

# Arabic Psychological Tests and Their English Versions



# Arabic Psychological Tests and Their English Versions

By

Ahmed M. Abdel-Khalek

**Cambridge  
Scholars  
Publishing**



Arabic Psychological Tests and Their English Versions

By Ahmed M. Abdel-Khalek

This book first published 2020

Cambridge Scholars Publishing

Lady Stephenson Library, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE6 2PA, UK

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Copyright © 2020 by Ahmed M. Abdel-Khalek

All rights for this book reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN (10): 1-5275-5973-4

ISBN (13): 978-1-5275-5973-8

To Nadia, Mira, Sherif, and Tarek, with love.

Whatever exists at all exists in some amount.

Thorndike (1918)

Anything that exists in amount can be measured.

McCall (1939)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Scales .....	xiii
Preface .....	xv
List of Abbreviations .....	xix

## **Part I: Positive Psychology Scales**

Chapter One.....	3
The Development and Validation of the Arabic Scale of Optimism and Pessimism	
Chapter Two .....	27
The Structure and Measurement of the Positive Self	
Chapter Three .....	47
The Development and Psychometric Parameters of the Arabic Scale of Health Behaviors (ASHB)	
Chapter Four .....	61
The Arabic Scale of Happiness (ASH): Psychometric Characteristics	
Chapter Five .....	81
Love of Life as a New Construct in the Well-Being Domain	
Chapter Six .....	93
The Development and Validation of the Arabic Scale of Mental Health (ASMH)	
Chapter Seven.....	99
The Construction and Validation of the Arabic Scale of Intrinsic Religiosity (ASIR)	

## **Part II: Personality Scale**

Chapter Eight.....	105
The Arabic Big Five Personality Inventory (ABFPI): Setting the Stage	
Chapter Nine.....	111
Psychometric Properties of the Arabic Big Five Personality Inventory (ABFPI)	
Chapter Ten .....	119
The Revised Form of the Arabic Big Five Personality Inventory (R-ABFPI)	

## **Part III: Anxiety Scale**

Chapter Eleven .....	125
The Kuwait University Anxiety Scale: Psychometric Properties	
Chapter Twelve .....	147
Divergent, Criterion-Related, and Discriminant Validities of the Kuwait University Anxiety Scale	
Chapter Thirteen.....	151
Factorial Structure of the Kuwait University Anxiety Scale in a Large Sample of College Students: Rejoinder	
Chapter Fourteen .....	155
Age and Sex Differences for Anxiety in Relation to Family Size, Birth Order, and Religiosity among Kuwaiti Adolescents	
Chapter Fifteen .....	161
Adequacy of an English Version of the Kuwait University Anxiety Scale based on Back-Translation and Testing Bilingual Subjects	

## **Part IV: Neuroticism Scale**

Chapter Sixteen .....	167
Construction and Validation of the Factorial Arabic Neuroticism Scale	



**Part V: Obsession-Compulsion Scales**

Chapter Seventeen .....	173
The Development and Validation of the Arabic Scale of Obsession-Compulsion (ASOC)	
Chapter Eighteen .....	199
The Construction and Validation of the Revised Arabic Scale of Obsession-Compulsion (R-ASOC)	

**Part VI: Child and Adolescent Depression Scales**

Chapter Nineteen .....	215
The Construction and Validation of the Arabic Children's Depression Inventory (ACDI)	
Chapter Twenty .....	231
Criterion-Related Validity of the Arabic Children's Depression Inventory	
Chapter Twenty-One .....	233
Factorial Structure of the Arabic Children's Depression Inventory among Kuwaiti Subjects	
Chapter Twenty-Two.....	239
Assessment and Prevalence Rates of Depressive Symptoms in a Kuwaiti Sample of Schoolchildren and Adolescents	
Chapter Twenty-Three.....	251
Prevalence of Childhood Depression in a Sample from Jordan	
Chapter Twenty-Four .....	261
The Multidimensional Child and Adolescent Depression Scale: Psychometric Properties	

**Part VII: The Somatic Symptom Scale**

Chapter Twenty-Five.....	287
The Somatic Symptoms Inventory (SSI): Development, Parameters, and Correlates	

Chapter Twenty-Six.....	307
The Development and Validation of the Short Form of the Somatic Symptoms Inventory (SSI-S)	

Chapter Twenty-Seven .....	315
Can Somatic Symptoms Predict Depression?	

Chapter Twenty-Eight .....	325
Can Somatic Symptoms Differentiate Anxiety from Depression?	

### **Part VIII: Chronic Fatigue Syndrome Scale**

Chapter Twenty-Nine .....	345
Chronic Fatigue Syndrome and Its Association with Obsession-Compulsion among a Non-Clinical Sample Using Questionnaires	

Chapter Thirty .....	359
The Relationship between Fatigue and Personality in a Student Population	

### **Part IX: Insomnia Scale**

Chapter Thirty-One .....	371
The Development and Validation of The Arabic Scale of Insomnia (ASI)	

Chapter Thirty-Two.....	383
The Relation between Insomnia and Chronic Fatigue among a Non-clinical Sample Using Questionnaires	

### **Part X: Death Anxiety and Death Obsession**

Chapter Thirty-Three.....	397
The Arabic Scale of Death Anxiety (ASDA): Its Development, Validation, and Results in Three Arab Countries	

Chapter Thirty-Four.....	423
Death Anxiety in Clinical and Non-Clinical Groups	

Chapter Thirty-Five.....	431
Single Versus Multi-Item Scales in Measuring Death Anxiety	

Chapter Thirty-Six.....	439
Death Obsession	
Chapter Thirty-Seven .....	443
The Structure and Measurement of Death Obsession	
Chapter Thirty-Eight .....	461
Death Obsession in Egyptian Samples: Differences among People with Anxiety Disorders, Schizophrenia, Addictions, and Normals	
Chapter Thirty-Nine .....	471
Death Obsession in Arab and Western Countries	
Chapter Forty.....	475
The Death Distress Construct and Scale	
Chapter Forty-One.....	491
A General Factor of Death Distress in Seven Clinical and Non-Clinical Groups	
Chapter Forty-Two .....	499
Why Do we Fear Death? The Construction and Validation of the Reasons for Death Fear Scale	
References .....	515
Index.....	571



## TABLE OF SCALES

1-1: English Version of the ASOP.....	22
1-2: Arabic Version of the ASOP .....	24
2-1: English Version of the PSS .....	44
2-2 Arabic Version of the PSS .....	46
3-1 English Version of the ASHB .....	58
3-2: Arabic Version of the ASHB .....	59
4-1: English Version of the ASH.....	78
4-2: Arabic Version of the ASH .....	80
5-1: English Version of the LLS.....	89
5-2: Arabic Version of the LLS .....	90
5-3: Persian Version of the LLS .....	91
6-1: English Version of the ASMH .....	94
6-2: Arabic Version of the ASMH.....	97
7-1: English Version of the ASIR.....	100
7-2: Arabic Version of the ASIR .....	102
10-1: English Version of the ABFPI .....	121
10-2: Arabic Version of the ABFPI.....	122
11-1: English Version of the KUAS .....	142
11-2: Arabic Version of the KUAS .....	143
11-3: German Version of the KUAS .....	144
16-1: English Version of the FANS.....	168
16-2: Arabic Version of the FANS .....	170
17-1: English Version of the ASOC .....	193
17-2: Arabic Version of the ASOC .....	195
17-3: Spanish Version of the ASOC.....	197
18-1: English Version of the R-ASOC .....	208
18-2: Arabic Version of the R-ASOC .....	210
18-3: Persian Version of the R-ASOC.....	211
19-1: English Version of the ACDI.....	228
19-2: Arabic Version of the ACDI .....	229
24-2: English Version of the MCADS.....	280
24-3: Arabic Version of the MCADS.....	282
25-1: English Version of the SSI .....	302
25-2: Arabic Version of the SSI .....	304
26-1: English Version of the SSI-S .....	313

26-2: Arabic Version of the SSI-S.....	314
29-1: English Version of the ASCFS.....	354
29-2: Arabic Version of the ASCFS.....	356
31-1: English Version of the ASI.....	380
31-2: Arabic Version of the ASI.....	381
33-1: English Version of the ASDA.....	413
33-2: Arabic Version of the ASDA.....	415
33-3: Spanish Version of the ASDA.....	416
33-4: Persian Version of the ASDA.....	418
33-5: Turkish Version of the ASDA.....	420
33-6: Chinese Version of the ASDA.....	422
37-1: English Version of the DOS.....	453
37-2: Arabic Version of the DOS.....	455
37-3: Spanish Version of the DOS.....	456
37-4: Persian Version of the DOS.....	458
40-1: English Version of the DDiS.....	486
40-2: Arabic Version of the DDiS.....	488
42-1: English Version of the RDFS.....	509
42-2: Arabic Version of the RDFS.....	511
42-3: Persian Version of the RDFS.....	512

## PREFACE<sup>1</sup>

Measurement is a very important procedure and an indispensable element of science. In physical sciences, the CGS system of measurement is an essential one. It is a variant of the metric system of measurement of physical units, which uses the centimeter as the unit of length, the gram as the unit of mass, and the second as the unit of time. It was initially proposed in 1832 by the German mathematician Carl F. Gauss (1777–1855). The CGS system was eventually replaced by the MKSA system: Metre, Kilogram, Second, and Ampere. Other CGS units are the Dyne for force and the Erg for work.

In psychology, testing and assessment are also crucial subjects. As Thorndike stated in 1918: “Whatever exists at all exists in some amount”. In 1939, McCall completed this statement by saying: “Anything that exists in amount can be measured”. The development of psychological tests and scales was inspired by the works of the founding fathers, including Wundt, Ebbinghaus, Galton, J. M. Cattell, Binet, Spearman, Woodworth, Thorndike, Terman, Wechsler, Otis, and Strong, among other pioneer psychologists.

Based on the sustained efforts of many psychologists, a wealth of tests and scales have become available to assess personality, intelligence, abilities, achievement, attitudes, as well as psychopathological traits, symptoms, and disorders (see the *Mental Measurement Yearbook* from the Buros Center for Testing) (Carlson, Geisinger, & Jonson, 2017).

Measurement remains an important concern for the progress of psychology, as a basic science and as a field of application. Development of psychological research and practice would not be possible without sound assessment and measuring procedures. Judd and McClelland (1998) stated that “The conceptual advances in science frequently follow measurement advances.... For the discipline as a whole to advance and develop, measurement must be a focus of collective attention” (p. 180).

At the international level, the vast majority of psychological tests and scales available for research and application are published in English. In Arab countries, the majority of psychological tests and scales are translations of mainly American or British Scales. It is the contention of the present researcher that there is a great need to develop and validate

---

<sup>1</sup> To avoid repetition, all the references of the chapters were collected in the references section at the end of the book.

psychological scales in Arabic by Arabic speaking psychologists, with good translations into English, to address the increasing uses of psychological tests and scales in research and application, as well as the growing interest in cross-cultural studies. This book presents psychological scales developed in this direction, i.e., they were constructed and validated in Arabic and, subsequently, several cycles of translation and back-translation of the scale items gave rise to equivalent English versions.

Furthermore, the populations of Western industrialized countries in Europe and North America nowadays include emigrants and refugees originally from Arab countries, who do not speak or understand the English language. In this case bilingual Arabic and English scales become desirable. By bilingualism in this instance, I mean two separate and equivalent versions of the same scale: one in Arabic for the participant who does not understand English, and the other for the researcher or practitioner who does not understand Arabic.

An example of the benefits of the two versions of any given scale is the master's thesis by Seher Öztürk (2019) entitled: *The evaluation of psychological adjustment and acculturation process of Syrian adolescents in Turkey: Altindag sample, Ankara*. In her thesis, she administered several scales in Arabic, because the participants did not know Turkish. The scales included the ASH, developed by the present researcher (see Chapter 4 in this book).

The present book contains a collection of scales in both Arabic and English. In addition to English, some scales have German, Spanish, Persian, Turkish, and Chinese translations. These versions are also included in this book. Most chapters were previously published in scientific peer-reviewed journals, whereas some are new and were specifically written for this book.

All the chapters of this book contain personality and psychopathology scales and questionnaires, since self-reports and questionnaires play a prominent role in psychological research and practice, as they are simple and cost less than other methods of assessment. Furthermore, these scales are suitable in survey and epidemiological studies with large samples.

I would like to thank the original publishers of the articles in the peer-reviewed international journals for their kind permission to republish them. Many thanks to Cambridge Scholars Publishing, the publisher of this book, for their cooperation. Thanks are also due to Ms. Ala'a Said Rashid, Ph.D. candidate in Alexandria University for her help in transferring PDF texts to Word documents. Furthermore, I would like to thank Ms. Aya Ahmed Hasan, Ph.D. candidate; Ms. Yomna Kamal, Ms. Yossra Kamal, and Mr. Mohammed Elsayed, M.A. candidates in Alexandria University for their



assistance. It would not have been possible to publish this book without the great effort of Ms. Dahlia Eldeeb who compiled and edited all the chapters.

I hope that the present book, particularly the psychological scales, would be useful to specialists and practitioners in psychology, psychiatry, and social sciences.

**Ahmed M. Abdel-Khalek, Ph.D.**

Professor of Psychology  
Alexandria University, Egypt



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

$\alpha$ : Cronbach alpha reliability  
A: Agreeableness  
ABFPI: Arabic Big Five Personality Inventory  
ACDI: Arabic Children's Depression Inventory  
ASCFS: Arabic Scale of Chronic Fatigue Syndrome  
ASDA: Arabic Scale of Death Anxiety  
ASH: Arabic Scale of Happiness  
ASHB: Arabic Scale of Health Behaviors  
ASI: Arabic Scale of Insomnia  
ASIR: Arabic Scale of Intrinsic Religiosity  
ASMh: Arabic Scale of Mental Health  
ASOC: Arabic Scale of Obsession-Compulsion  
    R-ASOC: Revised Arabic Scale of Obsession-Compulsion  
ASOP: Arabic Scale of Optimism and Pessimism  
BAI: Beck Anxiety Inventory  
BDI: Beck Depression Inventory  
BF: Big Five  
BFM: Big Five Model  
C: Conscientiousness  
CAC: Compulsive Activity Checklist  
CAD: Childhood and adolescent depression  
CDI: Children's Depression Inventory  
CES-D: Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale  
CFA: Confirmatory Factor Analysis  
CFI: Comparative Fit Index  
CFS: Coefficient of factor similarity  
CFS: Chronic Fatigue Syndrome  
*CI*: Confidence interval  
CR: Critical ratio  
*d*: Effect size  
DAS: Death Anxiety Scale  
    RDAS: Revised Death Anxiety Scale  
    TDAS: Templer's Death Anxiety Scale  
DDS: Death Depression Scale  
DDiS: Death Distress Scale

DIS: Difficulty initiating sleep  
 DMS: Difficulty maintaining sleep  
 DOS: Death Obsession Scale  
 DS: Disrupted sleep  
 DSM-III: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (3<sup>rd</sup> edition)  
 DSM-III-R: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (3<sup>rd</sup> edition)-Revised  
 DSM-IV: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4<sup>th</sup> edition)  
 DSM-5: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5<sup>th</sup> edition)  
 E: Extraversion  
 EMA: Early morning awakenings  
 EPQ: Eysenck Personality Questionnaire  
     JEPQ: Junior Eysenck Personality Questionnaire  
 FANS: Factorial Arabic Neuroticism Scale  
 FSS: Fear Survey Schedule  
 GAD: Generalized Anxiety Disorder  
 $h^2$ : Communalities  
 HM: Happiness Measure  
 HSC: Hopkins Symptom Checklist  
     HSC-D: Hopkins Symptom Checklist-Depression Scale  
     HSC-L: Hopkins Symptom Checklist  
 ICD-10: 10<sup>th</sup> International Classification of Disease  
 ICSD: International Classification of Sleep Disorders  
 IPIP-NEO: International Personality Item Pool- Neuroticism-Extraversion-Openness  
 I-Rr: Item remainder correlation  
 KUAS: Kuwait University Anxiety Scale  
     S-KUAS: Spanish version of the Kuwait University Anxiety Scale  
 L: Lie  
 LL: Love of Life  
 LLS: Love of Life Scale  
 LOI: Leyton Obsessional Inventory  
 LOT: Life Orientation Test  
*M*: Mean  
 MCADS: Multidimensional Child and Adolescent Depression Scale  
 Mdn: Median  
 MMPI: Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory  
 MOCI: Maudsley Obsessive Compulsive Inventory  
 N: Neuroticism  
*N*: Sample size  
*n*: Subsample size

NEO-FFI: Neuroticism-Extraversion-Openness Five-Factor Inventory  
 NEO-PI-R: Neuroticism-Extraversion-Openness Personality Inventory-Revised  
 O: Openness  
 OC: Obsession-compulsion  
 OCD: Obsessive Compulsive Disorder  
 OCI: Obsessive Compulsive Inventory  
 OCP: Obsessive compulsive personality  
 OCPD: Obsessive Compulsive Personality disorder  
 OCS: Obsessive Compulsive Scale  
 OHI: Oxford Happiness Inventory  
 P: Psychoticism  
 PANAS: Positive and Negative Affect Schedule  
 PI: Padua Inventory  
 PSG: Polysomnographic  
 PSS: Positive Self Scale  
 PTSD: Post-traumatic Stress Disorder  
*r*: Correlation  
*r<sub>it</sub>*: Item rest of test correlation  
*r<sub>11</sub>*: Test-retest reliabilities  
 RDFS: Reasons for Death Fear Scale  
 RMSEA: Root Mean Square Error of Approximation  
 RSES: Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale  
 SCL-90: Symptom Checklist-90  
     SCL: Symptom Checklist  
     SCL-90-D: Symptom Checklist 90-Depression Scale  
*SD*: Standard deviation  
*SE*: Standard error  
 SEM: Standard Error of Measurement  
 SHS: Subjective Happiness Scale  
 SSI: Somatic Symptoms Inventory  
     SSI-S: Short form of the Somatic Symptoms Inventory  
 STAI: State-Trait Anxiety Inventory  
     STAI (T) : State-Trait Anxiety Inventory-Trait Subscale  
 SWB: Subjective Well-Being  
 SWLS: Satisfaction with Life Scale  
*t*: *t*-test  
 TLI: Tucker Lewis Index  
 WB: Well-Being  
 Y-BOCS: Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale



# **PART I**

## **POSITIVE PSYCHOLOGY SCALES**





# CHAPTER ONE

## THE DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC SCALE OF OPTIMISM AND PESSIMISM<sup>1</sup>

### **Abstract**

The main objective of the present study was to construct and validate the ASOP. The item pool was constructed based on an open-ended question. Then, seven Ph.D. holders served as referees to estimate the content or face validity of each item. This procedure led to the retention of 51 and 49 items for optimism and pessimism, respectively. Item-remainder correlations resulted in keeping the items with significant and high correlations (25 and 21 items for optimism and pessimism, respectively). Several cycles of principal component analysis led to the deletion of the items with low loadings. The result was 15 items for optimism and 15 for pessimism. Each item is answered on a five-point intensity scale. Cronbach's alpha and retest reliabilities were high, as were the criterion-related, convergent, and factorial validities. Descriptive statistics for 18 Arab countries, as well as US and Indian samples, were reported. It was concluded that the ASOP has good psychometric characteristics in Arab, Indian, and US college students.

### **Introduction**

“Part of being optimistic is keeping one's head pointed toward the sun, one's feet moving forward.” Nelson Mandela.

Consistent with the growing interest in positive psychology in the past few decades (Seligman M. E., 2002; Seligman, Steen, Park, & Peterson, 2005), research studies on optimism have burgeoned and received increasing amounts of interest. Thus, many researchers conducted studies in this field (Peterson, 2000). Optimism, the rose-colored glasses, is the belief that good things will happen. Optimists tend to see the best, i.e., they have

---

<sup>1</sup> This chapter was specifically written for this book.

positive expectations about the future. On the other hand, pessimism is the belief that bad things will happen. Pessimists tend to see the worst, i.e., they have negative expectations about the future. Therefore, optimism and pessimism are very influential traits that affect the way people perceive and live their lives (Carver & Connor-Smith, 2010).

The most popular definition of optimism and pessimism is as follows: a generalized positive and negative expectancies regarding future outcomes, as a general tendency to expect that one will experience positive versus negative events in the future (Scheier & Carver, 1985). On the other hand, Dember, Martin, Hummer, Howe, and Melton (1989) defined those constructs more broadly as a positive and negative outlook on life, i.e., the present and the future.

A person can be optimistic in regard to a specific area of life (e.g., expecting a successful marriage) but pessimistic regarding other aspects (e.g., money). However, some people have a consistent tendency to think, feel, and behave in an optimistic or pessimistic manner toward most aspects of their lives. An optimistic person sees good things everywhere, whereas the pessimist observes mainly the negative aspects of everything around him/her. However, successful living requires a fine balance between optimism and pessimism (Hecht, 2013).

Chang (2001) and Chang, Chang, and Sanna (2009) pointed out the adaptive nature of optimism and the maladaptive nature of pessimism. In general, optimism seems to be related to psychological benefits, such as life satisfaction, more positive affect, and fewer depressive symptoms. On the other hand, pessimism seems to be related to detriments, such as more depressive symptoms, negative affect, and psychological stress.

Carver (2014) enumerated the different benefits of optimism, including that it relates to greater psychological well-being, greater persistence in the pursuit of educational goals, better interpersonal relations, greater ease in forming social networks, and a better ability to inhibit negative emotions. Optimists work harder at close relationships, thus experiencing less interpersonal conflict, engaging in more health-promoting behaviors, and fewer health-damaging behaviors than pessimists. They are less likely to report drinking problems, to smoke, to be sedentary. They have better diets, in terms of fruit and vegetable consumption and dietary fat intake.

A meta-analytic review has shown that optimists are physically and psychologically better adjusted than pessimists (Rasmussen, Scheier, & Greenhouse, 2009). Optimists have less cardiovascular diseases (Boehm & Kubzansky, 2012) and improved immunological functioning (Srivastava, McGonigal, Richards, Butler, & Gross, 2006). Optimism has been positively related to subjective well-being and negatively related to several

diseases connected with stress, such as cardiovascular diseases (Nabi, et al., 2010).

Hecht (2013) suggested that optimism and pessimism are differentially associated with the two cerebral hemispheres. High self-esteem, a cheerful attitude that tends to look at the positive aspects of a given situation, as well as an optimistic belief in a bright future are associated with physiological activity in the left hemisphere. In contrast, a gloomy viewpoint, an inclination to focus on the negative part and exaggerate its significance, low self-esteem, as well as a pessimistic view on what the future holds are interlinked with neurophysiologic processes in the right hemisphere. Chang (1998; 2001) stated that optimism and pessimism exert a great deal of influence on decision-making, risk taking, and physical and mental health.

However, there is a body of literature indicating the opposite of the adaptiveness of optimism and maladaptiveness of pessimism. Optimism comes at a cost, whereas pessimism comes with certain rewards (Chang, et al., 2009). In a similar vein, some studies have identified some potential drawbacks of optimism (Schutz, Schall, & Koydemir, 2018). These studies have linked optimism with poorer health behaviors, because optimists underestimate their risk of developing physical problems. It is important to note that this, however, is the rare case (Chang, et al., 2009). Furthermore, studies indicating the positive benefits of optimism outnumber the negative ones.

## **The Dimensionality of Optimism and Pessimism**

The dimensionality of optimism and pessimism was a subject of hot debate. Some researchers believe that they form a unidimensional construct, with optimism at one pole of the dimension and pessimism at the other; whereas other researchers argued that optimism and pessimism are distinct constructs (Kam & Meyer, 2012).

Marshall, Wortman, Kusulas, Hervig and Vickers (1992) empirically demonstrated that optimism correlated more strongly with extraversion than did pessimism, and that pessimism correlated more strongly with neuroticism than optimism. Therefore, many researchers treat optimism and pessimism as two different dimensions. (Kam & Meyer, 2012) proposed an explanation for Marshall et al.'s (1992) findings. That is, the valence (favorability) of an individual item in a scale causes optimism and pessimism to have differential correlations with extraversion and neuroticism. Kam and Meyer (2012) carried out a study on a large sample ( $N = 1,016$ ) to control item valence and these correlations were reduced.

Some researchers argued that the bi-dimensionality of the construct composed of optimism and pessimism might be due to a method artifact. However, a large-scale study on the Revised Life Orientation Test indicated that this scale may be better viewed as a measure that taps this bi-dimensionality (Herzberg, Glaesmer, & Hoyer, 2006). Benyamini (2005) studied older adults suffering from arthritis and showed that high optimism and high pessimism could co-exist and interact in a single person. Dember (2001) stated:

“Having a separate measure of each construct turns out to be not only psychometrically indicated, because optimism and pessimism scores are usually only moderately correlated, but also to offer additional predictive use because the two measures often correlate differentially with other variables” (p. 295).

In a recent study with Arab participants, Abdel-Khalek (2019) found that the correlations between the BF personality factors and optimism were not always the reverse of the correlations between personality and pessimism. These correlations differ in both statistical significance and magnitude. Therefore, the different associations of optimism and pessimism with personality factors may support the rationale of two distinct but correlated and relatively independent traits (Dember, 2001; Herzberg, et al., 2006; Marshall, et al., 1992; Zuckerman, 2003).

## **The Present Study**

In 1996, Abdel-Khalek developed the ASOP in two versions: Arabic and English and wrote an Arabic manual for this scale. From that date till now, over 60 research studies in different Arab countries administered the ASOP to various samples. Furthermore, a limited number of new Arabic scales used the ASOP as a criterion. In addition, a small number of studies used the English version of the ASOP on samples of US and UK college students.

The aim of the present research was to describe the development of the ASOP; its internal consistency; temporal stability; criterion-related, construct, and factorial validities; descriptive statistics; and the development of an equivalent English version of the scale; as well as some research studies using this scale.

## Material and Method

### The Development of the Scale

It is important to know, from the beginning, that the ASOP consists of two separate subscales, one for optimism and one for pessimism. The development of the ASOP was based on several steps, as follows: (a) the construction of the item pool, (b) the examination of the content or face validity, (c) the computation of the item-remainder correlation, and (d) a factor analysis.

**Item pool.** A sample ( $N = 212$ ) of psychology undergraduates was requested to answer an open-ended question to construct the item pool. This question was as follows: "Think about some of the optimistic persons you know well. Then, write ten statements that accurately describe them and their general behavior, which disclose their optimism". The same was applied for pessimism. Two definitions were introduced to students, as follows: optimism is an auspicious outlook toward the future, which makes the person expect good things to happen, whereas pessimism is negative expectation of the coming events. It makes the person wait for the worst to happen.

The 212 male and female undergraduates wrote a huge number of responses. Then, the present researcher thoroughly investigated this big number of responses to delete the irrelevant, ambiguous, and repeated responses, and to edit the items for the sake of brevity, clarity, and so that each statement would only deal with one idea. Furthermore, the present researcher added a few more items. The preliminary version of the scale consisted of 119 and 95 items for optimism and pessimism, respectively.

**Content or face validity.** The last-mentioned items were forwarded to seven Ph.D. holders in psychology. They served as referees and were requested to review each item and to judge its suitability in assessing optimism and pessimism, by rating each on a five-point scale anchored by = *Does not assess optimism/pessimism* and 4 = *An excellent item to assess optimism/pessimism*. Based on the seven referees' ratings, the mean score for each item was computed. An arbitrary criterion for the retention of any given item was that it must obtain a mean score of 3 or 4. This procedure led to the retention of 51 and 49 items for optimism and pessimism, respectively.

**Item-remainder correlation.** The item-rest-of-test correlation was computed for the aforementioned items (51 & 49). All items with statistically

significant correlations ( $p \leq .001$ ) were retained. In applying this criterion, 25 and 21 items were retained for optimism and pessimism, respectively.

**Table 1-1: The two unrotated and oblique rotated (Oblimin) components of optimism.**

Items*	Unrotated		Rotated	
	1	2	1	2
1	.580	-.330	-.065	-.706
2	.816	-.275	.129	-.774
3	.723	-.414	-.085	-.884
4	.761	-.401	-.048	-.890
5	.760	-.197	.189	-.652
6	.719	-.077	.307	-.489
7	.797	-.093	.331	-.551
8	.640	.443	.870	.161
9	.696	.343	.784	.014
10	.755	.039	.462	-.376
11	.696	.172	.585	-.185
12	.647	.285	.689	-.027
13	.678	.327	.756	.005
14	.746	.206	.653	-.173
15	.794	.087	.540	-.339
Eigen Value	7.845	1.153		
% of VAR	52.299	7.686		
Total VAR	59.985			

\* See the items in Appendix 1-A.

Note: VAR: variance.

**Factor analysis.** A principal component analysis (SPSS Inc., 2009) was computed over different cycles. Items with low loadings on any of the factors were deleted. The result was 15 items for optimism and 15 items for pessimism, representing the final form of the two subscales (see Appendix 1-A).

Each item is answered on a five-point intensity scale anchored by 1 = *No* and 5 = *Very much*. The total scale score could range from 15 to 75, with higher scores indicating higher levels of optimism on the first scale or higher levels of pessimism on the second scale. There are no recoded items.

## Procedure

The ASOP, along with other scales, were administered anonymously to small groups of undergraduates, in a classroom setting, during regular