v. Company B et al (Austria), published at http://www.dis-arb.de , cited in YB, Vol. XXXIII-2008	111
Consultant v. German Company, Court of Appeal (Hamm), published at http://www.dis-arb.de , cited in YB, Vol. XXXI-2006	111
Charterer (F.R. Germany) v. Shipowner (Romania), Federal Supreme Court, 15 May 1986, published in Wertpapier Mitteilungen, no. 32 (1986), pp. 982-984, cited in YB, Vol. XII-1987.....	158
Firm C (Czechoslovakia) v. OHG Sch & B (Ch. & B personally), (F. R. Germany), Supreme Court 6, March 1969 and Court of Appeal (Hamburg), 14 October 1964, YB II-1977.....	118
Firm C (Romania) v. German (F.R) Party, published in Recht der internationalen Wirtschaft-1975, p. 223, cited in YB, Vol. II-1977 .	123
Firm P (USA) v. Firm F (F. R. Germany), Court of Appeal (Hamburg), 3 April 1975, YB II-1977.....	159
K Trading Company (Syria) v. Bayerischen Motoren Werke AG“BMW” (Germany), published at http://www.dis-arb.de , cited in YB, Vol. XXX-2005	134
Licensor (Finland) v. Licensee (Germany), published at http://www.dis-arb.de , cited in YB, Vol. XXXIII-2008	141
(Parties are not indicated), Court of Appeal (Rostock), 28 October 1999, YB XXV-2000.....	107
Romanian Firm v. German (F.R.) Firm, Supreme Court, 12 February 1976, YB-1977.....	145
Seller v. Buyer (Nationalities are not indicated), Court of Appeal (Thuringia), 8 November 2004, published at http://www.dis-arb.de , cited in YB XXXIII-2008	140
Supplier (US) v. State Enterprise (Belarus), Court of Appeal (Dresden), 31 January 2007, published at http://www.dis-arb.de , cited in YB, Vol. XXXIII-2008	151
Subsidiary Company of Franchiser (Netherlands) v. Franchisee (Germany), published at http://www.dis-arb.de , cited in YB, Vol. XXXIII-2008	100

Greece

ANC Maritime Company (Greece) v. The West of England Shipowners Mutual Protection and Indemnity Association Limited (Luxembourg), published in ΤΡΑΠΕΖΑ ΝΟΜΙΚΩΝ ΠΛΗΡΟΦΟΡΙΩΝ-ΔΙΚΗΓΟΡΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΛΛΟΓΟΣ ΑΘΗΝΩΝ (ΔΣΑ)-, Δικαστήριο: ΑΡΕΙΟΣ ΠΑΓΟΣ ΟΛΟΜΕΛΕΙΑ, Τόπος: ΑΘΗΝΑ, Αριθ. Απόφασης: 8, Έτος: 1997, cited in YB, Vol. XXIII-1998	85
Agrimex SA (Greece) v. J F. Braun & Sons Inc. (USA), Supreme Court, 14 January 1977, YB IV-1979	91
S.K.S.SA (Greece) v. Legal representative of 2 nd defendant et al (nationalities are not indicated), Court of First Instance of Piraeus, YB Vol. XXXIII-2008	98
Shipowner (Malta) v. Contractor (nationality is not indicated), Supreme Court, published in ΤΡΑΠΕΖΑ ΝΟΜΙΚΩΝ ΠΛΗΡΟΦΟΡΙΩΝ-ΔΙΚΗΓΟΡΙΚΟΣ ΣΥΛΛΟΓΟΣ ΑΘΗΝΩΝ (ΔΣΑ)-, Δικαστήριο: ΑΡΕΙΟΣ ΠΑΓΟΣ, Τόπος: ΑΘΗΝΑ, Αριθ. Απόφασης: 1066, Έτος: 2007, also published at http://lawdb.intrasoftnet.com , cited in YB, Vol. XXXIII – 2008.....	162
The Government of Greece v. Foreign Shipowner-charterer (nationality is not indicated), Court of Appeal (Athens), YB XIV-1989	94
(Parties are not indicated), Court of Appeal (Patras), YB I-1976.....	113
(Parties are not indicated), Supreme Court, YB I-1976	133

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deep thanks due to Prof. Dr. C. Polyzogopoulos; Prof. D. Tsikrikas and Prof. N. Klamaris for their unprecedented interest, sincere academic effort and kind treatment during the period of my PhD. study at the Athens law school.

I am grateful to the Hungarian Professor ZsuzsannaToth of the Faculty of Law, University of Athens (Erasmus Programme), who provided me creative and new ideas at the earlier stage on the topic of the book.

I am also grateful to my American colleague Charles E. Brasington; attorney-at-law; who kindly revised the final draft of the book.

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

This book, which is originated from a doctoral thesis presented by the author at the Athens Law School in Greece in 2011 under the supervision of Prof. Dr. C. Polyzogopoulos, deals with the fundamentals of international commercial arbitration in a global economy, and addresses the question of which international conventions apply to the recognition and enforcement of foreign awards, and how the New York Convention on the recognition and enforcement of 1958 deals with the matters concerning recognition and enforcement of foreign awards. In addition, this book addresses the question of whether the New York Convention meets the practical needs of those involved in international commercial transactions. This book also addresses the question of whether national acts of arbitration in both common law and civil law countries deal with the matters pertaining to recognition and enforcement liberally, and to what extent they adopt the New York Convention as an integral part of their national laws. In terms of practice, the book addresses the question of how national courts in both common law and civil law countries apply the New York Convention, and whether courts apply the provisions of national acts of arbitration liberally, especially those provisions that concern recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards.

On the one hand, the book has a theoretical importance because it focuses on the theoretical matters relating to recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards. These theoretical matters have a great impact on the efficacy of the arbitral process generally, and on recognition and enforcement particularly. Such matters include the fundamentals of recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards; the role of the international arbitration institutions as to recognition and enforcement; recognition and enforcement of foreign awards under the international treaties and conventions, especially the New York Convention of 1958. Apart from that, the theoretical matters deal with recognition and enforcement in light of national acts of arbitration in both common law and civil law countries, namely, the Federal Arbitration Act in the United States of 1925 and the English Arbitration Act of 1996 as common law countries and the New Code of Civil Procedure of 1981 in France as amended in 2011, the New German Arbitration Law of 1998 and the

Greek law on international commercial arbitration of 1999 as civil law countries.

On the other hand, the book has a practical importance because it focuses on the issues of recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards in selected common law and civil law countries under the New York Convention of 1958. That is, the book deals firsthand with a lot of old and new courts decisions regarding recognition and enforcement of arbitration agreements and arbitral awards in the above common law and civil law countries. It also provides an analysis of those decisions and the judicial errors that could have been avoided if the judges paid more attention to the procedural aspects of the arbitral process and the related laws and conventions. Therefore, this book discourages the strict judicial interpretation of the New York Convention and inclines toward adoption of a more liberal regime in favour of recognition and enforcement of arbitration agreements and foreign arbitral awards in the Contracting States courts.

The main reason for selecting this topic relates to the importance of international commercial arbitration as a method for solving international commercial disputes between private parties. It also relates to the importance of the New York Convention for the business world, and the differences that may arise in its application in national courts of both common law and civil law countries. An additional reason for selecting this topic is the necessity for providing new materials on international commercial arbitration, for those academics, arbitrators, legal practitioners, corporate counsels, practicing lawyers and law students around the world, who are interested in international commercial arbitration and in international investment arbitration. The final reason for selecting this topic is that the book may constitute a good source for business people involved in international trade, and who are willing to solve their disputes through arbitration, so that they can reinforce their knowledge of the fundamentals of international commercial arbitration, and know the best ways for recognizing and enforcing foreign arbitral awards.

The book has a substantive scope because it deals only with recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards. That is to say, it excludes the matters relating to the enforcement of foreign judgments, domestic judgments or domestic awards. Thus, the book focuses on the New York Convention of 1958 which is, as some commentators say, the main pillar in the edifice of international commercial arbitration. The book also has a territorial scope because it deals with recognition and enforcement of foreign awards in some common law and civil law

countries, namely, the United States, the United Kingdom, France, Germany and Greece. Rather, the book excludes national acts of arbitration and courts decisions of other common law and civil law countries. Even though the book reviews a number of cases in relation to recognition and enforcement of a foreign arbitral award in the above countries, it is beyond the scope of the book to provide a review of all cases in those countries. Finally, the book has a conceptual scope because it conceptualizes recognition and enforcement in those cases where no possibility for dealing with this topic based on the territorial approach is available.

The book depends on the following methods of research:

1. Descriptive or informative study: the book reflects the descriptive method of research through dealing theoretically with the fundamentals of recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards, the international conventions relating to recognition and enforcement and with national acts of arbitration in some common law and civil law countries.
2. Case study: through study of cases from common law and civil law countries based on case-by-case analysis, the book covers many issues relating to recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards. These cases focus on the application of the New York Convention in national courts of both common law and civil law countries, and the differences arising out of such application. As such, the book provides many arguments that oppose or support different propositions.
3. Comparative study: the book compares national acts of arbitration in both common law and civil law countries to the New York Convention of 1958. It also compares courts decisions that apply the New York Convention in common law countries to those in civil law countries. In some situations, the book compares between courts decisions of the same state.
4. Analytical study: this kind of study depends on the substance and procedure more than formality. Therefore, this book mainly focuses on the substantive and the procedural issues of recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards.

The book mainly consists of two parts: the first part concerns the international legal framework of recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards. This part encompasses three chapters. Chapter one involves a theoretical approach on recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards including the role of the International Arbitration

Institutions. Chapter two deals with the relevant international arbitration Treaties and Conventions and their application to recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards. Chapter three addresses firsthand the liberalization of national arbitration acts in the above common law and civil law countries through examining issues that are related to recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards.

The second part concerns the judicial application of the New York Convention on the recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards in the above common law and civil law countries. This part also consists of three chapters. Chapter one involves a practical approach on the New York Convention of 1958 including the preliminary provisions derived from the convention, and the validity of an arbitration agreement as a prerequisite for recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards. Chapter two concerns the judicial review of foreign arbitral awards in national courts. Chapter three is devoted to the refusal of recognition and enforcement of foreign awards and its application in national courts.

The book concludes with findings regarding the main ideas of the topic, and recommendations that draw up the mechanisms for facing the new challenges of recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards in the global economy, that is, provisions that might be considered in the future in case of amendment of the NYC or national laws of arbitration, *de lege ferenda* as opposed to *de lege lata*.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Art	Article
Arts	Articles
ASA	Arbitration Society of America
AAF	American Arbitration Foundation
ADR	Alternative Dispute Resolution
AAA	American Arbitration Association
CCP	Code of Civil Procedure
CCI	Chambre de Commerce Internationale
CIETAC	China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission
EC	European Community
ECOSOC	United Nations Economic and Social Council
Et al	And Others
FAA	Federal Arbitration Act
F.R.	Federal Republic
GLICA	Greek Law on International Commercial Arbitration
GCCP	Greek Code of Civil Procedure
GCC	Greek Civil code
ICCA	International Council for Commercial Arbitration
IBA	International Bar Association
ICA	International Commercial Arbitration
ICDR	International Centre for Dispute Resolution
ICSID	International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Dispute
ICC	International Chamber of Commerce
Infra	Below
Int'l Law	International Law
LCIA	London Court of International Arbitration
NCCP	New Code of Civil Procedure
NYC	New York Convention
No	Number
Neth	Netherlands
ODR	Online Dispute Resolution
PCA	Permanent Court of Arbitration
P	Page

Para	Paragraph
PR	People Republic
Sec	Section
§	Section
Supra	Above
TOR	Terms of Reference
UNCITRAL	United Nations Commission on International Trade Law
U.N.T.S	United Nations Treaty Series
U.S.	United States
U.K.	United Kingdom
Vol.	Volume
WTO	World Trade Organization
WIPO	World Intellectual Property Organization
YB	Yearbook Commercial Arbitration
ZPO	Zivilprozeßordnung “German Code of Civil Procedure”

PART I

THE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN ARBITRAL AWARDS

The concept of international commercial arbitration (ICA) is considered a major part of private international law, especially in the area of international commercial transactions. ICA is one of the preferred methods for solving the cross-border commercial disputes that often arise in the area of international trade law.¹ This is attributable to the emergence of international investment, and the shift in economic development policies in many countries, from socialist to neo-liberal development policies.² Furthermore, the growth of ICA relates to the new developments in world trade including e-commerce. This growth has encouraged national legislations to intervene, mainly for protecting private parties involved in international commercial arbitration.

Parties have other ways to solve their commercial disputes aside from arbitration. The first way is to submit the dispute to a court of law (Litigation), and the second way is to use alternative dispute resolution techniques (ADR) such as Negotiation, Mediation,³ Conciliation, Mini-trial, Expert Determination, Neutral Evaluation and Adjudication.

¹ The recent term is world business law as it is used by the international arbitration institutions including the ICC Institute of World Business Law.

² For the historical developments of arbitration *see*: TRWIANOS/SP/BELISSAROPOYLOY/ KARAKWSTA, *History of Law*, 3rd edition, Sakkoulas Publishers, pp. 25-31 (author's translation). The edition in Greek: (ΤΡΩΙΑΝΟΣ, ΣΠ/ΒΕΛΙΣΣΑΡΟΠΟΥΛΟΥ, / Ι, ΚΑΡΑΚΩΣΤΑ, ΙΣΤΟΡΙΑ ΔΙΚΑΙΟΥ, Τρίτη Έκδοση). ΑΘΗΝΑ-ΚΟΜΟΘΗΝΗ, ΕΚΔΟΣΕΙΣ ΑΝΤ. Ν. ΣΑΚΚΟΥΛΑ, 2002, Σ. 25-31.

³ Commentators generally divide mediation into two kinds: judicial mediation and non-judicial mediation. The judicial mediation occurs inside the court, and the judge performs the role of the mediator judge in order to help parties reach a settlement for their dispute (judicial compromise) whereas non-judicial mediation occurs out of the court, and be performed by a private mediator.

ICA differs from litigation in many aspects. ICA is a private mechanism in which the parties have their own judges⁴ and can agree on the applicable arbitration rules and the substantive law governing the dispute. ICA is more flexible, easier, quicker, confidential, informal and neutral. Most notably, ICA is more efficient and conclusive than litigation. Furthermore, business people consider ICA an attractive and comparative method of solving international trade disputes. ICA also has technocratic (technical and political) advantages in comparison to litigation.⁵ Finally, ICA comprises the commercialism and the internationalism; thus, the notion of the international arbitration consists of judicial and economic concepts.⁶ It also consists of ethical and procedural concepts.

Alternative Dispute Resolution mechanism (ADR), also known as “Amicable Dispute Resolution” is a possible alternative to international commercial arbitration. This mechanism of solving disputes aims at finding a solution between parties in different ways but without a binding award, unlike arbitration, which guarantees that an award will be enforced when it meets the basic requirements of enforcement. In addition, through ADR, it is possible to find a solution for partial disputes between parties, whereas arbitration requires a real and complete dispute. It is also possible through ADR to solve some kinds of disputes that cannot be arbitrable, such as family law disputes and criminal law disputes.⁷ ADR does not give parties the right to appeal whereas arbitration allows parties to set aside the award. Finally, unlike arbitration, ADR does not have many international rules yet.⁸

⁴ Co-operation between arbitrators and parties constitutes an additional feature of arbitration, in comparison to litigation.

⁵ Regarding the technocratic advantages of arbitration *see*: SHALAKANY, Amr A. “Arbitration and the Third World: A Plea for Reassessing Bias Under the sector of Neoliberalism”, *Harvard International Law Journal*, Vol. 41, Number 2, spring 2000, pp. 434-437.

⁶ For the criterion of commercialism and the criterion of internationalism of international arbitration *see*: DE BOISSESON, Matthieu. “The French Law of Arbitration—Domestic and International—”, GLN- edition, 1990 (author’s translation), pp. 416-427. The French edition: (*Le droit français de l’arbitrage-interne et international-*, GLN-éditions, 1990).

⁷ In Austria for example, such disputes can be solved by mediation.

⁸ The advantages of ADR in comparison to litigation-according to some commentators- as the following:

1- saving of expenses 2- avoidance of the rule that says everything or nothing that is applied in the court, which depends basically on the legal criterion solution as known as (interest-based rather than rights – based) 3- ability for entrance of a

In addition to international arbitration, commercial arbitration also includes domestic arbitration. The primary difference between international and domestic arbitration is that each has different rules or provisions. Moreover, the definition of international arbitration differs from the definition of domestic arbitration. International arbitration usually takes place between parties from different states or between one party from one state and a government of a foreign state, whereas domestic arbitration usually takes place between citizens or residents of the same state. Arbitration is considered international when the parties have their places of business in different states and if the parties have expressly agreed that the subject matter of the arbitration agreement relates to more than one country.⁹

In this context, recognition and enforcement of a foreign arbitral award is very important as it occurs within the framework of international and regional arbitration conventions. As a result, most countries have enacted national arbitration legislations for solving the commercial disputes.

I will begin this Part with a theoretical approach focusing on the fundamentals of recognition and enforcement and the institutional framework of recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards.

third person to the dispute 4- re-conciliation between the parties 5- in ADR there is consensus, continuity, control, confidentiality.

⁹ See: Art. 1(3) of the Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration of 1985 (United Nations document A/40/17, annex 1), adopted by the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law on 21 June 1985. The Model Law has been amended in 2006. The amendments related to articles 1(2), 7, and 35(2), a new chapter IV A to replace article 17 and a new article 2 A were adopted by UNCITRAL on 7 July 2006. The revised Model Law will be dealt with in detail in chapter three of this Part.

CHAPTER ONE

A THEORETICAL APPROACH ON RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF FOREIGN ARBITRAL AWARDS

If parties comply with an arbitral award, the award is enforced amicably. When one of the parties does not voluntarily comply with the award, the other party may seek to recognize and enforce such award in the country in which the other party has assets. The losing party in the arbitration has the right to set aside the arbitral award. The challenge of an award aims to modify or to set aside the award¹⁰ whereas recognition and enforcement aims to put the award into effect.

The United Nations Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958 (the New York Convention, hereafter “NYC”) is considered the foundation for the enforcement of foreign arbitral awards. Under the Convention, parties from different countries and legal systems have the right to settle their commercial disputes through the legal system in a third country, and to enforce an arbitral award in any other NYC Member State. The NYC applies to arbitral awards made in a country other than the country in which the winning party seeks enforcement. The NYC deals primarily with recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards, and partially with recognition and enforcement of the arbitration agreements.

Many international, regional and national arbitration institutions have been established during the last century in order to facilitate the settlement of the commercial disputes between persons or companies from different nations. International Arbitration Institutions that provide administrative services are very important for recognition and enforcement of foreign

¹⁰ The difference between setting aside the award, and recognition and enforcement of an award is clear. Domestic awards can be set aside, while foreign awards may or may not be recognized and enforced. In practice, the applications for setting aside the award and for recognition and enforcement may be filed at the same time as we will see in the second Part of the book.

awards. Some of these institutions scrutinize the arbitral award based on their own rules of arbitration in order to ensure its enforceability.¹¹

In this chapter, I will deal with the fundamentals of recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award under the NYC, and with the role of the international institutions that administer the international commercial arbitrations and the international investment arbitrations.

A. The fundamentals of recognition and enforcement

I. The concept of recognition and enforcement of a foreign arbitral award

Though recognition and enforcement of foreign awards looks like a single concept, the recognition differs from the enforcement: recognition of an award is a defensive process used by the winning party, which may object that the dispute has already been determined asking the court to recognize it as valid and binding upon the losing party.¹² In other words, recognition occurs when the losing party asks a court to decide on issues already resolved in the arbitral proceedings. Subsequently, the winning party may argue that these issues have already been decided by the court, and may ask for the recognition of an award over the other party. Therefore, the recognition of an award will stop court proceedings with respect to the matters that have been already decided. The enforcement of an award is a different process; when the court is asked to enforce an award, it is asked not only to recognize the legal effect of that award, but also to ensure that it has been carried out by using the existing legal sanctions.

The following example illuminates the difference between the recognition and the enforcement. One party from the United States signed a contract to purchase copy machines from a company based in Germany. The contract included an arbitration clause, which provided that “any dispute arising in connection with the present contract shall be finally settled under the Rules of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce by one or more arbitrators appointed in accordance with the said Rules.” When the delivery of the machines did not occur in accordance with the contract, the buyer submitted the dispute to

¹¹ Scrutiny process made by the ICC International Court of Arbitration will be discussed later.

¹² See: REDFERN, Alan / HUNTER, Martin, “Law and Practice of International Commercial Arbitration”, 2nd edition, London, Sweet & Maxwell, 1991, p. 448.

arbitration, and afterwards the Tribunal made an award in favour of the buyer. The buyer asked the competent court in the country of enforcement to recognize the award as valid and binding upon the seller (the company). The court recognized the foreign award, yet has not decided to enforce it.

II. The place of recognition and enforcement of a foreign arbitral award

The place of recognition or enforcement of an arbitral award is important in practice. In principle, recognition and enforcement cannot occur in the country of origin,¹³ except in the case that an arbitral award is not considered as domestic.¹⁴ Furthermore, the losing party may have assets in several places, so that the winning party can choose the suitable place for the enforcement. On that basis, the arbitral award might be recognized and enforced in one of the Contracting States, even when such award was rendered in the territory of a Non-Contracting State.¹⁵ Therefore, parties to arbitration must consider the following factors in selecting the place of recognition and enforcement:¹⁶

1. The attitude of national courts regarding requests for recognition and enforcement of a foreign award, where their outlook is likely to be international.
2. The applicability of the doctrine of State Sovereign Immunity¹⁷ when recognition and enforcement is being sought against the government or a state owned entity.
3. The applicability of public policy or public order by the Contracting States courts with respect to recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award.
4. The link between the place of recognition and enforcement, and the place of arbitration, where the award was made.

¹³ The country of arbitration in which or under the law of which the award was made.

¹⁴ NYC Art. I(1).

¹⁵ *Ibid.*

¹⁶ *Ibid.*

¹⁷ Regarding this doctrine *see*: LEW QC, JULIAN DM / MISTELIS A, Loukas / KROLL M, Stefan, *Comparative International Commercial Arbitration*, The Hague/London/New York, Kluwer Law International, 2003, Chapter 27, pp. 733-760.